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For many years now the student of *Lyrical Ballads* has had to rely either upon the edition of H.Littledale, first published in 1911, or upon that of G.Sampson, first published in 1903. However, Littledale reproduces the 1798 poems only, while Sampson’s edition is an exact reprint of the 1805 text, though it gives the readings of earlier versions. In any case both appeared too early to draw upon the great amount of scholarly work on Wordsworth and Coleridge completed since that date. The letters of Wordsworth and his sister, and those of Coleridge, have since appeared in the admirable editions prepared respectively by Professor de Selincourt and Professor E.L.Griggs; and Professor de Selincourt has also given us the Journals of Dorothy Wordsworth. E.K.Chambers and Mrs. Mary Moorman have provided excellent biographies of the two poets; Miss Kathleen Coburn has made available a wealth of hitherto unpublished material in Coleridge’s Notebooks; and there has been a stream of critical studies. A new edition not only profits from this scholarship but makes it possible to provide the student with an up-to-date bibliography of this work.

The present edition owes much to the work of Miss Helen Darbishire and Professor E.de Selincourt, the editors of the Oxford English Texts edition of Wordsworth’s Poetical Works and to the work of E.H.Coleridge, the editor of the Oxford edition of Coleridge’s Poems. Their editions stand as monuments of scholarship which cannot be rivalled or superseded, but, nevertheless, they do not conveniently provide the student with

---

1 Cf. also *Lyrical Ballads, with a few other poems*, N.Douglas, London, 1926 (Facsimile of 1798 ed.).
Lyrical Ballads as it first appeared to the public. The Oxford Wordsworth, rightly for its purpose, uses the grouping of the poems and the text chosen by Wordsworth himself for the 1850 edition. Similarly, the Oxford Coleridge uses the 1834 text. Only by a certain editorial labour can the reader achieve from these the text and grouping of the poems as they were originally published.

The aim of the present volume is to make available to the reader the text of Lyrical Ballads as it appeared in print in 1798 and 1800, together with the variant readings of the 1802 and 1805 editions. We have incorporated in the text the Errata which were issued with the first two editions, but otherwise we have reproduced the poems exactly as they were first published. We have endeavoured in the text and by means of notes to provide a history of the poems from 1798 to 1805, after which Wordsworth’s poems were merged in the 1815 and subsequent editions of his collected works and Coleridge’s contributions were transferred to his Sybilline Leaves of 1817 and to later collections of his poetry.

Lyrical Ballads was originally published in September, 1798.1 The title-page bore the Bristol imprint and the book was printed by Biggs and Cottle of Bristol for T.N.Longman of Paternoster Row, London. While the 1798 volume was in the press it occurred to the authors that one of the poems, Lewti; or, the Circassian Love-Chant, might disclose the secret of the authorship, for it had been published in The Morning Post for April 13th, 1798, and was known to be by Coleridge. The sheets containing this poem were, therefore, cancelled and The Nightingale substituted. A few copies of the volume with Lewti found their way on to the market, but most copies contain The Nightingale. We have given the text of The Nightingale where it appeared in the majority of copies and reprinted Lewti in Appendix A. Soon after publication Cottle sold the whole of the remaining copies of the first edition, which had numbered five hundred copies, to Messrs. J. and A.Arch of Gracechurch Street, London. This firm issued the book with a new title-page which bore a London imprint.

The second edition of the poems was published in two volumes which bore the date 1800, though they were not issued until January 1801. Only the first volume bore the words Second Edition on the title-page, for the second volume had entirely new contents and was regarded as a first edition. The poems in the first volume were the same as those of the 1798 edition which contained *The Nightingale*, except that *The Convict* was omitted, Coleridge’s poem *Love* was added, and *Lines Written near Richmond* was divided into two separate poems. The order of the poems was changed in this first volume, the titles of some poems altered, and substantial changes made in the text. We have given details of these changes in footnotes to the 1798 text.

In addition to the poems, we have also reprinted the *Advertisement* with which Wordsworth prefaced the 1798 poems, and the *Preface* which took its place in the 1800 edition. The alterations and additions which Wordsworth made to this *Preface* in the 1802 edition of the poems are given in footnotes to the 1800 text. We have also reproduced in Appendix B the text of Wordsworth’s Appendix on Poetic Diction which first appeared in the 1802 edition.

It is curious that despite the industry of scholars there is still no practical edition of *Lyrical Ballads* to which students may refer. In the attempt to fill this gap, we have thought it unnecessary to produce a variorum edition of the poems; the history of individual poems is well enough documented in the collected works of Wordsworth and Coleridge. Our main concern has been to make the poems readily available as a unique body of poetry—in all its freshness and naivety—relying on the original texts of 1798 and 1800 to make their own impact. For this reason we have indicated only what we consider to be the significant variants between the texts and tried to keep the text as clear and unencumbered as possible. We have, for the most part, ignored the various trivia such as changes in capitalisation and punctuation as being likely to obscure the text so far as the average reader is concerned. In noting variants we have recorded only the text in which the change first appeared so that the reader may assume that if no subsequent emendation is recorded the variant stands in the subsequent texts also. We are convinced that it is as a body of poetry that *Lyrical Ballads* first influenced the course of English poetry and that it is as a body of poetry that it should be studied. This edition enables the reader to study the
poems in their original context as they appeared to Coleridge’s and Wordsworth’s contemporaries.

We would like to express our indebtedness to Mr. Patrick Yarker of King’s College, University of London, who read the typescript and made many valuable suggestions, and to Mrs. Mary Moorman and the Clarendon Press for permission to quote from *William Wordsworth: A Biography*.

We should also like to express our thanks to Miss Janet Pope and Miss Kay Holmes who have undertaken the typing of this edition.

We have taken the opportunity provided by the reprinting of this volume to make a number of minor alterations and improvements in the lay-out of the poems. We would like to express our gratitude to those whose advice has guided us in making certain of these changes.

*University of Hull* 1965
A great deal of scholarly work, textual, critical, and biographical, all of which has a bearing on *Lyrical Ballads*, has been published since our last edition appeared in 1965. We have taken these changes into account in the updating of our bibliography, notes to the poems, and footnotes. We have also taken the opportunity afforded by a new edition to revise and amplify our introduction.

*Foreword to the 1991 edition*

R.L.Brett  
A.R.Jones
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THE idea of *Lyrical Ballads* was conceived when Wordsworth and Coleridge were living as neighbours in the Quantocks; Wordsworth at Alfoxden and Coleridge at Nether Stowey. Wordsworth and Coleridge, accompanied by Dorothy Wordsworth, left Alfoxden on the 12th November 1797 to visit the Valley of Stones near Lynmouth. They proposed to meet the modest expenses of their walking-tour by writing a poem which might secure £5 from the editor of the *Monthly Magazine*. This poem was the *Ancient Mariner*, but it was not finished until March of the next year. Wordsworth withdrew from its composition early because he realized, as he told Miss Fenwick in later life, that he ‘could only have been a clog’ upon it.

By the time the poem was completed Coleridge was fairly well off, for the Wedgwood family had settled upon him an annuity of £150. Coleridge used his new-found independence to turn aside from the ballad poetry which had interested Wordsworth and himself in favour of his political odes. About this date he introduced Wordsworth to the Bristol bookseller and publisher, Joseph Cottle. In a letter dated the 9th May 1798, Wordsworth suggested to Cottle that he should publish *Salisbury Plain* and added, ‘I have lately been busy about another plan which I do not wish to mention till I see you.’ This plan probably referred to *Lyrical Ballads*, for on the 31st May, Dorothy Wordsworth, in a letter to her brother Richard, wrote, ‘William has now some poems in the Bristol press.’

In March the Wordsworths had received the news that the lease of their house at Alfoxden was to be terminated in the summer and that they would then be homeless. After they had discussed various moves, Coleridge put forward the ambitious proposal that both their families should embark on a two-year
visit to Germany, where they would settle near a university town. Coleridge’s plan to use part of his annuity to acquire a knowledge of German literature and philosophy won the approval of the Wedgwoods, but for Wordsworth and his sister the venture depended on raising extra money. Although the scheme went through many alterations, the chief being to leave Coleridge’s wife and baby at home and to shorten the length of their visit, it still meant persuading Cottle to publish a joint volume of their poems. After a visit by Cottle to the Quantocks and a series of letters from Coleridge in which the details were settled, the volume was accepted for publication and in September the party were in London ready to leave for Germany. On the 13th of that month Dorothy wrote to an unknown correspondent that the poems were printed but not yet published; they were to appear ‘in one small volume, without the name of the author; their title is Lyrical Ballads, with other Poems’.

If it had not been for the Wedgwood annuity, very likely Coleridge would have had a more equal share in the volume, but even so, his influence was all-important. At this period the two poets were daily in each other’s company and in later life Wordsworth spoke of ‘the most unreserved intercourse between them’. Coleridge’s early poetry shows clearly how the poetic ambitions and ideals of the two men were shared. In 1793, before the two had even met, Coleridge had heard and admired Wordsworth’s *An Evening Walk* and *Descriptive Sketches* when they were read at a literary society in Exeter, and by 1795, he himself had written *Reflections on having left a Place of Retirement*, in which the diction of lines such as the following, went a long way towards achieving the simplicity that Wordsworth was seeking:

> Low was our pretty Cot: our tallest rose  
> Peeped at the chamber-window. We could hear  
> At silent noon, and eve, and early morn,  
> The sea’s faint murmur.

---

1 *Reminiscences of the Hon. Mr. Justice Coleridge*, Grosart, iii. 42.
In the next two years Coleridge also attempted ballad poetry and was engaged with both *Christabel* and *The Three Graves*, though he completed neither of them. In *Christabel* he was experimenting with an idiom which he perfected in the *Ancient Mariner*, though he himself in Chapter XIV of *Biographia Literaria* speaks of the *Ancient Mariner* as falling short of what he had in mind, and says of *Christabel* that it was a poem ‘in which I should have more nearly realized my ideal’. *The Three Graves* was very different. It is now known that Wordsworth wrote Parts I and II of this and the whole poem is reminiscent of Wordsworth’s *The Thorn*. In both poems the ballad is put into the mouth of a dramatic personage. Coleridge’s part is one of his least successful pieces of writing and demonstrates his weakness in the kind of ballad poetry which so attracted Wordsworth. This probably explains the way in which they planned *Lyrical Ballads* and the division of labour between them which Coleridge describes in *Biographia Literaria*.

‘The thought suggested itself (to which of us I do not recollect)’, writes Coleridge in Chapter XIV of *Biographia Literaria*, ‘that a series of poems might be composed of two sorts. In the one, the incidents and agents were to be, in part at least, supernatural; and the excellence aimed at was to consist in the interesting of the affections by the dramatic truth of such emotions, as would naturally accompany such situations, supposing them real…. For the second class, subjects were to be chosen from ordinary life; the characters and incidents were to be such as will be found in every village and its vicinity, where there is a meditative and feeling mind to seek after them, or to notice them, when they present themselves.’

It was with this in mind, continues Coleridge, that they planned *Lyrical Ballads*. He himself was to write about ‘persons and characters supernatural, or at least romantic,’ but though supernatural they had to possess ‘a semblance of truth sufficient to procure…that willing suspension of disbelief for the moment, which constitutes poetic faith’. Wordsworth, for his part, was ‘to

---


2 Coleridge later thought that Wordsworth, too, was least successful in this form, v. Notes to *The Thorn*. 
give the charm of novelty to things of every day, and to excite a feeling analogous to the supernatural, by awakening the mind’s attention to the lethargy of custom, and directing it to the loveliness and the wonders of the world before us’. Both of them were to observe what they considered to be ‘the two cardinal points of poetry, the power of exciting the sympathy of the reader by a faithful adherence to the truth of nature, and the power of giving the interest of novelty by the modifying colours of imagination’.2

As far as the *Ancient Mariner* was concerned, Coleridge fulfilled his share of the bargain brilliantly. The supernatural events of the poem symbolize the pattern of sin, repentance, grace and expiation that is part of man’s religious experience, but old and familiar things are presented in a new way. By investing a voyage of exploration and discovery with what he called ‘the depth and height of the ideal world’, he transforms it into a spiritual odyssey. The style—and this is truer of the poem in the 1798 version—was derived from Percy’s *Reliques* and from the English translations of Bürger’s *Lenore*3; but though ideally suited to its purpose, it was not the simple ‘modern’ style for which he and Wordsworth were looking. Nor, indeed, was the style of *The Foster-Mother’s Tale* or *The Dungeon*, both taken from his tragedy *Osorio* and contributed by Coleridge to the original *Lyrical Ballads* volume. *The Dungeon* is far ahead of its time in its liberal approach to the reformation of anti-social behaviour, but the style of both poems was influenced by eighteenth-century melodrama.

The only other poem Coleridge contributed to the joint venture was *The Nightingale*, which bore the sub-title *A Conversational Poem*. This was more promising and is one of Coleridge’s best poems. It employs the simple idiom of *Reflections on having left a Place of Retirement* and *The Eolian Harp*, both of which were written in 1795, and of *This Lime-tree Bower my Prison*, which belongs to 1797. These poems, together with *Frost at Midnight*, written in 1798, are all ‘conversational pieces’, but in a different sense from that generally attributed to the term.

---

1 *Biog. Lit.*, ii. 5–6.
2 *Biog. Lit.*, ii. 5–7.
3 v. Notes to the Poems, 275.
Humphry House discerns in these poems the influence of Cowper, but recognizes in them a gravity which goes so far beyond Cowper’s work as to make Coleridge’s a new kind of poetry. Cowper, though meditative, plays only upon the surfaces of things, whereas these poems are deeply searching. Their acute introspection leads to profound metaphysical speculation. The reciprocity of the mind of man and the world of nature, of which the Eolian Harp is the great emblem, manifests itself in passages which are more than descriptive, in which the changing state of the poet’s mind finds its counterpart in the changing face of nature. Here, if anywhere, we see the birth of Romantic poetry.

An insight into Coleridge’s thought and poetic aspirations at the time when *Lyrical Ballads* was in preparation can be gained from a letter he wrote to his brother on the 10th March 1798. He describes his purpose in poetry as an endeavour ‘to elevate the imagination & set the affections in right tune by the beauty of the inanimate impregnated, as with a living soul, by the presence of Life’. In prose he will seek to know ‘with patience & a slow, very slow mind…. What our faculties are & what they are capable of becoming’. The letter also contains a tribute to nature and its power to heal the troubled mind:

I love fields & woods & mounta[ins] with almost a visionary fondness—and because I have found benevolence & quietness growing within me as that fondness [has] increased, therefore I should wish to be the means of implanting it in others.

The letter shows how close the two men were in their thinking, for this passage reads like a commentary upon, and, in places, is almost a paraphrase of *Tintern Abbey*.

In politics, too, Coleridge shared the views of Wordsworth. In the same letter he writes:

...it is withheld from me to regret any thing: I therefore consent to be deemed a Democrat & a Seditionist...but I have snapped my squeaking baby-trumpet of Sedition &

---

the fragments lie scattered in the lumber-room of Penitence... I have for some time past withdrawn myself almost totally from the consideration of immediate causes, which are infinitely complex & uncertain, to muse on fundamental & general causes—the ‘causæ causarum’.\(^1\)

Coleridge had been active in politics: in 1795 he had delivered lectures in Bristol against the government and the war with France. But his republicanism was not based upon a belief in revolution so much as upon the vision of a self-supporting community which should have all things in common. Such a vision had been at the centre of the ill-fated Pantisocratic scheme to found a society upon the banks of the Susquehanna, and had led him to settle at Nether Stowey, where he hoped to produce from his own plot of land enough to support his wife and family. His political views, in fact, were much closer to those of the Diggers of the seventeenth century than to those of the French Terror. He had left Clevedon, where he had set up his home on marriage, because he felt compelled to answer the question his conscience forced upon him:

\[
\text{...Was it right,} \\
\text{While my unnumber’d brethren toil’d and bled,} \\
\text{That I should dream away the entrusted hours} \\
\text{On rose-leaf beds, pampering the coward heart} \\
\text{With feelings all too delicate for use?}
\]

But the struggle he anticipated for himself was not violent revolution:

\[
\text{I therefore go, and join head, heart, and hand,} \\
\text{Active and firm, to fight the bloodless fight} \\
\text{Of Science, Freedom, and the Truth in Christ.}\(^1\)
\]

Though the convictions of the two men were very similar, with Wordsworth the process which had brought him to accept them

\(^1\)C. Letters, i. 397.
was a heart-searching and critical one. From the time when he left Cambridge, Wordsworth had followed no settled occupation, but there had grown within him the belief that he would achieve greatness as a poet. In the year 1791–2 he visited France at a time when the Revolution was at its height, when the monarchy was overthrown and the Republic established, and later described in *The Prelude* the impact of the Revolution upon him. In the beginning he experienced the intoxication of feeling that Freedom’s banner was at last unfurled:

Bliss was it in that dawn to be alive,
But to be young was very Heaven...

From Paris, where he saw the ruins of the Bastille, he went on to Orléans and Blois. At Blois he met Beaupuy, a captain in the Republican army, and under his influence became a ‘Democrat’ or Republican. At Orléans or Blois he met Annette Vallon, the French girl with whom he fell in love. Annette became the mother of his daughter, but Wordsworth was unable to marry her because lack of money forced him to return to England. The next few years were utterly miserable for Wordsworth. He had no settled home and was separated from Annette and his child. His opinions were disliked by his family and his own country declared war upon France. Finally his best hopes turned into his worst fears. His revolutionary ardour changed to a sense of betrayal as he learned of the excesses of the Jacobins. In *The Prelude* he writes of the nightmares in which, he said,

...I pleaded
Before unjust Tribunals, with a voice
Labouring, a brain confounded, and a sense
Of treachery and desertion in the place
The holiest that I knew of, my own soul.1

During these desperate years which followed his return from France, Wordsworth experienced something approaching a mental breakdown. It was only when his friend Raisley Calvert left him a legacy of £900 that some relief came. With this help he

---

1 *Reflections on Having Left a Place of Retirement.*
settled with his sister Dorothy at Racedown in Dorset, and then, so as to be near Coleridge, at Alfoxden in Somerset. Here with the aid of his sister and his friend, in the peaceful countryside of the Quantocks, his mind recovered its normal balance and he turned to poetry once again.

Before starting on their German tour, Wordsworth and his sister spent some time in Bristol to see *Lyrical Ballads* through the press. They stayed at Shirehampton, across the Clifton Downs from Bristol. During the second week of their visit they crossed the Severn by ferry and walked up the Wye Valley, through Tintern to Monmouth and Goodrich, retracing the steps taken by Wordsworth five years before on his return from France. *Tintern Abbey* was written during this visit to the Wye. They returned by boat to Aust on the Gloucestershire side of the Severn and Wordsworth composed the last passage of the poem as he and his sister walked, on the last evening of their tour, down the hill from Clifton to Bristol. That they should have walked into Bristol for William to write the poem down and give it to Cottle to include in *Lyrical Ballads*, indicates the importance he attached to it.

*Tintern Abbey* was the last poem to be written of the original *Lyrical Ballads* and it is the most considerable of Wordsworth's poems in the volume. It sums up Wordsworth's beliefs, formed over the five previous testing years. Curiously it echoes the first poem in the collection, Coleridge's major contribution, the *Ancient Mariner*. Coleridge's poem, though it probably has some personal reference, is narrative or dramatic in form, whereas Wordsworth's is directly autobiographical. But the two poems have a certain identity in the central experience they convey. Like the Ancient Mariner, Wordsworth had passed through a dark night of the soul and the visionary splendour he had experienced on the banks of the Wye had left him 'a sadder and a wiser man'. In both poems there is a stripping away of pretension and a new self-awareness gained through suffering. In both poems there is the belief, as Coleridge put it, 'that every Thing has a life of it's own, & that we are all one Life',¹ or as Wordsworth expressed it, that 'Nature never did betray/ The heart that loved her'. These two poems form a fitting introduction and conclusion to the 1798

¹ *Prelude*, x. 377–81 [1805].
volume, for the remainder of the poems are characterized by a stripping away of poetic ornament and a conviction that the natural piety which binds all men together is best sustained by a simple communion with nature.

2

The title of the 1798 volume is *Lyrical Ballads with a Few Other Poems*. The *Other Poems* in fact form a fairly large proportion of the collection. If we disregard Coleridge’s contribution—and it amounts to one-third of the total pages—we are even more aware of how few ballads there really are. *Goody Blake and Harry Gill, The Idiot Boy* and *The Thorn* are the only real ballads by Wordsworth. There are some songs, such as *The Mad Mother*, and *The Complaint of a Forsaken Indian Woman*, and some pieces such as *The Tables Turned, Lines Written at a Small Distance from My House* and *Lines Written in Early Spring*, which though lyrical could hardly be called ballads. The only other poems by Wordsworth which approximate to the ballad form are the narrative and anecdotal poems such as *The Female Vagrant, We are Seven, Simon Lee* and *The Last of the Flock*.

What is it then, we might ask, that gives unity to these poems of Wordsworth? The most obvious answer, of course, would be the simplicity of style and language, which characterizes them all. Wordsworth directs our attention to this in the *Advertisement* to the 1798 edition and defends it at length in the *Preface* he wrote for the 1800 edition. The poems were a conscious attempt to write in a new way, and much of the controversy about them has centred on this. Revolutions in poetic style, however, generally express a desire not only to write in a new way but to find the appropriate idiom for a new apprehension of the truth.

At first sight it might appear as if Wordsworth had failed to keep his part of the agreement recorded in *Biographia Literaria*. As a companion-piece to the *Ancient Mariner* he had written *Peter Bell* in which the supernatural narrative of Coleridge’s poem was given its natural counterpart, but *Peter Bell* was not included in *Lyrical Ballads* and was not in fact published until 1819. Critics have tended to assume that the plans for a joint publication were never brought to a successful conclusion and that the poems sent to Cottle were written independently of them. But this is not in

---

accordance with Coleridge’s account in *Biographia Literaria*, and he writes very exactly. It is true that things did not run entirely according to plan, but this was because Coleridge failed to keep pace with Wordsworth, not because of any defection on Wordsworth’s part. Both men contributed to the collection poems they had already written. Norman Fruman, in his *Coleridge, A Damaged Archangel*, tells us that we cannot trust Coleridge’s statement that the *Ancient Mariner* was written as part of their joint plan, since the poem ‘was written before *Lyrical Ballads* had ever been conjectured by either poet’. But this does not invalidate Coleridge’s account, for the plan was not an *a priori* one, but based upon work already completed or in progress and upon their respective talents and ideals. Coleridge’s account is also challenged in Stephen Parrish’s *The Art of the Lyrical Ballads*, in which he writes that Wordsworth ‘never confirmed this dual purpose’ described by Coleridge. But nor did Wordsworth ever deny it. It is true that his account of the matter as told to his friend Isabella Fenwick, and quoted by Parrish, maintained that the volume was to consist of ‘Poems chiefly on natural subjects taken from common life, but looked at, as much as might be, through an imaginative medium’. But Wordsworth, who was recalling events that had occurred many years earlier, had already, as early as the 1800 edition, begun to think of *Lyrical Ballads* as largely his own work and, indeed, his was the only name on the title-page of this edition. It might be that when he made this remark to Isabella Fenwick he was thinking only of his own poems. Parrish may be on firmer ground when he argues that both poets made *Lyrical Ballads* ‘seem more planned…than they actually were’. Certainly there is some force in his assertion that the poems in the collection ‘were rooted more in conflict than harmony’; something that emerged when the 1800 edition was being prepared.

But if Chapter XIV of *Biographia Literaria* means anything at all it means that we should expect to find in Wordsworth’s poems in *Lyrical Ballads*—leaving out of account the ‘two or three poems written in his own character, in the impassioned, lofty, and sustained diction, which is characteristic of his genius’—an
endeavour ‘to give the charm of novelty to things of every day, and to excite a feeling analogous to the supernatural, by awakening the mind’s attention from the lethargy of custom’. We should expect to find ‘characters and incidents such as will be found in every village and its vicinity, where there is a meditative and feeling mind to seek after them’, and a stripping off of ‘the film of familiarity and selfish solicitude’\(^1\) which hides their significance from us. This in fact is what we do find.

By using the poem’s subject as narrator, as in *The Female Vagrant*, for example, Wordsworth maintains some degree of naturalism. By allowing his rustic character free range over the felicities of his own language and by adapting to his purposes the elements of a basically simple poetic form, Wordsworth achieves something of the impersonal authenticity of the traditional ballad. In this way he brings together a bareness of language and an elementary poetic form to express the simple directness of his personal vision. His sense of man’s solitary dignity depends largely on his personal faith in the influence of nature, but also on his conviction of the interdependence of man and nature. Clearly, in the *Preface* to the 1800 edition, he was not so much discussing poetic theory in general, as the particular techniques which he found it necessary to deploy in the attempt to find an objective formulation of an intensely personal faith. His personae are never allowed a dramatic life of their own and exist only in so far as they represent their creator’s point of vision. The exception is *The Thorn* which, significantly, fails at just those points in the poem where the persona threatens to take on an independent dramatic existence.

These poems were written in a style unlike that of his other work; they were, as Wordsworth informs the reader in his *Advertisement*, to be ‘considered as experiments’. He had already achieved some success in the style which he was to bring to perfection in *Tintern Abbey*, but this was not the best medium for what he was trying to do here. In attempting to get behind ‘the lethargy of custom’, in trying to make people see again with a freshness of vision what had lain before their eyes all the time, he had to avoid anything that smacked of poetic cliché. The ‘poetry’ was almost an obstacle to the process of communication;

\(^1\) *Biog. Lit.*, ii. 6–7.
something Wilfred Owen must have felt when he wrote as a Preface to his own poems, ‘Above all I am not concerned with Poetry. My subject is War, and the pity of War. The Poetry is in the pity.’ For Wordsworth, too, the important thing was the emotion aroused by the poem and not the poem itself; as he put it in the Preface to the 1800 edition,

...the feeling therein developed gives importance to the action and situation and not the action and situation to the feeling.

The feeling aroused by these poems was to be so powerful and of such a kind that it would, as Coleridge describes it, be analogous to the supernatural, to have an almost religious quality; to be, to use a phrase of Keats (who owed so much to Wordsworth), a feeling of the ‘holiness of the heart’s affections’. As well as using language which was non-literary to the point of bathos, Wordsworth chose subjects that would reveal the workings of the human heart in all their elemental simplicity. This explains his turning to rustic people, and to idiots and children.

Rustic people were chosen not for their ‘quaintness’ nor because Wordsworth was concerned with folk-lore and country customs, but for their lack of sophistication. He was not a dialect poet like Burns, though he admired and was moved by Burns. He explains his interest in idiots in a letter he wrote to John Wilson [Christopher North] in June 1802.¹ The letter is valuable for the light it throws upon the whole of Lyrical Ballads, but especially for what Wordsworth writes about The Idiot Boy. He realized that many people found the poem ridiculous or unpleasant, but these critics had misunderstood his purpose. The story of the idiot boy shows in a graphic manner the strength of maternal love:

...the loathing and disgust which many people have at the sight of an Idiot, is a feeling which...is owing, in a great measure to a false delicacy, and...a certain want of comprehensiveness of think [ing] and feeling.

The well-to-do lack this comprehensiveness, but poor people act with the untutored response of their feelings:
'I have indeed’, he writes, ‘often looked upon the conduct of fathers and mothers of the lower classes of society towards Idiots as the great triumph of the human heart.’

Wordsworth writes of the religious veneration which is given to idiots in some communities and declares:

‘I have often applied to Idiots, in my own mind, that sublime expression of Scripture that, *their life is hidden with God.*’

He feels that idiots evoke in those who care for them a love which is unselfish and uncalculating. This is no prudential morality, but a love which gives freely and without thought of any reward. The spectacle of a mother’s love for an idiot child produced in Wordsworth a realization of the mystery of original goodness.

The closest parallel to *Lyrical Ballads* is Blake’s *Songs of Innocence* which had appeared in 1789. Blake’s childhood poems are similar to Wordsworth’s, for both poets felt that children lacked sophistication and showed human nature untrammelled by the conventions of upbringing and education. It is this childlike quality not only in the infant but in the adult, that seizes their attention, and as with Blake, there are political overtones to Wordsworth’s vision of innocence. His own childhood is important to every artist, but to Wordsworth, especially, its importance was paramount, a time of freedom and joy, a time when, as he wrote at the beginning of *The Prelude*:

The earth is all before me: with a heart
Joyous, nor scar’d at its own liberty,
I looked about, and should the guide I chuse
Be nothing better than a wandering cloud,
I cannot miss my way.¹

¹ John Wilson’s letter, to which Wordsworth’s is a reply, is reproduced in *Appendix C.*

² *E.Y.*, 356–357.
But Man had exiled himself from this Paradise by his own inhumanity, an inhumanity which showed itself politically and economically in the exploitation of one man by another and in the artificiality of convention. In the same passage Wordsworth rejoices in escaping from ‘the vast city, where I long had pined a discontented sojourner’, and the city was to stand in Book VII of *The Prelude* for the corrupting influence of ‘self-destroying, transitory things’ from which Wordsworth fled in dismay:

> Oh, blank confusion! true epitome  
> Of what the mighty City is herself,  
> To thousands upon thousands of her sons,  
> Living amid the same perpetual whirl  
> Of trivial objects.¹

The passion for freedom and the longing for lost innocence were given their greatest political emphasis in the poem which was the earliest written of those in *Lyrical Ballads, The Female Vagrant.*² Wordsworth had returned from France in December 1792, and in the following year he spent July with William Calvert in the Isle of Wight. From there the two friends travelled across Salisbury Plain. At Salisbury they separated and Wordsworth walked to Bath and Bristol before proceeding by the Wye valley to North Wales. This was the occasion of his first visit to Tintern Abbey when we know that he was in a state of great mental agitation. He had seen the English fleet off Portsmouth making ready for the war against France and was filled with foreboding of what he was later to describe in the Advertisement to *Guilt and Sorrow* as the ‘calamities, principally those consequent upon war, to which, more than other classes of men, the poor are subject’. *The Female Vagrant* is undeniably pacifist and radical in its sentiments, but Wordsworth did not regard it as characteristic of *Lyrical Ballads* as a whole. In a letter written on the 9th April 1801 to Anne Taylor, who was an admirer of his work, he criticizes it for a lack of genuine simplicity:

> ‘The diction of that Poem’, he writes, ‘is often vicious, and the descriptions are often false, giving proofs of a mind

1 *Prelude,* i. 15–19 [1805].
Between 1795 and 1798 Wordsworth’s political hopes suffered a sad decline. As the Revolution turned into the Terror he felt increasingly that the prospect of a just society had receded into the far distance. There was a revival of interest in politics at the death of Robespierre, but this was extinguished again with the emergence of Buonapartism.

At this time he had little regard for institutional Christianity. Unlike Coleridge, whose cast of thought was always animae naturaliter Christianae, Wordsworth had pinned his hopes on the perfectibility of human nature and the rule of reason. When, in 1793, Godwin’s *Political Justice* appeared, Wordsworth read it enthusiastically and by 1795, when the two men first met, had become an ardent disciple of Godwin. Godwin disapproved of revolution as a political instrument; for him social justice would be achieved by the exercise of reason unfettered by emotion or by political, religious, or social conventions and institutions. To one, like Wordsworth, who was disillusioned with the Revolution but still retained his political idealism, this formula was at first attractive.

But he soon began to realize its shortcomings and by 1798, Wordsworth had rejected Godwin’s dichotomy of reason and emotion. When he first came under the influence of Godwin’s theories, Wordsworth was suffering from an emotional collapse or what, today, would be called a nervous breakdown. To suggest to one in this state that the emotions should be kept in the strict control of the reason might have seemed good advice. The explanation of a neurotic state in Godwinian terms would be that the emotions had overthrown the rule of reason. No doubt Wordsworth felt at first that this fitted his own case: he had been exposed to vicious influences and in France had been placed in a

---

1 *Prelude*, vii. 722–726 [1850].

2 This poem was later incorporated into a long and quite early poem which Wordsworth entitled *Salisbury Plain*. The final version, first published in 1842, was called *Guilt and Sorrow*, v. Notes to the Poems.

3 E.Y., 328.
situation which had led to a loss of rational control. At Alfoxden the cheerful company of his sister and his friend had restored his peace of mind. But with the return of mental tranquillity there came the conviction that his recovery had had little to do with the exercise of rational control in the Godwinian sense. The new environment had been all-important; it had allowed his spirit to drink at the deep, restorative springs which flowed from nature itself, but it had required very little in the way of intellectual activity.

Wordsworth concludes the Advertisement to the first edition of _Lyrical Ballads_ with the following information:

The lines entitled Expostulation and Reply, and those which follow, arose out of conversation with a friend who was somewhat unreasonably attached to modern books of moral philosophy.

The friend to whom he refers is Hazlitt and the moral philosophy is Godwin’s. _Expostulation and Reply_ speaks of the healing effect of nature as something distinct from the conscious effort of will or intellect:

> Nor less I deem that there are powers,  
> Which of themselves our minds impress,  
> That we can feed this mind of ours,  
> In a wise passiveness.

> Think you, mid all this mighty sum  
> Of things for ever speaking,  
> That nothing of itself will come,  
> But we must still be seeking?

_The Tables Turned_, the other poem to which Wordsworth refers, is even more anti-intellectualist:

> One impulse from a vernal wood  
> May teach you more of man;  
> Of moral evil and of good,  
> Than all the sages can.
Sweet is the lore which nature brings;
Our meddling intellect
Misshapes the beauteous forms of things;
—We murder to dissect.

Enough of science and of art,
Close up these barren leaves;
Come forth, and bring with you a heart
That watches and receives.

*Tintern Abbey* looks back upon the moral and intellectual quest of the previous five years and celebrates the new-found conviction which has flooded Wordsworth’s mind with light. He is now

...well pleased to recognize
In nature and the language of the sense,
The anchor of my purest thoughts, the nurse,
The guide, the guardian of my heart, and soul
Of all my moral being.

It is not the reason which gives the deepest insight but ‘that serene and blessed mood,/In which the affections gently lead us on’; it is not by the intellect but by ‘the deep power of joy,/We see into the life of things’.

It was Hartley rather than Godwin who was able to give Wordsworth a satisfactory explanation of what had happened to him. By 1796 Coleridge had already transferred his allegiance from Godwin to Hartley, a change signalized in the September of that year by the naming of his new-born son Hartley.1 Where Godwin put the emphasis on the reason, Hartley put it on environment. Hartley was an empiricist in the tradition of Locke, but his reputation rested on the plausibility with which he had restated in physiological terms the theory of the association of ideas.

Central to Hartley’s restatement of association was the notion that the mind is passive in perception, a mere *tabula rasa* upon which the outside world writes its impressions. In accordance with this strict empiricism Hartley had stressed the importance of sensation as the basis of all our knowledge, including our moral principles. Morality, on such a view, was the product of
experience, built up from the effects of environment upon one’s personal development. This is of central importance in much of Wordsworth’s poetry. The best known illustration of it is in the passage in Book I of *The Prelude*, which describes the theft of the boat and the feelings of guilt which arose in the poet’s mind as a result of this. But other examples are met with in *Lyrical Ballads*. In *Ruth*, for instance, the treachery of the ‘Youth from Georgia’s Shore’ is attributed to the influence of his surroundings in the New World:

Whatever in those Climes he found
Irregular in sight or sound
Did to his mind impart
A kindred impulse,…

. . . . .

His genius and his moral frame
Were thus impaired, and he became
The slave of low desires.

The *Preface* which Wordsworth wrote to the 1800 edition of *Lyrical Ballads* makes his debt to Hartley abundantly clear,¹ and many of the poems bear the marks of this influence. The *Anecdote for Fathers* is a particularly good example of how ideas are associated in a state of excitement, one of the aims, it will be remembered, which Wordsworth set himself in these poems. Perhaps Hartley may also throw some light on the psychological processes involved in the story of *Goody Blake and Harry Gill*. For Hartley a simple idea and a sensation are almost identical, the differences normally being that an idea is fainter than its corresponding sensation. But what if the idea were impressed upon the mind with great force? Might it not become, as with Harry Gill’s feeling of cold, almost as permanent and vivid as a real sensation?

---

¹ The philosophical interests of Coleridge at this period can be traced by the names he gave his children, for his next son (who was to live for less than a year) was Berkeley, born in May 1798. By the time *Lyrical Ballads* was published, Coleridge was growing dissatisfied with Hartley and within a year or so was to regard his doctrines as false as those of Godwin.
It is not difficult to see why Wordsworth found Hartley so attractive. Wordsworth’s temperament had always caused him to rely more on sensory observation than rational principles, and his character had been influenced more by natural surroundings than formal education. At Racedown and Alfoxden, under the tutelage of his sister Dorothy and his friend Coleridge, he had found mental peace not by trying to find a rational solution to his problems but by ‘a wise passiveness’, by drinking deeply in the soul of things. Hartley’s philosophy and psychology seemed to give warranty for what Wordsworth had proved by the truth of his own experience and what he expressed in *Expostulation and Reply* and in *The Tables Turned*.

It may be argued that the framework of *Tintern Abbey* derives from Hartley and presupposes an empiricist philosophy. The transition in the poem is certainly from a time when sensory pleasures were all important and

...had no need of a remoter charm,
By thought supplied, or any interest
Unborrowed from the eye,

to a more mature wisdom when ‘these wild ecstasies’ have given way to ‘a sober pleasure’ which is the source of moral strength. In other words, Hartley’s account of how the mind moves from sensation through perception to thought, is turned into an analogy of how the individual passes from childhood through youth to maturity.

And yet, attractive as this may be, we meet a difficulty in the lines where Wordsworth describes himself as

...still

---

1 Wordsworth may have known Hartley’s *Observations on Man* in the original edition of 1749 or in the condensed version to which Joseph Priestley gave the name *Hartley’s Theory of the Human Mind*, the second edition of which appeared in 1790. For the fullest treatment of Wordsworth’s indebtedness to Hartley the reader should consult Arthur Beatty, *William Wordsworth: His Doctrine and Art in Their Historical Relations*, University of Wisconsin Studies in Language and Literature, No. 24, Second Edition, Madison, 1927.
A lover of the meadows and the woods,
And mountains; and of all that we behold
From this green earth; of all the mighty world
Of eye and ear, both what they half-create,\(^1\)
And what perceive; well pleased to recognize

In nature and the language of the sense,
The anchor of my purest thoughts, the nurse,
The guide, the guardian of my heart, and soul
Of all my moral being.

The phrase in italics hardly suggests the rigorous empiricism of Hartley. It suggests rather a passage in *Biographia Literaria*, written many years later, in which Coleridge is criticizing Hartley’s theory, and where he writes:

There are evidently two powers at work [in the mind],
which relatively to each other are active and passive; and this
is not possible without an intermediate faculty, which is at
once both active and passive. (In philosophical language,
we must denominate this intermediate faculty in all its
degrees and determinations, the IMAGINATION....)

\((\text{Biog. Lit, i. 86})\)

Or, perhaps, the more famous lines in Coleridge’s *Dejection* written in 1802:

I may not hope from outward Forms to win
The Passion and the Life, whose Fountains are within!

This passage in *Tintern Abbey* may have sprung from a new-
found conviction which Wordsworth owed to Coleridge.

\(^1\) Wordsworth added the following footnote to this line: ‘This line has a close resemblance to an admirable line of Young, the exact expression of which I cannot recollect.’ He had no time to look it up for he had hurried with the poem straight to Cottle’s on arriving back in Bristol from the Wye valley. The line he had in mind was from Young’s *Night Thoughts* (vi. 424) and ran: ‘And half-create the wondrous world they see’. 
Coleridge was already immersing himself in Berkeley; and in the later and more Platonic Berkeley, and in the seventeenth-century Platonists whom he had also been reading, he would have found a doctrine of the mind’s creativity in perception. It may have owed more to Wordsworth’s own experience, for we know from *The Prelude* how from boyhood there were times when his surroundings appeared to him as a vision created by his own mind. Indeed, at an intellectual level he still seemed committed to Hartley, and the Preface to the 1800 edition of *Lyrical Ballads* shows an indebtedness to Hartleian psychology that was to lead to serious disagreement between him and Coleridge.

3

Having deposited *Lyrical Ballads* with Cottle, the Wordsworths and Coleridge left England for Germany. They sailed from Yarmouth on the 16th September 1798, and four days later landed in Hamburg. The purpose of their visit was to learn the language and its literature, and to provide Coleridge with an opportunity of studying German philosophy and science. William and Dorothy returned to England early in May of the following year, Coleridge not until July. For most of the time the Wordsworths and Coleridge were separated; the Wordsworths spending the winter at Goslar, a small town near Brunswick, and Coleridge at Ratzeburg, from which he moved in February to the University of Göttingen. For Coleridge the German visit was a success. At Ratzeburg there was an enjoyable social life and at Göttingen he found the intellectual stimulus his mind needed. With the Wordsworths it was very different. They had no Wedgwood annuity and their resources were very few. Goslar was a small provincial town; they were cut off there by what Wordsworth described as the worst winter of the century and they were homesick. And yet the vein of creative ability which Wordsworth had tapped the previous summer seemed to flow again in abundance. Perhaps he had this period in mind when he wrote of poetry having its origin in ‘emotion recollected in tranquillity’, for the poetry he wrote at this time was not about his immediate surroundings, but about England and his childhood and youth. As with many other writers, exile fed the springs of his inspiration. It was at Goslar that Wordsworth

---

wrote most of what later became the 1799 Prelude, including the passages about nutting, skating, and the theft of the boat. And a good many of the poems Wordsworth was to include in the second edition of Lyrical Ballads were composed in Germany: A Poet’s Epitaph, The Two April Mornings, The Fountain, Ruth, and the group of ‘Lucy poems’.

Meanwhile the first edition of Lyrical Ballads had made its appearance. Sara Coleridge, writing to her husband on the 24th March 1799, speaks of its indifferent reception: ‘The Lyrical Ballads are not esteemed well here.’ Behind this remark there may have lurked resentment at Coleridge’s absence in Germany while she was ill and their baby, Berkeley, had died, but it was probably inspired by Southey, who had been on rather cool terms with his brother-in-law since their quarrel over Pantisocracy. Southey was one of the first to review the volume, in The Critical Review, October 1798. He was aware of the joint authorship of the poems and the knowledge of this must have added for Coleridge a certain offensiveness to his criticism. He was especially truculent over the Ancient Mariner:

‘Many of the stanzas are laboriously beautiful;’ he wrote, ‘but in connection they are absurd or unintelligible…. We do not sufficiently understand the story to analyse it. It is a Dutch attempt at German sublimity. Genius has here been employed in producing a poem of little merit.’

But The Idiot Boy fared little better:

‘No tale less deserved the labour that appears to have been bestowed upon this. It resembles a Flemish picture in the worthlessness of its design and the excellence of its execution.’

Wordsworth seems to have been totally unaware of how his poems had been received while he was away. He returned to England with a renewed love for his own country; in the last of the ‘Lucy’ poems, written after his return, he cries out, with the relief of a man who recognizes at last what has been troubling him:
I travelled among unknown men
In lands beyond the sea;
Nor, England! did I know till then
What love I bore to thee.

'Tis past that melancholy dream!
Nor will I quit thy shore
A second time; for still I seem
To love thee more and more.

Like Milton when his hopes of a Christian commonwealth faded at the Restoration, so Wordsworth withdrew to his native mountains and a ‘paradise within’. His withdrawal was the physical counterpart to that mood of passivity encountered in Tintern Abbey, a mood in which the poet is ‘laid asleep in body’, in which he ceases to struggle and allows the great restorative principle of nature to assert itself. This mood colours the poems he wrote in Germany and immediately after his return. We see it in the lines,

A slumber did my spirit seal;
I had no human fears…

and in the poem which describes the poet’s ride to Lucy’s cottage in the moonlight:

In one of those sweet dreams I slept,
Kind Nature’s gentlest boon!

Before they moved to Grasmere and immediately on returning to England, Wordsworth and Dorothy stayed for seven months at Sockburn near Hurworth-on-Tees, the home of their friends the Hutchinsons. Coleridge on his return settled for a few months at Stowey, before moving with his wife and family to London, where he was to work on the Morning Post. But before going to London he paid a visit to Sockburn, alarmed at reports which had reached him of Wordsworth’s ill-health. Cottle had also been

1 For the full review see Appendix C.
invited to Sockburn and the two of them travelled together to the North from Bristol by post-chaise. They reached Sockburn on the 26th October 1799 and found Wordsworth not only well but fit enough to start the following day on a walking tour to the Lakes. Cottle went only as far as Greta Bridge; he was suffering from rheumatism and probably felt that he could not keep up with his companions. He may have felt this mentally as well as physically, for the two poets had a great deal to discuss and were in fact at a turning point in their careers.

It was during this walking-tour that Wordsworth showed Coleridge for the first time the scenes of his boyhood and told him of his decision to settle at Grasmere. We know from the notebook which Coleridge kept, the impression this countryside made upon his imagination. They stayed at Grasmere for five days, exploring the neighbourhood in wintry weather that gave the landscape an even greater sublimity than usual. ‘Nature lived for us in all her grandest accidents’, wrote Coleridge to Dorothy Wordsworth from Keswick on the return journey. By the end of the year the Wordsworths had left Sockburn and moved into Dove Cottage at Grasmere. By the middle of the next year, 1800, Coleridge had moved his wife and family to Keswick to be near ‘his god Wordsworth’, as Lamb called him. Coleridge had hoped to persuade his friend to return to the Quantocks, so that he might enjoy the company of both Wordsworth and Thomas Poole, his benefactor at Stowey, but it was not to be. Writing to Poole in March 1800, Coleridge says: ‘I would to God I could get Wordsworth to retake Alfoxden. The society of so great a being is of priceless value: but he will never quit the North of England.’

On his return to England Wordsworth had at once begun to think of a new edition of Lyrical Ballads, for the first edition had sold out. He had got in touch with Cottle, who still retained the copyright though he had sold the first edition to the London bookseller, Arch. Wordsworth felt that the Ancient Mariner had been responsible for the lukewarm reception given to the poems on their first appearance. He proposed to Cottle that if there were a new edition he should substitute for Coleridge’s poem some more of his own. In fact, when the new edition appeared it contained all Coleridge’s original contributions together with an additional poem of his, Love, although the title was given as Lyrical Ballads, by W.Wordsworth and no reference was made to Coleridge.
Cottle on retiring from the publishing business at the end of 1799 had disposed of his copyrights to the London firm of Longman. They had considered the copyright of *Lyrical Ballads* worthless and so Cottle had asked for it back and presented it to Wordsworth. But more favourable reviews and, even more, the steady sale of the poems must have caused Longman to revise his opinion, for on the 8th June Wordsworth wrote to his brother Richard that Longman had offered £80 ‘for the right of printing two editions of 750 each of this vol: of poems and of printing two editions, one of 1000 and another of 750 of another vol: of the same size’. The new edition was printed at Bristol by the firm of Biggs and Co., and published in London by Longman. Wordsworth himself was at Grasmere and he asked Coleridge’s friend Humphry Davy, the distinguished chemist, who lived in Bristol, to see the poems through the press. Although he did not know Davy personally, he left most of the work to him and Coleridge. Coleridge must have felt this, for writing to Davy on 25th July, he called Wordsworth ‘a lazy fellow’. With such divided responsibility, it is no wonder that the poems were not published until January 1801, although the title-page bore the date 1800. It was intended to include *Christabel*, but Coleridge never managed to finish it and wrote to Davy on the 9th October 1800, that it was ‘in direct opposition to the very purpose for which *Lyrical Ballads* were published’. By this Coleridge meant that it did not fit in with Wordsworth’s poems of rural life. The same argument applied, of course, to the *Ancient Mariner*, and although it was not dropped, it was moved from the beginning of the first volume to the end. Not only this, but the archaic spellings were all changed and Wordsworth added a note to the poem, apologizing for its ‘strangeness’. Coleridge raised no objections to this, but his letters reveal that it produced in him a loss of confidence as a poet. When the second edition was published Lamb wrote to Wordsworth and protested very strongly at the way the *Ancient Mariner* had been handled and in subsequent editions the note was omitted. But this marked the beginning of the weakening of the intimacy that had existed between the two poets.

Wordsworth seems to have become intent on showing in the new edition that poetry should depict ‘incidents of common life’ and demonstrate the workings of our ‘primary passions’. The importance of Coleridge’s contribution, that is, poems based
upon the supernatural, faded from his mind. If in Wordsworth’s estimation *The Idiot Boy* was the chief poem of volume one, then *Michael* was the most important poem in volume two. His conviction that the elemental passions of human nature are best seen in the simple environment of country-life was strengthened by his settling at Grasmere. The notion in the earlier volume that contact with nature brings emotional stability and virtue, is deepened in the later volume to the belief that character, the settled disposition of our sentiments and consequent actions, is conditioned more by environment than by rational *a priori* principles of the kind advanced by Godwin.

There is an increased assurance in many of the poems in the second volume which seems to spring from Wordsworth’s newfound sense of security. The patriotism he had felt in Germany had become a very personal thing since his return to England. He had a home of his own and had found a new sense of independence. In a letter to Thomas Poole, in which he says that Poole is the prototype of Michael, he writes:

> I have attempted to give a picture of a man, of strong mind and lively sensibility, agitated by two of the most powerful affections of the human heart; the parental affection, and the love of property, *landed* property, including the feelings of inheritance, home and personal and family independence.\(^1\)

Wordsworth was now a man with a stake in the country, not only in the political but in a psychological sense. He had put down his roots in their native soil.

All this is reflected in the radicalism of many of the poems in the second volume, in their belief that virtue is nurtured by simplicity of living, family affection, and economic independence. Coupled with this belief is his compassion for individuals who have been made the victims of industrialization and officialdom. One of those to whom Wordsworth sent a presentation copy of the new edition of *Lyrical Ballads* was

---

\(^1\) *E.Y.*, 283.

\(^2\) v. Notes to the *Ancient Mariner*. 
Charles James Fox and with the two volumes he sent a long letter (dated 14th January 1801) in which he wrote:

But recently by the spreading of manufactures through every part of the country, by the heavy taxes upon postage, by work-houses, Houses of Industry, and the invention of Soup-shops &c. &c. superadded to the encreasing disproportion between the price of labour and that of the necessaries of life, the bonds of domestic feeling among the poor, as far as the influence of these things has extended, have been weakened, and in innumerable instances entirely destroyed…

He goes on to describe how the new institutions have broken up family life:

…parents are separated from their children, and children from their parents; the wife no longer prepares with her own hands a meal for her husband, the produce of his labour; there is little doing in his house in which his affections can be interested, and but little left in it which he can love.¹

An important feature of the second edition of *Lyrical Ballads* was the *Preface*, which replaced the *Advertisement* of 1798. Wordsworth always maintained that he disliked writing of this kind and that it was written only to please Coleridge, but it is hard to believe that he wrote six thousand words for this reason alone. There was talk of Coleridge himself undertaking the task, for on the 13th July 1802 in a letter to his friend Sotheby, he wrote, ‘…the f[first pass]ages were indeed partly taken from notes of mine for it was at first intended that the Preface should be written by me’.² If Wordsworth did write only to please Coleridge, he failed in his purpose, for long before his critique of Wordsworth’s theories in the *Biographia Literaria*, Coleridge expressed his disagreement with the *Preface*. Within a fortnight of writing to Sotheby he confided to Southey also his dissatisfaction with Wordsworth’s work. ‘…altho’ Wordsworth’s Preface is half

¹ E.Y., 322.
a child of my own Brain’, he wrote, ‘...yet I am far from going all lengths with Wordsworth’. In the letter to Sotheby he had gone so far as to say of himself and Wordsworth, ‘...we begin to suspect, that there is, somewhere or other, a radical Difference [in our] opinions’.

Many readers have believed Wordsworth’s views about poetic diction to be the main point in Coleridge’s criticism of the Preface. Those chapters of Biographia Literaria which refer specifically to Lyrical Ballads certainly concentrate very largely on this topic, but the remaining chapters, too, are written with the Preface in mind. Indeed, Biographia Literaria as a whole can be seen as Coleridge’s reply to Wordsworth. It was not only Wordsworth’s views on poetic diction, but his conception of poetry itself, which raised doubts in Coleridge’s mind and at the centre of their disagreement lies Coleridge’s belief that Wordsworth’s conception of poetry relied too much on Hartley.

The immediate purpose of the Preface was, of course, to disarm criticism. The Advertisement to the 1798 edition had spoken of the Ballads as ‘experiments’ and had asked for an unprejudiced hearing for them, but it was clear that a good many readers were confused and uncertain in their judgments. The very term ballad was ambiguous; as well as the traditional ballad, there were many contemporary poems called ballads, which did not seem to belong firmly to any one recognizable kind. Robert Mayo accurately describes the situation when he writes, ‘By 1798 almost anything might be called a “ballad” and very often it was.’ Wordsworth’s own poems might have seemed to many of his readers not unlike the ballads they already knew in the magazines of the day. Why, then, did Wordsworth describe them as ‘experiments’, and what was the peculiar significance of the adjective Lyrical he and Coleridge had used in the title of their volume? It was to answer these and similar questions that the Preface was written.

To accomplish his purpose, Wordsworth felt that it was necessary to start at the beginning and to define the nature of poetry. His account of poetry is a psychological one which

---

1 E.Y., pp. 313–314.
2 C. Letters, ii. 811.
3 Ibid., ii. 830.
describes the genesis of poetry in the poet’s mind. ‘Poetry’, he
tells us in a famous passage of the Preface, ‘is the spontaneous
overflow of powerful feelings.’ These feelings do not at once lead
to the creation of poetry; they are recalled by the poet after the
actual situation which first aroused them is past. Poetry, he
continues,

...takes its origin from emotion recollected in tranquillity:
the emotion is contemplated till by a species of reaction the
tranquillity gradually disappears, and an emotion, similar
to that which was before the subject of contemplation, is
gradually produced, and does itself actually exist in the
mind.¹

This recollected emotion is not absolutely identical with the
original, for whatever its original character, it produces when
turned into poetic channels, a feeling of pleasure. Even if the
original feelings were painful, its recollection as part of artistic
creation is such that ‘the mind will upon the whole be in a state
of enjoyment’. This process of katharsis should also occur in the
reader, and the poet, according to Wordsworth, has a duty to see
that it does:

...the Poet...ought especially to take care, that whatever
passions he communicates to his Reader, those passions, if
his Reader’s mind be sound and vigorous, should always be
accompanied with an overbalance of pleasure.

By ‘feeling’ or ‘emotion’ in these and other passages of the Preface
Wordsworth does not mean pure feeling or emotion, for, as he
tells us in Hartleian language, ‘our continued influxes of feeling
are modified and directed by our thoughts’ and our thoughts ‘are
indeed the representatives of all our past feelings’. He is
concerned with states of mind in which strong emotion
accompanies or is accompanied by some idea or ideas. These
feelings and ideas are more important than the stories recounted
in his poems; this, no doubt, explains why the poems are called
not simply ballads, but Lyrical Ballads.

‘I should mention one other circumstance’, he writes, ‘which distinguishes these Poems from the popular Poetry of the day; it is this, that the feeling therein developed gives importance to the action and situation and not the action and situation to the feeling.’

Not only does Wordsworth give a psychological description of the nature of poetry, but the purpose of the poems in *Lyrical Ballads* is put in psychological terms derived from Hartley:

‘The principal object then which I proposed to myself in these Poems’, he writes, ‘was to make the incidents of common life interesting by tracing in them, truly though not ostentatiously, the primary laws of our nature: chiefly as far as regards the manner in which we associate ideas in a state of excitement.’

Wordsworth believed that the springs of human behaviour are revealed more clearly in moments of crisis (a state of excitement), because on such occasions men do not react with a stock response or hide behind a façade of convention. He expresses himself more clearly, perhaps, a little later on when he redefines his purpose as being ‘to follow the fluxes and refluxes of the mind when agitated by the great and simple affections of our nature’. The risk he has run, he thinks, in choosing such subjects, is to have been too particular or idiosyncratic. Even this fear is expressed in Hartleian terms: ‘I am sensible that my associations must have sometimes been particular instead of general.’ But on the whole he thinks that simple and rustic people will best serve his purpose because in them human nature stands more clearly revealed and is less corrupted by social convention:

‘Low and rustic life was generally chosen,’ he explains to the reader, ‘because in that situation the essential passions of the heart find a better soil in which they can attain their maturity, are less under restraint, and speak a plainer and

---

1 The phrase ‘emotion recollected in tranquillity’ may have come from Coleridge (*v. C. Notebooks*, i. 787 and 787n).
more emphatic language; because in that situation our elementary feelings exist in a state of greater simplicity, and consequently may be more accurately contemplated.'

There is much here that was to be challenged, but the most important assumption (so far as his own poems are concerned) was that concerning the language of rustic people:

    The language too of these men is adopted (purified indeed from what appear to be its real defects, from all lasting and rational causes of dislike or disgust) because such men hourly communicate with the best objects from which the best part of language is originally derived.

Coleridge pointed out the ambiguity of the phrase ‘best objects’. Did this mean natural objects, or perhaps the Bible, which had played a part in shaping the speech of Wordsworth’s neighbours? Presumably Wordsworth had in mind natural objects, for in the same passage he asserts that ‘the passions of men are incorporated with the beautiful and permanent forms of nature’, and if their passions, then perhaps their language which is the expression of these passions, would reveal the influence of nature. But if this is so, what are the defects he refers to? Why should the speech of men in hourly communion with the beauty of nature, have features that disgust us?

One thing is clear, Wordsworth was not attempting dialect poetry. The poems in *Lyrical Ballads* do not try to capture the atmosphere or style of folk poetry. They use rather a ‘selection of language really used by men’ so as to avoid the literary and artificial language of much eighteenth-century poetry, and because this will bring them closer to human behaviour. Coleridge was at one with Wordsworth in wanting to cut through the artificiality of a good deal of poetic diction, but he remained unpersuaded that a style based upon rustic speech was the formula to use for achieving ‘a more permanent and a far more philosophical language’.

To those who might ask Wordsworth why he should bother to write in verse when he believed that ‘between the language of prose and metrical composition…there neither is nor can be any
essential difference’, he has a double answer. At first sight the two parts of his argument seem to contradict each other. Rhythm, he tells us, will ‘have great efficacy in tempering and restraining the passion’ which is aroused by poetry. But if our passions have not been sufficiently stimulated by ‘the Poet’s words’, their metre ‘will greatly contribute to impart passion to the words’. What Wordsworth is saying is not self-contradictory, but contains a valuable insight into the part played by metre in poetry. Rhythm arouses emotion but it also controls it; it subordinates it to the whole aesthetic experience and also has a therapeutic value.

Throughout the Preface there runs this thread of psychological interest and explanation. Wordsworth was deeply interested in the processes of the poetic mind. Unfortunately the only psychological theory he could find to explain these processes was Hartley’s and at the centre of Hartley’s theory, the key concept of his thought, was the association of ideas. Here was what dissatisfied Coleridge, for as he was to argue so strenuously in Biographia Literaria, association can only lead to works of fancy. ‘The Fancy’, as he was to write in Chapter XIII of that work, ‘is indeed no other than a mode of Memory emancipated from the order of time and space…it must receive all its materials ready made from the law of association.’¹ This did not explain Wordsworth’s own poetry. Coleridge was convinced that Wordsworth’s work was the product not of fancy but of imagination, a creative and not merely an associative faculty. Wordsworth himself had not explained this and so Coleridge continued with those ‘repeated meditations’ he refers to in Chapter IV of Biographia Literaria, in the effort to distinguish properly between the fancy and the imagination.

No doubt Coleridge told Wordsworth of his disagreement with the 1800 Preface, for when a new edition of Lyrical Ballads was called for in 1802, Wordsworth, as well as making minor amendments, took the opportunity of adding a passage of some three thousand words. This passage is devoted to the question, ‘What is a Poet?’, and to a discussion of poetic pleasure which approximates in some degree to the views Coleridge held. Wordsworth may be influenced by Hartley as when, for instance,

¹ Biog. Lit, i. 305.
he writes of the poet as one who above all possesses the power of expressing ‘especially those thoughts and feelings which, by his own choice, or from the structure of his own mind, arise in him without immediate external excitement’. But when he discusses the relation of science and poetry he writes in terms which must have met with Coleridge’s approval, for they anticipate the language of Biographia Literaria.

Wordsworth believes with Aristotle that poetry is more philosophical than history: ‘…its object is truth, not individual and local, but general, and operative’. But he also makes claims for poetry that would raise it above science. The disciplines of discursive thought, he maintains, are all abstractions, they reflect only a part of human nature, whereas poetry is a reflection of the whole mind of man:

The Poet writes under one restriction only, namely, that of the necessity of giving immediate pleasure to a human being possessed of that information which may be expected from him, not as a lawyer, a physician, a mariner, an astronomer or a natural philosopher, but as a Man. Except this one restriction, there is no object standing between the Poet and the image of things.

This is close to what Coleridge wrote later in Biographia Literaria:

A poem is that species of composition, which is opposed to works of science, by proposing for its immediate object pleasure, not truth.1

And

The poet, described in ideal perfection, brings the whole soul of man into activity, with the subordination of its faculties to each other, according to their relative worth and dignity.2

---

1 Biog. Lit, ii. 12.
2 Ibid., ii. 15–16.
But whereas Coleridge went on to give an impressive analysis of the poetic imagination and its relation to the reasoning power of man’s mind, Wordsworth found it difficult to articulate his visionary experience. The long philosophical poem which Coleridge was always urging him to write remained unfinished; his great achievement was to be *The Prelude*, the poem on the growth of his own mind.

Since our last edition, *Lyrical Ballads*, in common with literary studies generally, has seen many changes in critical theory and practice. In the last few years in particular, the poems in both the 1798 and 1800 editions have been subjected to structuralist, post-structuralist and deconstructive criticism. The old left-wing orthodoxies of English writers such as E.P. Thompson have been supplanted by theories originating in France which bring together Marx and Freud. In this kind of critical writing Marx is used to explain the structures of historical necessity which are said to underlie all cultural phenomena, and Freud, the unconscious mechanisms of suppression and evasion which allow a writer to disguise or reject his awareness of the historical truth. Wordsworth’s claim in the 1802 *Preface* that the poet ‘is a man speaking to men’\(^1\) is replaced with the view that the poet is the mouthpiece of historical and class conflict and that his silences are as pregnant with meaning as his statements. An acute critique of some of these developments as applied to *Lyrical Ballads* can be found in M.H. Abrams’s essay ‘On political readings of *Lyrical Ballads*’. Abrams’s own approach, based upon a close reading of the texts, has been to see *Lyrical Ballads* as the beginning of a movement which sought to replace the failure of the French Revolution with a secularized version of Christianity, and he places the poems in the context of a Romantic literature which has its parallel in German idealist philosophy. Instead of relating them to an English literary tradition stretching back through the eighteenth century, he sees them as marking the dawn of a new age and emphasizes their novelty rather than their continuity with the past. Other critics, too, have discussed the poems in association with the rest of Wordsworth’s work. There is some

---

\(^1\) Some feminist critics have considered this deliberately discriminatory against women writers, but there is little to support this view.
justification for this, since poetry which Wordsworth published much later was written or drafted at the same time as *Lyrical Ballads*, and in later life he spoke of his poetry as forming one great edifice comparable to a Gothic cathedral. Coleridge, too, in his later years saw the *Ancient Mariner* as autobiographical and his critical theories looked back to his collaboration with Wordsworth. But reservations and modifications will, no doubt, be made to these approaches, since interest in the poems continues unabated and the conceptual analysis of all good poetry is never ending.
Poems in the 1798 edition of Lyrical Ballads in the order in which they were printed

The number in brackets gives the order in the first volume of the 1800 edition.

1. (23) The Rime of the Ancyent Marinere
   (The title in the 1800 edition was The Ancient Mariner: A Poet’s Reverie.)
2. (7) The Foster-Mother’s Tale
3. (6) Lines left upon a Seat in a Yew-tree which stands near the Lake of Esthwaite
4. (17) The Nightingale, a Conversational Poem
   (In most copies this took the place of Lewti while the poems were going through the press.)
5. (13) The Female Vagrant
6. (8) Goody Blake and Harry Gill
7. (12) Lines written at a small distance from my House, and sent by my little Boy to the Person to whom they are addressed
8. (15) Simon Lee, the old Huntsman
9. (11) Anecdote for Fathers
10. (10) We are seven
11. (16) Lines written in early spring
12. (9) The Thorn
13. (5) The last of the Flock
14. (14) The Dungeon
15. (22) The Mad Mother
16. (20) The Idiot Boy
17. (18 and 19) *Lines written near Richmond, upon the Thames, at Evening*

(In the 1800 edition this poem was divided into two separate poems, (1) *Lines written when sailing in a Boat at Evening*, (2) *Lines written near Richmond, upon the Thames*.)

18. (1) *Expostulation and Reply*

19. (2) *The Tables turned; an Evening Scene, on the same subject*

20. (3) *Old Man travelling*

(The title in the 1800 edition was *Animal Tranquillity and Decay, a Sketch*.)

21. (4) *The Complaint of a forsaken Indian Woman*

22. (–) *The Convict*

(Omitted from 1800 edition.)

23. (24) *Lines written a few miles above Tintern Abbey*
Poems in the 1800 edition of Lyrical Ballads in the order in which they were printed

VOLUME ONE

The poems in this first volume were substantially the same as those in the 1798 edition. For details of the order in which they were printed and the changes in the titles of some of the poems, see the list of Poems in the 1798 edition. The Convict was omitted, but the following poem of Coleridge’s was added:

– (21) Love

VOLUME TWO

1. Hart-leap Well
2. There was a Boy, &c.
3. The Brothers, a Pastoral Poem
4. Ellen Irwin, or the Braes of Kirtle
5. Strange fits of passion I have known, &c.
6. Song
7. A slumber did my spirit seal, &c.
8. The Waterfall and the Eglantine
9. The Oak and the Broom, a Pastoral
10. Lucy Gray
11. The Idle Shepherd-Boys, or Dungeon-Gill Force, a Pastoral
12. ’Tis said that some have died for love, &c.
13. Poor Susan
14. Inscription for the Spot where the Hermitage stood on St. Herbert’s Island, Derwent-Water
15. Inscription for the House (an Outhouse) on the Island at Grasmere
16. To a Sexton
17. Andrew Jones
18. The two Thieves, or the last stage of Avarice
19. A whirl-blast from behind the Hill, &c.
20. Song for the wandering Jew
21. Ruth
22. Lines written with a Slate-Pencil upon a Stone, &c.
23. Lines written on a Tablet in a School
24. The two April Mornings
25. The Fountain, a conversation
26. Nutting
27. Three years she grew in sun and shower, &c.
28. The Pet-Lamb, a Pastoral
29. Written in Germany on one of the coldest days of the century
30. The Childless Father
31. The Old Cumberland Beggar, a Description
32. Rural Architecture
33. A Poet’s Epitaph
34. A Character
35. A Fragment
36. Poems on the Naming of Places,
   (I It was an April Morning: fresh and clear
   II To Joanna
   III There is an Eminence,—of these our hills
   IV A narrow girdle of rough stones and crags
   V To M.H.)
37. Michael, a Pastoral

Wordsworth is inconsistent in his use of titles and some of the above titles differ from those which head the poems.
LYRICAL BALLADS,

WITH
A FEW OTHER POEMS.
BRISTOL:
PRINTED BY BIGGS AND COTTLE,
FOR T.N.LONGMAN, PATERNOSTER-ROW, LONDON.
1798.
IT is the honourable characteristic of Poetry that its materials are to be found in every subject which can interest the human mind. The evidence of this fact is to be sought, not in the writings of Critics, but in those of Poets themselves.

The majority of the following poems are to be considered as experiments. They were written chiefly with a view to ascertain how far the language of conversation in the middle and lower classes of society is adapted to the purposes of poetic pleasure. Readers accustomed to the gaudiness and inane phraseology of many modern writers, if they persist in reading this book to its conclusion, will perhaps frequently have to struggle with feelings of strangeness and awkwardness: they will look round for poetry, and will be induced to enquire by what species of courtesy these attempts can be permitted to assume that title. It is desirable that such readers, for their own sakes, should not suffer the solitary word Poetry, a word of very disputed meaning, to stand in the way of their gratification; but that, while they are perusing this book, they should ask themselves if it contains a natural delineation of human passions, human characters, and human incidents; and if the answer be favourable to the author’s wishes, that they should consent to be pleased in spite of that most dreadful enemy to our pleasures, our own pre-established codes of decision.

Readers of superior judgment may disapprove of the style in which many of these pieces are executed it must be expected that many lines and phrases will not exactly suit their taste. It will perhaps appear to them, that wishing to avoid the prevalent fault of the day, the author has sometimes descended too low, and that many of his expressions are too familiar, and not of
sufficient dignity. It is apprehended, that the more conversant the reader is with our elder writers, and with those in modern times who have been the most successful in painting manners and passions, the fewer complaints of this kind will he have to make.

An accurate taste in poetry, and in all the other arts, Sir Joshua Reynolds has observed, is an acquired talent, which can only be produced by severe thought, and a long continued intercourse with the best models of composition. This is mentioned not with so ridiculous a purpose as to prevent the most inexperienced reader from judging for himself; but merely to temper the rashness of decision, and to suggest that if poetry be a subject on which much time has not been bestowed, the judgment may be erroneous, and that in many cases it necessarily will be so.

The tale of Goody Blake and Harry Gill is founded on a well-authenticated fact which happened in Warwickshire. Of the other poems in the collection, it may be proper to say that they are either absolute inventions of the author, or facts which took place within his personal observation or that of his friends. The poem of the Thorn, as the reader will soon discover, is not supposed to be spoken in the author’s own person: the character of the loquacious narrator will sufficiently shew itself in the course of the story. The Rime of the Ancyent Marinere was professedly written in imitation of the style, as well as of the spirit of the elder poets; but with a few exceptions, the Author believes that the language adopted in it has been equally intelligible for these three last centuries. The lines entitled Expostulation and Reply, and those which follow, arose out of conversation with a friend who was somewhat unreasonably attached to modern books of moral philosophy.

ARGUMENT

How a Ship having passed the Line was driven by Storms to the cold Country towards the South Pole; and how from thence she made her course to the tropical Latitude of the Great Pacific Ocean; and of the strange things that befell; and in what manner the Ancyent Marinere came back to his own Country.
The Rime of the Ancyent Marinere,

IN SEVEN PARTS

I

It is an ancyent Marinere,
And he stoppeth one of three:
"By thy long grey beard and thy glittering eye
"Now wherefore stoppest me?

"The Bridegroom’s doors are open’d wide
"And I am next of kin;
"The Guests are met, the Feast is set,—
"May’st hear the merry din.—

But still he holds the wedding-guest—
There was a Ship, quoth he—
"Nay, if thou’st got a laughsome tale,
"Marinere! come with me."

He holds him with his skinny hand,
Quoth he, there was a Ship—
"Now get thee hence, thou grey-beard Loon!
"Or my Staff shall make thee skip.

He holds him with his glittering eye—
The wedding guest stood still

Argument: How a Ship, having first sailed to the Equator, was driven by Storms, to the cold Country towards the South Pole; how the Ancient Mariner cruelly, and in contempt of the laws of hospitality, killed a Sea-bird; and how he was followed by many and strange Judgements; and in what manner he came back to his own Country. [1800].

Om. 1802:1805:1817 & sub.
1. ancyent] ancient [1800].
Marinere] Mariner [1800].
And listens like a three year’s child;
The Marinere hath his will. 20

The wedding-guest sate on a stone,
He cannot chuse but hear:
And thus spake on that ancyent man,
The bright-eyed Marinere.

The Ship was cheer’d, the Harbour clear’d—
Merrily did we drop
Below the Kirk, below the Hill,
Below the Light-house top.

The Sun came up upon the left,
Out of the Sea came he: 30
And he shone bright, and on the right
Went down into the Sea.

Higher and higher every day,
Till over the mast at noon—
The wedding-guest here beat his breast,
For he heard the loud bassoon.

The Bride hath pac’d into the Hall,
Red as a rose is she;
Nodding their heads before her goes
The merry Minstralsy. 40

The wedding-guest he beat his breast,

13–16. He holds him with his skinny hand,
‘There was a ship,’ quoth he.
‘Hold off! unhand me, grey-beard loon!’
Eftsoons his hand dropt he.
The preceding stanza is omitted.

[P. of C.]
Yet he cannot chuse but hear:
And thus spake on that ancýent Man,
The bright-eyed Marinere.

Listen, Stranger! Storm and Wind,
A Wind and Tempest strong!
For days and weeks it play’d us freaks—
Like Chaff we drove along.

Listen, Stranger! Mist and Snow,
And it grew wond’rous cauld:
And Ice mast-high came floating by
As green as Emerauld.

And thro’ the drifts the snowy clífts
Did send a dismal sheen;
Ne shapes of men ne beasts we ken—
The Ice was all between.

45–52. ’And now the STORM-BLAST came, and he
Was tyrannous and strong:
He struck with his o’ertaking wings,
And chased us south along.
With sloping masts and dipping prow,
As who pursued with yell and blow
Still treads the shadow of his foe,
And forward bends his head,
The ship drove fast, loud roared the blast.
And southward aye we fled.

45–48. But now the Northwind came more fierce,
There came a Tempest strong!
And Southward still for days and weeks
Like Chaff we drove along. [1800].

49. And now there came both Mist and Snow, [1800].
The Ice was here, the Ice was there,
The Ice was all around:
It crack’d and growl’d, and roar’d and howl’d—
Like noises of a swound. 60

At length did cross an Albatross,
Thorough the Fog it came;
And an it were a Christian Soul,
We hail’d it in God’s name.

The Marineres gave it biscuit-worms,
And round and round it flew:
The Ice did split with a Thunder-fit;
The Helmsman steer’d us thro’.

And a good south wind sprung up behind,
The Albatross did follow; 70
And every day for food or play
Came to the Marinere’s hollo!

In mist or cloud on mast or shroud
It perch’d for vespers nine,
While all the night thro’ fog-smoke white
Glimmer’d the white moon-shine.

“God save thee, ancyent Marinere!
“From the fiends that plague thee thus—
“Why look’st thou so?”—with my cross bow
I shot the Albatross. 80

II

60. A wild and ceaseless sound. [1800].
65. It ate the food it ne’er had eat, [P. of C.]
75. fog-smoke white] fog smoke-white [1798 amend, errat.].
The Sun came up upon the right,
Out of the Sea came he;
And broad as a weft upon the left
Went down into the Sea.

And the good south wind still blew behind,
But no sweet Bird did follow
Ne any day for food or play
Came to the Marinere’s hollo!

And I had done an hellish thing
And it would work ‘em woe: 90
For all aver’d, I had kill’d the Bird
That made the Breeze to blow.

Ne dim ne red, like God’s own head,
The glorious Sun uprist:
Then all aver’d, I had kill’d the Bird
That brought the fog and mist.
’Twas right, said they, such birds to slay
That bring the fog and mist.

The breezes blew, the white foam flew,
The furrow follow’d free: 100
We were the first that ever burst
Into that silent Sea.

Down dropt the breeze, the Sails dropt down,
’Twas sad as sad could be
And we did speak only to break
The silence of the Sea.

81. The Sun now rose [1800].
83. Still hid in mist; and on the left [1800].
87 & 93 & 118. Ne] Nor [1800].
93. like an Angel’s head, [1800].
All in a hot and copper sky
The bloody sun at noon,
Right up above the mast did stand,
No bigger than the moon.  110

Day after day, day after day,
We stuck, ne breath ne motion,
As idle as a painted Ship
Upon a painted Ocean.

Water, water, every where
And all the boards did shrink;
Water, water, every where
Ne any drop to drink.

The very deeps did rot: O Christ!
That ever this should be I  120
Yea, slimy things did crawl with legs
Upon the slimy Sea.

About, about, in reel and rout
The Death-fires danc’d at night;
The water, like a witch’s oils,
Burnt green and blue and white.

And some in dreams assured were
Of the Spirit that plagued us so:
Nine fathom deep he had followed us
From the Land of Mist and Snow.  130

And every tongue thro’ utter drouth
Was withered at the root;
We could not speak no more than if
We had been choked with soot.

Ah wel-a-day! what evil looks
Had I from old and young;
Instead of the Cross the Albatross
About my neck was hung.
III

I saw a something in the Sky
No bigger than my fist; 140
At first it seem’d a little speck
And then it seem’d a mist:
It mov’d and mov’d, and took at last
A certain shape, I wist.

A speck, a mist, a shape, I wist!
And still it ner’d and ner’d;
And, an it dodg’d a water-sprite,
It plung’d and tack’d and veer’d.

With throat unslack’d, with black lips bak’d
Ne could we laugh, ne wail: 150
Then while thro’ drouth all dumb they stood
I bit my arm and suck’d the blood
And cry’d, A sail! a sail!

With throat unslack’d, with black lips bak’d
Agape they hear’d me call:
Gramercy! they for joy did grin
And all at once their breath drew in
As they were drinking all.

She doth not tack from side to side—

139–140. So past a weary time; each throat
Was parch’d, and glaz’d each eye,
When, looking westward, I beheld
A something in the sky. [1800].

There passed a weary time. Each throat
Was parched, and glazed each eye.
A weary time! A weary time!
How glaz’d each weary eye,
When looking westward, I beheld
A something in the sky. [P. of C.]
Hither to work us weal 160
Withouten wind, withouten tide
She steadies with upright keel.

The western wave was all a flame,
The day was well nigh done!
Almost upon the western wave
Rested the broad bright Sun;
When that strange shape drove suddenly
Betwixt us and the Sun.

And strait the Sun was fleck’d with bars
(Heaven’s mother send us grace) 170
As if thro’ a dungeon grate he peer’d
With broad and burning face.

Alas! (thought I, and my heart beat loud)
How fast she neres and neres!
Are those her Sails that glance in the Sun
Like restless gossameres?

Are these her naked ribs, which fleck’d
The sun that did behind them peer?
And are these two all, all the crew,
That woman and her fleshless Pheere? 180

His bones were black with many a crack,

149–152. With throat unslack’d, with black lips bak’d,
We could nor laugh nor wail;
Thro’ utter drouth all dumb we stood
Till I bit my arm and suck’d the blood, [1800].

154. With throats unslaked etc., [P. of C.]
159–162. See! See! (I cry’d) she tacks no more!
Hither to work us weal
Without a breeze, without a tide
She steadies with upright keel! [1800].
All black and bare, I ween;
Jet-black and bare, save where with rust
Of mouldy damp and charnel crust
They're patch'd with purple and green.

*Her* lips are red, *her* looks are free,
*Her* locks are yellow as gold:
Her skin is as white as leprosy,
And she is far liker Death than he;
Her flesh makes the still air cold. 190

The naked Hulk alongside came
And the Twain were playing dice;
“The Game is done! I’ve won, I’ve won!”
Quoth she, and whistled thrice.

---

177–185. Are those *her* ribs through which the Sun
Did peer, as through a grate?
And is that Woman all her crew?
Is that a DEATH? and are there two?
Is DEATH that woman’s mate? [P. of C.]
Are those *her* ribs, thro’ which the Sun
Did peer as thro’ a grate?
And are those two all, all her crew,
That Woman, and her Mate?

*His* bones were black with many a crack

. . . .

They were patch’d with purple and green. [1800].

186–190. *Her* lips were red, *her* looks were free,
*Her* looks were yellow as gold:
Her skin was as white as leprosy,
The Night-mare LIFE-IN-DEATH was she,
Who thickens man’s blood with cold. [P. of C.]

*Her* lips were red, *her* looks were free,
*Her* locks were as yellow as gold:
Her skin was as white as leprosy,
And she was far liker Death than he;
Her flesh made the still air cold. [1800].
A gust of wind sterte up behind
And whistled thro’ his bones;
Thro’ the holes of his eyes and the hole of his mouth
Half-whistles and half-groans.

With never a whisper in the Sea
Off darts the Spectre-ship; 200
While clombe above the Eastern bar
The horned Moon, with one bright Star
Almost atween the tips.

One after one by the horned Moon
(Listen, O Stranger! to me)
Each turn’d his face with a ghastly pang
And curs’d me with his ee.

Four times fifty living men,
With never a sigh or groan,
With heavy thump, a lifeless lump 210
They dropp’d down one by one.

195–207. The Sun’s rim dips; the stars rush out:
At one stride comes the dark;
With far-heard whisper, o’er the sea,
Off shot the spectre-bark.

We listened and looked sideways up!
Fear at my heart, as at a cup,
My life-blood seemed to sip!
The stars were dim, and thick the night,
The steersman’s face by his lamp gleamed white;
From the sails the dew did drip—
Till clomb above the eastern bar
The hornéd Moon, with one bright star
Within the nether tip.

One after one, by the star-dogged Moon,
Too quick for groan or sigh,
Each turned his face with a ghastly pang,
And cursed me with his eye. [P. of C.]
Their souls did from their bodies fly,—
They fled to bliss or woe;
And every soul it pass’d me by,
Like the whiz of my Cross-bow.

IV

“I fear thee, ancyent Marinere!
“I fear thy skinny hand;
“And thou art long and lank and brown
“As is the ribb’d Sea-sand.

“I fear thee and thy glittering eye
“And thy skinny hand so brown—
Fear not, fear not, thou wedding guest!
This body dropt not down.

Alone, alone, all all alone
Alone on the wide wide Sea;
And Christ would take no pity on
My soul in agony.

The many men so beautiful,
And they all dead did lie!
And a million million slimy things
Liv’d on—and so did I.

I look’d upon the rotting Sea,
And drew my eyes away;
I look’d upon the eldritch deck,
And there the dead men lay.

209. (And I heard nor sigh nor groan) [P. of C.]
225–227. Alone on a wide, wide sea!
And never a saint took pity on
My soul in agony. [P. of C.]
230. And a thousand thousand slimy things [P. of C.]
I look’d to Heaven, and try’d to pray;
But or ever a prayer had gusht,
A wicked whisper came and made
My heart as dry as dust.

I clos’d my lids and kept them close,        240
Till the balls like pulses beat;
For the sky and the sea, and the sea and the sky
Lay like a load on my weary eye,
And the dead were at my feet.

The cold sweat melted from their limbs,
Ne rot, ne reek did they;
The look with which they look’d on me,
Had never pass’d away.

An orphan’s curse would drag to Hell
A spirit from on high:       250
But O! more horrible than that
Is the curse in a dead man’s eye!
Seven days, seven nights I saw that curse,
And yet I could not die.

The moving Moon went up the sky
And no where did abide:
Softly she was going up
And a star or two beside—
Her beams bemock’d the sultry main
Like morning frosts yspread;       260
But where the ship’s huge shadow lay,
The charmed water burnt alway
A still and awful red.

246. No…no] Nor…nor [1800].
Beyond the shadow of the ship
I watch'd the water-snakes:
They mov'd in tracks of shining white;
And when they rear'd, the elfish light
Fell off in hoary flakes.

Within the shadow of the ship
I watch'd their rich attire:
Blue, glossy green, and velvet black
They coil'd and swam; and every track
Was a flash of golden fire.

O happy living things! no tongue
Their beauty might declare:
A spring of love gusht from my heart,
And I bless'd them unaware!
Sure my kind saint took pity on me,
And I bless'd them unaware.

The self-same moment I could pray;
And from my neck so free
The Albatross fell off, and sank
Like lead into the sea.

V

O sleep, it is a gentle thing
Belov'd from pole to pole!
To Mary-queen the praise be yeven
She sent the gentle sleep from heaven
That slid into my soul.

The silly buckets on the deck
That had so long remain'd,
I dreamt that they were fill’d with dew  
And when I awoke it rain’d.

My lips were wet, my throat was cold,  
My garments all were dank;  
Sure I had drunken in my dreams  
And still my body drank.

I mov’d and could not feel my limbs,  
I was so light, almost  
I thought that I had died in sleep,  
And was a blessed Ghost. 300

The roaring wind! it roar’d far off,  
It did not come anear;  
But with its sound it shook the sails  
That were so thin and sere.

The upper air bursts into life,  
And a hundred fire-flags sheen  
To and fro they are hurried about;  
And to and fro, and in and out  
The stars dance on between.

The coming wind doth roar more loud; 310  
The sails do sigh, like sedge:  
The rain pours down from one black cloud  
And the Moon is at its edge.
Hark! hark! the thick black cloud is cleft,
And the Moon is at its side:
Like waters shot from some high crag,
The lightning falls with never a jag
A river steep and wide.

The strong wind reach’d the ship: it roar’d
And dropp’d down, like a stone!
Beneath the lightning and the moon
The dead men gave a groan.

They groan’d, they stirr’d, they all uprose,
Ne spake, ne mov’d their eyes:
It had been strange, even in a dream
To have seen those dead men rise.

The helmsman steerd, the ship mov’d on;
Yet never a breeze up-blew;
The Marineres all ’gan work the ropes,
Where they were wont to do:
They rais’d their limbs like lifeless tools—
We were a ghastly crew.

The body of my brother’s son
Stood by me knee to knee:
The body and I pull’d at one rope,
But he said nought to me—
And I quak’d to think of my own voice
How frightful it would be!

The day-light dawn’d—they dropp’d their arms,
And clustered round the mast: 340
Sweet sounds rose slowly thro’ their mouths
And from their bodies pass’d.

Around, around, flew each sweet sound,
Then darted to the sun:
Slowly the sounds came back again
Now mix’d, now one by one.

Sometimes a dropping from the sky
I heard the Lavrock sing;
Sometimes all little birds that are
How they seem’d to fill the sea and air 350
With their sweet jargoning,

And now ‘twas like all instruments,
Now like a lonely flute;
And now it is an angel’s song
That makes the heavens be mute.

It ceas’d: yet still the sails made on

319–320. The loud wind never reached the Ship,
Yet now the Ship mov’d on! [1800].
324. Ne…ne] Nor…nor [1800],
337–338. Om. 1800.
338–339. I fear thee, ancient Mariner!
Be calm, thou wedding guest!
’Twas not those souls, that fled in pain,
Which to their corses came again,
But a troop of Spirits blest: [1800].
339. For when it dawn’d [1800].
A pleasant noise till noon,
A noise like of a hidden brook
In the leafy month of June,
That to the sleeping woods all night Singeth a quiet tune.

Listen, O listen, thou Wedding-guest!
"Marinere! thou hast thy will:
“For that, which comes out of thine eye, doth make
“My body and soul to be still.”

Never sadder tale was told
To a man of woman born:
Sadder and wiser thou wedding-guest!
Thou’lt rise to morrow morn.

Never sadder tale was heard
By a man of woman born:
The Marineres all return’d to work
As silent as before.

The Marineres all ’gan pull the ropes,
But look at me they n’old:
Thought I, I am as thin as air—
They cannot me behold.

Till noon we silently sail’d on
Yet never a breeze did breathe:
Slowly and smoothly went the ship
Mov’d onward from beneath.

Under the keel nine fathom deep
From the land of mist and snow
The spirit slid: and it was He

That made the Ship to go.
The sails at noon left off their tune
And the Ship stood still also.

The sun right up above the mast
Had fix’d her to the ocean:
But in a minute she ’gan stir
With a short uneasy motion—
Backwards and forwards half her length
With a short uneasy motion.

Then, like a pawing horse let go,
She made a sudden bound:
It flung the blood into my head,
And I fell into a swound.

How long in that same fit I lay,
I have not to declare;
But ere my living life return’d,
I heard and in my soul discern’d
Two voices in the air,

“Is it he? quoth one, “Is this the man?
“By him who died on cross,
“With his cruel bow he lay’d full low
“The harmless Albatross.

“The spirit who ’bideth by himself
“In the land of mist and snow,
“He lov’d the bird that lov’d the man
“Who shot him with his bow.

The other was a softer voice,
As soft as honey-dew:

Quoth he the man hath penance done,
And penance more will do.

VI

FIRST VOICE

“But tell me, tell me! speak again,
Thy soft response renewing—
What makes that ship drive on so fast?
What is the Ocean doing?

SECOND VOICE

“Still as a Slave before his Lord,
The Ocean hath no blast:
His great bright eye most silently
Up to the moon is cast—

“If he may know which way to go,
For she guides him smooth or grim,
See, brother, see! how graciously
She looketh down on him.

FIRST VOICE

“But why drives on that ship so fast
Withouten wave or wind?

SECOND VOICE

“The air is cut away before,
And closes from behind.

“Fly, brother, fly! more high, more high,
Or we shall be belated:
“For slow and slow that ship will go,
When the Marinere’s trance is abated.”

I woke, and we were sailing on
As in a gentle weather:
'Twas night, calm night, the moon was high: 
The dead men stood together. 

All stood together on the deck, 
For a charnel-dungeon fitter: 
All fix’d on me their stony eyes 
That in the moon did glitter. 

The pang, the curse, with which they died, 
Had never pass’d away: 
I could not draw my een from theirs 
Ne turn them up to pray. 

And in its time the spell was snapt, 
And I could move my een: 
I look’d far-forth, but little saw 
Of what might else be seen. 

Like one, that on a lonely road 
Doth walk in fear and dread, 
And having once turn’d round, walks on 
And turns no more his head: 
Because he knows, a frightful fiend 
Doth close behind him tread. 

But soon there breath’d a wind on me, 
Ne sound ne motion made: 
Its path was not upon the sea 

428. Without or wave or wind? [1800]. 
445. een] eyes [1800]. 
446. Ne] Nor [1800]. 
447–450. And now this spell was snapt: once more 
I view’d the ocean green, 
And look’d far forth, yet little saw 
Of what had else been seen—[1800].
In ripple or in shade. 460

It rais’d my hair, it fann’d my cheek,
Like a meadow-gale of spring—
It mingled strangely with my fears,
Yet it felt like a welcoming.

Swiftly, swiftly flew the ship,
Yet she sail’d softly too:
Sweetly, sweetly blew the breeze—
On me alone it blew.

O dream of joy! is this indeed
The light-house top I see? 470
Is this the Hill? Is this the Kirk?
Is this mine own countrée?

We drifted o’er the Harbour-bar,
And I with sobs did pray—
“O let me be awake, my God!
“Or let me sleep alway!”

The harbour-bay was clear as glass,
So smoothly it was strewn!
And on the bay the moon light lay,
And the shadow of the moon. 480

The moonlight bay was white all o’er,
Till rising from the same,
Full many shapes, that shadows were,
Like as of torches came.

A little distance from the prow

451. lonely] lonesome [1800].
458. Ne…ne] Nor…nor [1800].
Those dark-red shadows were;
But soon I saw that my own flesh
Was red as in a glare.

I turn’d my head in fear and dread,
And by the holy rood,       490
The bodies had advanced, and now
Before the mast they stood.

They lifted up their stiff right arms,
They held them strait and tight;
And each right-arm burnt like a torch,
A torch that’s borne upright.
Their stony eye-balls glitter’d on
In the red and smoky light.

I pray’d and turn’d my head away
Forth looking as before.       500
There was no breeze upon the bay,
No wave against the shore.

The rock shone bright, the kirk no less
That stands above the rock:
The moonlight steep’d in silentness
The steady weathercock.

And the bay was white with silent light,
Till rising from the same
Full many shapes, that shadows were,
In crimson colours came.       510

A little distance from the prow
Those crimson shadows were:
I turn’d my eyes upon the deck—
O Christ! what saw I there?

Each corse lay flat, lifeless and flat;
And by the Holy rood
A man all light, a seraph-man,
On every corse there stood.

This seraph-band, each wav’d his hand:
It was a heavenly sight: 520
They stood as signals to the land,
Each one a lovely light:

This seraph-band, each wav’d his hand,
No voice did they impart—
No voice; but O! the silence sank,
Like music on my heart.

Eftsones I heard the dash of oars,
I heard the pilot’s cheer:
My head was turn’d perforce away
And I saw a boat appear. 530

Then vanish’d all the lovely lights;
The bodies rose anew:
With silent pace, each to his place,
Came back the ghastly crew.
The wind, that shade nor motion made,
On me alone it blew.

The pilot, and the pilot’s boy
I heard them coming fast:
Dear Lord in Heaven! it was a joy,
The dead men could not blast. 540

527. Eftsones] But soon [1800].
531–536. Om. 1800.
I saw a third—I heard his voice:
It is the Hermit good!
He singeth loud his godly hymns
That he makes in the wood.
He’ll shrieve my soul, he’ll wash away
The Albatross’s blood.

VII

This Hermit good lives in that wood
Which slopes down to the Sea.
How loudly his sweet voice he rears!
He loves to talk with Marineres 550
That come from a far Contrée.

He kneels at mom and noon and eve—
He hath a cushion plump:
It is the moss, that wholly hides
The rotted old Oak-stump.

The Skiff-boat ne’rd: I heard them talk,
“Why, this is strange, I trow!
“Where are those lights so many and fair
“That signal made but now?

“Strange, by my faith! the Hermit said— 560
“And they answer’d not our cheer.
“The planks look warp’d, and see those sails
“How thin they are and sere!
“I never saw aught like to them
“Unless perchance it were

“The skeletons of leaves that lag
“My forest brook along:
“When the Ivy-tod is heavy with snow,
“And the Owlet whoops to the wolf below
“That eats the she-wolf’s young. 570
“Dear Lord! it has a fiendish look—
(The Pilot made reply)
“I am a-fear’d. —“Push on, push on!
“Said the Hermit cheerily.

The Boat came closer to the Ship,
But I ne spake ne stirr’d!
The Boat came close beneath the Ship,
And strait a sound was heard!

Under the water it rumbled on,
Still louder and more dread: 580
It reach’d the Ship, it split the bay;
The Ship went down like lead.

Stunn’d by that loud and dreadful sound,
Which sky and ocean smote:
Like one that hath been seven days drown’d
My body lay afloat:
But, swift as dreams, myself I found
Within the Pilot’s boat.

Upon the whirl, where sank the Ship,
The boat spun round and round: 590
And all was still, save that the hill
Was telling of the sound.

I mov’d my lips: the Pilot shriek’d
And fell down in a fit.
The Holy Hermit rais’d his eyes
And pray’d where he did sit.

I took the oars: the Pilot’s boy,
Who now doth crazy go,
Laugh’d loud and long, and all the while
His eyes went to and fro, 600
“Ha! ha!” quoth he—“full plain I see,
“The devil knows how to row.”

And now all in mine own Countrée
I stood on the firm land!
The Hermit stepp’d forth from the boat,
And scarcely he could stand.

“O shrieve me, shrieve me, holy Man!
The Hermit cross’d his brow—
“Say quick,” quoth he, “I bid thee say
“What manner man art thou? 610

Forthwith this frame of mine was wrench’d
With a woeful agony,
Which forc’d me to begin my tale
And then it left me free.

Since then at an uncertain hour
Now oftimes and now fewer,
That anguish comes and makes me tell
My ghastly aventure.

I pass, like night, from land to land;
I have strange power of speech; 620
The moment that his face I see
I know the man that must hear me;
To him my tale I teach.

615–618. Since then, at an uncertain hour,
That agency returns; agency] agony [1802].
And till my ghastly tale is told,
This heart within me burns. [1800].
What loud uproar bursts from that door!
The Wedding-guests are there;
But in the Garden-bower the Bride
And Bride-maids singing are:
And hark the little Vesper-bell
Which biddeth me to prayer.

O Wedding-guest! this soul hath been
Alone on a wide wide sea
So lonely ’twas, that God himself
Scarce seemed there to be.

O sweeter than the Marriage-feast,
’Tis sweeter far to me
To walk together to the Kirk
With a goodly company.

To walk together to the Kirk
And all together pray,
While each to his great father bends,
Old men, and babes, and loving friends,
And Youths, and Maidens gay.

Farewel, farewell! but this I tell
To thee, thou wedding-guest!
He prayeth well who loveth well
Both man and bird and beast.

He prayeth best who loveth best,
All things both great and small:
For the dear God, who loveth us,
He made and loveth all.

The Marinere, whose eye is bright,
Whose beard with age is hoar,
Is gone; and now the wedding-guest
Turn’d from the bridegroom’s door.
He went, like one that hath been stunn’d
And is of sense forlorn:
A sadder and a wiser man
He rose the morrow morn.

*The Foster-Mother’s Tale,*

A DRAMATIC FRAGMENT

FOSTER-MOTHER

I never saw the man whom you describe.

MARIA

’Tis strange! he spake of you familiarly
As mine and Albert’s common Foster-mother.

FOSTER-MOTHER

Now blessings on the man, whoe’er he be,
That joined your names with mine! O my sweet lady,
As often as I think of those dear times
When you two little ones would stand at eve
On each side of my chair, and make me learn
All you had learnt in the day; and how to talk
In gentle phrase, then bid me sing to you— 10
’Tis more like heaven to come than what has been.

MARIA

O my dear Mother! this strange man has left me
Troubled with wilder fancies, than the moon
Breeds in the love-sick maid who gazes at it,
Till lost in inward vision, with wet eye
She gazes idly!—But that entrance, Mother!

---

Title: *The Foster-mother’s Tale. A Narration in Dramatic Blank Verse* [1800].

1–16. Om. 1800 which begins: ‘But that entrance, Mother!’

In *Remorse* (v. Notes) *dramatis personae* renamed Teresa and Selma.
FOSTER-MOTHER

Can no one hear? It is a perilous tale!

MARIA

No one.

FOSTER-MOTHER

My husband’s father told it me,
Poor old Leoni! —Angels rest his soul! 20
He was a woodman, and could fell and saw
With lusty arm. You know that huge round beam
Which props the hanging wall of the old chapel?
Beneath that tree, while yet it was a tree
He found a baby wrapt in mosses, lined
With thistle-beards, and such small locks of wool
As hang on brambles. Well, he brought him home,
And reared him at the then Lord Velez’ cost
And so the babe grew up a pretty boy,
A pretty boy, but most unteachable— 30
And never learnt a prayer, nor told a bead,
But knew the names of birds, and mocked their notes,
And whistled, as he were a bird himself:
And all the autumn ’twas his only play
To get the seeds of wild flowers, and to plant them
With earth and water, on the stumps of trees.
A Friar, who gathered simples in the wood,
A grey-haired man—he loved this little boy,
The boy loved him—and, when the Friar taught him,
He soon could write with the pen: and from that time, 40
Lived chiefly at the Convent or the Castle.
So he became a very learned youth.
But Oh! poor wretch! —he read, and read, and read,

35. get the] gather [1802].
37. gathered] sought for [1802].
'Till his brain turned—and ere his twentieth year,  
He had unlawful thoughts of many things:  
And though he prayed, he never loved to pray  
With holy men, nor in a holy place—  
But yet his speech, it was so soft and sweet,  
The late Lord Velez ne’er was wearied with him.  
And once, as by the north side of the Chapel  
They stood together, chained in deep discourse,  
The earth heaved under them with such a groan,  
That the wall tottered, and had well-nigh fallen  
Right on their heads. My Lord was sorely frightened;  
A fever seized him, and he made confession  
Of all the heretical and lawless talk  
Which brought this judgment: so the youth was seized  
And cast into that hole. My husband’s father  
Sobbed like a child—it almost broke his heart:  
And once as he was working in the cellar,  
He heard a voice distinctly; ’twas the youth’s,  
Who sung a doleful song about green fields,  
How sweet it were on lake or wild savannah,  
To hunt for food, and be a naked man,  
And wander up and down at liberty.  
He always doted on the youth, and now  
His love grew desperate; and defying death,  
He made that cunning entrance I described:  
And the young man escaped.

MARIA

’Tis a sweet tale:  
Such as would lull a listening child to sleep,  
His rosy face besoiled with un wiped tears. —  
And what became of him?

FOSTER-MOTHER

He went on ship-board

With those bold voyagers, who made discovery
Of golden lands. Leoni’s younger brother
Went likewise, and when he returned to Spain,
He told Leoni, that the poor mad youth,
Soon after they arrived in that new world,
In spite of his dissuasion, seized a boat, 80
And all alone, set sail by silent moonlight
Up a great river, great as any sea,
And ne’er was heard of more: but ’tis supposed,
He lived and died among the savage men.

Lines

left upon a Seat in a Yew-tree which stands near the Lake of Esthwaite, on a desolate part of the shore, yet commanding a beautiful prospect

—Nay, Traveller! rest. This lonely yew-tree stands Far from all human dwelling: what if here No sparkling rivulet spread the verdant herb; What if these barren boughs the bee not loves; Yet, if the wind breathe soft, the curling waves, That break against the shore, shall lull thy mind By one soft impulse saved from vacancy.

49. Velez] Valdez [Remorse],
58. hole] cell [1800].
60. in the cellar] near the cell [1802].
66. He always] Leoni [1800].
71–72. Om. 1800.
Who he was

That piled these stones, and with the mossy sod
First covered o’er, and taught this aged tree,
Now wild, to bend its arms in circling shade,
I well remember. —He was one who own’d
No common soul. In youth, by genius nurs’d,
And big with lofty views, he to the world
Went forth, pure in his heart, against the taint
Of dissolute tongues, ’gainst jealousy, and hate,
And scorn, against all enemies prepared,
All but neglect: and so, his spirit damped
At once, with rash disdain he turned away,
And with the food of pride sustained his soul
In solitude. —Stranger! these gloomy boughs
Had charms for him; and here he loved to sit,
His only visitants a straggling sheep,
The stone-chat, or the glancing sand-piper;
And on these barren rocks, with juniper,
And heath, and thistle, thinly sprinkled o’er,
Fixing his downward eye, he many an hour
A morbid pleasure nourished, tracing here
An emblem of his own unfruitful life:
And lifting up his head, he then would gaze
On the more distant scene; how lovely ’tis
Thou seest, and he would gaze till it became

10–19. and taught this aged tree
With its dark arms to form a circling bower,
I well remember. —He was one who owned
No common soul. In youth by science nursed,
And led by nature into a wild scene
Of lofty hopes, he to the world went forth,
A favored being, knowing no desire
Which genius did not hallow, ’gainst the taint
Of dissolute tongues, and jealousy, and hate
And scorn, against all enemies prepared,
Far lovelier, and his heart could not sustain
The beauty still more beauteous. Nor, that time,
Would he forget those beings, to whose minds,
Warm from the labours of benevolence,
The world, and man himself, appeared a scene
Of kindred loveliness: then he would sigh
With mournful joy, to think that others felt
What he must never feel: and so, lost man!
On visionary views would fancy feed,
Till his eye streamed with tears. In this deep vale
He died, this seat his only monument.

If thou be one whose heart the holy forms
Of young imagination have kept pure,
Stranger! henceforth be warned; and know, that pride,
Howe’er disguised in its own majesty,
Is littleness; that he, who feels contempt
For any living thing, hath faculties
Which he has never used; that thought with him
Is in its infancy. The man, whose eye
Is ever on himself, doth look on one,
The least of nature’s works, one who might move
The wise man to that scorn which wisdom holds
Unlawful, ever. O, be wiser thou!

All but neglect. The world, for so it thought,
Owed him no service: he was like a plant
Fair to the sun, the darling of the winds,
But hung with fruit which no one, that passed by,
Regarded, and, his spirit damped at once
With indignation did he turn away, [1800].
(11). The world, for so it thought,
Owed him no service: wherefore he at once
With indignation turned himself away, [1805].
27. downward] downcast [1800],
34. Nor, that time,
When Nature had subdued him to herself,
Would he forget those beings, [1800].
Instructed that true knowledge leads to love,  
True dignity abides with him alone  
Who, in the silent hour of inward thought,  
Can still suspect, and still revere himself,  
In lowliness of heart.  

The Nightingale;  

A CONVERSATIONAL POEM, Written in April, 1798

No cloud, no relique of the sunken day  
Distinguishes the West, no long thin slip  
Of sullen Light, no obscure trembling hues.  
Come, we will rest on this old mossy Bridge!  
You see the glimmer of the stream beneath,  
But hear no murmuring; it flows silently  
O’er its soft bed of verdure. All is still,  
A balmy night! and tho’ the stars be dim,  
Yet let us think upon the vernal showers  
That gladden the green earth, and we shall find  
A pleasure in the dimness of the stars.  
And hark! the Nightingale begins its song,  
“Most musical, most melancholy”* Bird!  
A melancholy Bird? O idle thought!  
In nature there is nothing melancholy.  
—But some night-wandering Man, whose heart was piere’d  
With the remembrance of a grievous wrong,  
Or slow distemper or neglected love,  
(And so, poor Wretch! fill’d all things with himself  
And made all gentle sounds tell back the tale  
Of his own sorrows) he and such as he  
First nam’d these notes a melancholy strain;  
And many a poet echoes the conceit,  
Poet, who hath been building up the rhyme

When he had better far have stretch’d his limbs
Beside a brook in mossy forest-dell
By sun or moonlight, to the influxes
Of shapes and sounds and shifting elements
Surrendering his whole spirit, of his song
And of his fame forgetful! so his fame
Should share in nature’s immortality,
A venerable thing! and so his song
Should make all nature lovelier, and itself
Be lov’d, like nature!—But ’twill not be so;
And youths and maidens most poetical
Who lose the deepening twilights of the spring
In ball-rooms and hot theatres, they still
Full of meek sympathy must heave their sighs
O’er Philomela’s pity-pleading strains.
My Friend, and my Friend’s Sister! we have learnt
A different lore: we may not thus profane
Nature’s sweet voices always full of love
And joyance! ’Tis the merry Nightingale
That crowds, and hurries, and precipitates
With fast thick warble his delicious notes,
As he were fearful, that an April night
Would be too short for him to utter forth
His love-chant, and disburthen his full soul
Of all its music! And I know a grove
Of large extent, hard by a castle huge
Which the great lord inhabits not: and so
This grove is wild with tangling underwood,
And the trim walks are broken up, and grass,
Thin grass and king-cups grow within the paths.

* ‘Most musical, most melancholy.’ This passage in Milton possesses an
excellence far superior to that of mere description: it is spoken in the
character of the melancholy Man, and has therefore a dramatic
propriety. The Author makes this remark, to rescue himself from the
charge of having alluded with levity to a line in Milton: a charge than
which none could be more painful to him, except perhaps that of
having ridiculed his Bible.
But never elsewhere in one place I knew
So many Nightingales: and far and near
In wood and thicket over the wide grove
They answer and provoke each other’s songs—
With skirmish and capricious passagings,
And murmurs musical and swift jug jug
And one low piping sound more sweet than all—
Stirring the air with such an harmony,
That should you close your eyes, you might almost
Forget it was not day! On moonlight bushes,
Whose dewy leafits are but half disclos’d,
You may perchance behold them on the twigs,
Their bright, bright eyes, their eyes both bright and full,
Glistning, while many a glow-worm in the shade
Lights up her love-torch.

A most gentle maid

Who dwelleth in her hospitable home
Hard by the Castle, and at latest eve,
(Even like a Lady vow’d and dedicate
To something more than nature in the grove)
Glides thro’ the pathways; she knows all their notes,
That gentle Maid! and oft, a moment’s space,
What time the moon was lost behind a cloud,
Hath heard a pause of silence: till the Moon
Emerging, hath awaken’d earth and sky
With one sensation, and those wakeful Birds
Have all burst forth in choral minstrelsy,
As if one quick and sudden Gale had swept
An hundred airy harps! And she hath watch’d
Many a Nightingale perch giddily
On blosmy twig still swinging from the breeze,
And to that motion tune his wanton song,
Like tipsy Joy that reels with tossing head.

64–69. On moonlight...love-torch om. 1800.
Farewell, O Warbler! till to-morrow eve,
And you, my friends! farewell, a short farewell!
We have been loitering long and pleasantly,
And now for our dear homes. —That strain again!
Full fain it would delay me! —My dear Babe,
Who, capable of no articulate sound,
Mars all things with his imitative lisp,
How he would place his hand beside his ear,
His little hand, the small forefinger up,
And bid us listen! And I deem it wise
To make him Nature’s playmate. He knows well
The evening star: and once when he awoke
In most distressful mood (some inward pain
Had made up that strange thing, an infant’s dream)
I hurried with him to our orchard plot,
And he beholds the moon, and hush’d at once
Suspends his sobs, and laughs most silently,
While his fair eyes that swam with undropt tears
Did glitter in the yellow moon-beam! Well—
It is a father’s tale. But if that Heaven
Should give me life, his childhood shall grow up
Familiar with these songs, that with the night
He may associate Joy! Once more farewell,
Sweet Nightingale! once more, my friends! farewell.

The Female Vagrant

By Derwent’s side my Father’s cottage stood,
(The Woman thus her artless story told)
One field, a flock, and what the neighbouring flood
Supplied, to him were more than mines of gold.
Light was my sleep; my days in transport roll’d:
With thoughtless joy I stretch’d along the shore
My father’s nets, or watched, when from the fold
High o’er the cliffs I led my fleecy store,
A dizzy depth below! his boat and twinkling oar.
My father was a good and pious man, 
An honest man by honest parents bred,
And I believe that, soon as I began
To lisp, he made me kneel beside my bed,
And in his hearing there my prayers I said:
And afterwards, by my good father taught,
I read, and loved the books in which I read;
For books in every neighbouring house I sought,
And nothing to my mind a sweeter pleasure brought.

Can I forget what charms did once adorn
My garden, stored with pease, and mint, and thyme,
And rose and lilly for the sabbath morn?
The sabbath bells, and their delightful chime;
The gambols and wild freaks at shearing time;
My hen’s rich nest through long grass scarce espied;
The cowslip-gathering at May’s dewy prime;
The swans, that, when I sought the water-side,
From far to meet me came, spreading their snowy pride.

The staff I yet remember which upbore
The bending body of my active sire;
His seat beneath the honeyed sycamore
When the bees hummed, and chair by winter fire;
When market-morning came, the neat attire
With which, though bent on haste, myself I deck’d;
My watchful dog, whose starts of furious ire,
When stranger passed, so often I have check’d;
The red-breast known for years, which at my casement peck’d.

1. St. om. 1802.
7. Or from the mountain fold
Saw on the distant lake his twinkling oar
Or watch’d his lazy boat lessening more and more. [1800].
The suns of twenty summers danced along,—
Ah! little marked, how fast they rolled away:
Then rose a mansion proud our woods among,
And cottage after cottage owned its sway, 40
No joy to see a neighbouring house, or stray
Through pastures not his own, the master took;
My Father dared his greedy wish gainsay;
He loved his old hereditary nook,
And ill could I the thought of such sad parting brook.

But, when he had refused the proffered gold,
To cruel injuries he became a prey,
Sore traversed in whate’er he bought and sold.
His troubles grew upon him day by day,
Till all his substance fell into decay. 50
His little range of water was denied;*
All but the bed where his old body lay,
All, all was seized, and weeping, side by side,
We sought a home where we uninjured might abide.

Can I forget that miserable hour,
When from the last hill-top, my sire surveyed,
Peering above the trees, the steeple tower,
That on his marriage-day sweet music made?
Till then he hoped his bones might there be laid,
Close by my mother in their native bowers: 60
Bidding me trust in God, he stood and prayed,—
I could not pray:—through tears that fell in showers,
Glimmer’d our dear-loved home, alas! no longer ours!

19. St. om. 1802.
28. St. om. 1802.
39. mansion proud] stately hall [1800].
There was a youth whom I had loved so long,
That when I loved him not I cannot say.
'Mid the green mountains many and many a song
We two had sung, like little birds in May.

When we began to tire of childish play
We seemed still more and more to prize each other:
We talked of marriage and our marriage day;
And I in truth did love him like a brother,
For never could I hope to meet with such another.

His father said, that to a distant town
He must repair, to ply the artist's trade.
What tears of bitter grief till then unknown!
What tender vows our last sad kiss delayed!
To him we turned:—we had no other aid.
Like one revived, upon his neck I wept,
And her whom he had loved in joy, he said
He well could love in grief: his faith he kept;
And in a quiet home once more my father slept.

Four years each day with daily bread was blest,
By constant toil and constant prayer supplied.
Three lovely infants lay upon my breast;
And often, viewing their sweet smiles, I sighed,

* Several of the Lakes in the north of England are let out to different Fishermen, in parcels marked out by imaginary lines drawn from rock to rock, [note omitted 1802]

51. They dealt most hardly with him, and he tried
To move their hearts—but it was vain—for they
Seized all he had; and, weeping side by side,
We sought a home where we uninjured might abide. [1802].

55. It was in truth a lamentable hour [1802].

63. I saw our own dear home, that was no longer ours. [1802].

67. little] gladsome [1800].
And knew not why. My happy father died
When sad distress reduced the children’s meal:
Thrice happy! that from him the grave did hide
The empty loom, cold hearth, and silent wheel,
And tears that flowed for ills which patience could not heal.  90

’Twas a hard change, an evil time was come;
We had no hope, and no relief could gain.
But soon, with proud parade, the noisy drum
Beat round, to sweep the streets of want and pain.
My husband’s arms now only served to strain
Me and his children hungering in his view:
In such dismay my prayers and tears were vain:
To join those miserable men he flew;
And now to the sea-coast, with numbers more, we drew.

There foul neglect for months and months we bore,  100
Nor yet the crowded fleet its anchor stirred.
Green fields before us and our native shore,
By fever, from polluted air incurred,
Ravage was made, for which no knell was heard.
Fondly we wished, and wished away, nor knew,
‘Mid that long sickness, and those hopes deferr’d,
That happier days we never more must view:
The parting signal streamed, at last the land withdrew,

But from delay the summer calms were past.
On as we drove, the equinoctial deep  110
Ran mountains-high before the howling blast.

73. Two years were pass’d, since to a distant Town
He had repair’d, to ply the artist’s trade. [1802].
82. We lived in peace and comfort; and were blest
With daily bread, by constant toil supplied. [1802].
93. But soon, day after day, the noisy drum [1802].
We gazed with terror on the gloomy sleep
Of them that perished in the whirlwind’s sweep,
Untaught that soon such anguish must ensue,
Our hopes such harvest of affliction reap,
That we the mercy of the waves should rue.
We reached the western world, a poor, devoted crew.

Oh! dreadful price of being to resign
All that is dear in being! better far
In Want’s most lonely cave till death to pine,
Unseen, unheard, unwatched by any star;
Or in the streets and walks where proud men are,
Better our dying bodies to obtrude,
Than dog-like, wading at the heels of war,
Protract a curst existence, with the brood
That lap (their very nourishment!) their brother’s blood.

The pains and plagues that on our heads came down,
Disease and famine, agony and fear,
In wood or wilderness, in camp or town,
It would thy brain unsettle even to hear.

All perished—all, in one remorseless year,
Husband and children! one by one, by sword
And ravenous plague, all perished: every tear

100–106. There, long were we neglected, and we bore
Much sorrow ere the fleet its anchor weigh’d;
Green fields before us and our native shore,
We breath’d a pestilential air that made
Ravage for which no knell was heard. We pray’d
For our departure; wish’d and wish’d—nor knew
’Mid that long sickness, and those hopes delay’d, [1802].
109–113. But the calm summer season now was past.
On as we drove, the equinoctial Deep
Ran mountains-high before the howling blast;
And many perish’d in the whirlwind’s sweep. [1802],
118. St. om. 1802.
Dried up, despairing, desolate, on board
A British ship I waked, as from a trance restored.

Peaceful as some immeasurable plain
By the first beams of dawning light impress’d,
In the calm sunshine slept the glittering main.
The very ocean has its hour of rest,
That comes not to the human mourner’s breast. 140
Remote from man, and storms of mortal care,
A heavenly silence did the waves invest;
I looked and looked along the silent air,
Until it seemed to bring a joy to my despair.

Ah! how unlike those late terrific sleeps!
And groans, that rage of racking famine spoke,
Where looks inhuman dwelt on festering heaps!
The breathing pestilence that rose like smoke!
The shriek that from the distant battle broke!
The mine’s dire earthquake, and the pallid host 150
Driven by the bomb’s incessant thunder-stroke
To loathsome vaults, where heart-sick anguish toss’d,
Hope died, and fear itself in agony was lost!

Yet does that burst of woe congeal my frame,
When the dark streets appeared to heave and gape,
While like a sea the storming army came,
And Fire from Hell reared his gigantic shape,
And Murder, by the ghastly gleam, and Rape
Seized their joint prey, the mother and the child!
But from these crazing thoughts my brain, escape! 160
—For weeks the balmy air breathed soft and mild,

140–144. I too was calm, though heavily distress’d!
Oh me, how quiet sky and ocean were!
My heart was healed within me, I was bless’d,
And looked, and looked along the silent air,
Until it seemed to bring a joy to my despair. [1802].
And on the gliding vessel Heaven and Ocean smiled.

Some mighty gulph of separation past,
I seemed transported to another world:—
A thought resigned with pain, when from the mast
The impatient mariner the sail unfurl’d,
And whistling, called the wind that hardly curled
The silent sea. From the sweet thoughts of home,
And from all hope I was forever hurled.
For me—farthest from earthly port to roam
Was best, could I but shun the spot where man might come.

And oft, robb’d of my perfect mind, I thought
At last my feet a resting-place had found:
Here will I weep in peace, (so fancy wrought,)
Roaming the illimitable waters round;
Here watch, of every human friend disowned,
All day, my ready tomb the ocean-flood—
To break my dream the vessel reached its bound:
And homeless near a thousand homes I stood,
And near a thousand tables pined, and wanted food.

By grief enfeebled was I turned adrift,
Helpless as sailor cast on desart rock;
Nor morsel to my mouth that day did lift,
Nor dared my hand at any door to knock.

147. The unburied dead that lay in festering heaps. [1800].
154–162. At midnight once the storming Army came,
Yet do I see the miserable sight,
The Bayonet, the Soldier, and the Flame
They followed us and faced us in our flight;
When Rape and Murder by the ghastly light
Seized their joint prey, the Mother and the Child!
But I must leave these thoughts. —From night to night,
From day to day, the air breathed soft and mild;
And on the gliding vessel Heaven and Ocean smiled. [1802].
I lay, where with his drowsy mates, the cock
From the cross timber of an out-house hung;
How dismal tolled, that night, the city clock!
At morn my sick heart hunger scarcely stung,
Nor to the beggar’s language could I frame my tongue.

So passed another day, and so the third:  190
Then did I try, in vain, the crowd’s resort,
In deep despair by frightful wishes stirr’d,
Near the sea-side I reached a ruined fort:
There, pains which nature could no more support,
With blindness linked, did on my vitals fall;
Dizzy my brain, with interruption short
Of hideous sense; I sunk, nor step could crawl,
And thence was borne away to neighbouring hospital.

Recovery came with food: but still, my brain
Was weak, nor of the past had memory.  200
I heard my neighbours, in their beds, complain
Of many things which never troubled me;
Of feet still bustling round with busy glee,
Of looks where common kindness had no part,
Of service done with careless cruelty,
Fretting the fever round the languid heart,
And groans, which, as they said, would make a dead man start.

172–177. And oft I thought (my fancy was so strong)
That I at last a resting-place had found;
“Here will I dwell,” said I, “my whole life-long,
Roaming the illimitable waters round:
Here will I live:—of every friend disown’d,
Here will I roam about the ocean flood.” [1802].
187. Dismally tolled, that night, the city clock! [1802].
196–198. And I had many interruptions short
Of hideous sense; I sunk, nor step could crawl,
And thence was carried to a neighbouring Hospital. [1802].
These things just served to stir the torpid sense,
Nor pain nor pity in my bosom raised.
Memory, though slow, returned with strength; and thence
Dismissed, again on open day I gazed,
At houses, men, and common light, amazed.
The lanes I sought, and as the sun retired,
Came, where beneath the trees a faggot blazed;
The wild brood saw me weep, my fate enquired,
And gave me food, and rest, more welcome, more desired.

My heart is touched to think that men like these,
The rude earth’s tenants, were my first relief:
How kindly did they paint their vagrant ease!
And their long holiday that feared not grief,
For all belonged to all, and each was chief.
No plough their sinews strained; on grating road
No wain they drove, and yet, the yellow sheaf
In every vale for their delight was stowed:
For them, in nature’s meads, the milky udder flowed.

Semblance, with straw and panniered ass, they made
Of potters wandering on from door to door:
But life of happier sort to me pourtrayed,
And other joys my fancy to allure;
The bag-pipe dinning on the midnight moor
In barn uplighted, and companions boon
Well met from far with revelry secure,
In depth of forest glade, when jocund June
Rolled fast along the sky his warm and genial moon.

210. My memory and my strength returned; and thence [1802].
215. wild brood] Travellers [1802].
218. The rude earth’s tenants] Wild houseless Wanderers [1802].
225. In every field, with milk their dairy overflow’d. [1802].
226. They with their pannier’d Asses semblance made [1802].
But ill it suited me, in journey dark
O’er moor and mountain, midnight theft to hatch;
To charm the surly house-dog’s faithful bark,
Or hang on tiptoe at the lifted latch;
The gloomy lantern, and the dim blue match,
The black disguise, the warning whistle shrill,
And ear still busy on its nightly watch,
Were not for me, brought up in nothing ill;
Besides, on griefs so fresh my thoughts were brooding still.

What could I do, unaided and unblest?
Poor Father! gone was every friend of thine:
And kindred of dead husband are at best
Small help, and, after marriage such as mine,
With little kindness would to me incline.
Ill was I then for toil or service fit:
With tears whose course no effort could confine,
By high-way side forgetful would I sit
Whole hours, my idle arms in moping sorrow knit.

I lived upon the mercy of the fields,
And oft of cruelty the sky accused;
On hazard, or what general bounty yields,
Now coldly given, now utterly refused.
The fields I for my bed have often used:
But, what afflicts my peace with keenest ruth

233. In depth of forest glade] Among the forest glades [1802].
235. But ill they suited me; those journeys dark [1802].
245. Poor] My [1802].
251. high-way side] the road-side [1802].
253–257. I led a wandering life among the fields;
Contentedly, yet sometimes self-accused,
I liv’d upon what casual bounty yields,
Now coldly given, now utterly refused.
The ground I for my bed have often used: [1802].
Is, that I have my inner self abused,
Foregone the home delight of constant truth,
And clear and open soul, so prized in fearless youth.

Three years a wanderer, often have I view’d,
In tears, the sun towards that country tend
Where my poor heart lost all its fortitude:
And now across this moor my steps I bend—
Oh! tell me whither—for no earthly friend
Have I.—She ceased, and weeping turned away,
As if because her tale was at an end
She wept;—because she had no more to say
Of that perpetual weight which on her spirit lay.

Goody Blake, and Harry Gill,

A TRUE STORY

Oh! what’s the matter? what’s the matter?
What is’t that ails young Harry Gill?
That evermore his teeth they chatter,
Chatter, chatter, chatter still.
Of waistcoats Harry has no lack,
Good duffle grey, and flannel fine;
He has a blanket on his back,
And coats enough to smother nine.

In March, December, and in July,
’Tis all the same with Harry Gill;
The neighbours tell, and tell you truly,
His teeth they chatter, chatter still.
At night, at morning, and at noon,
’Tis all the same with Harry Gill;
Beneath the sun, beneath the moon,
His teeth they chatter, chatter still.

262. a wanderer,] thus wandering, [1802].
Young Harry was a lusty drover,
And who so stout of limb as he?
His cheeks were red as ruddy clover,
His voice was like the voice of three. 20
Auld Goody Blake was old and poor,
Ill fed she was, and thinly clad;
And any man who pass’d her door,
Might see how poor a hut she had.

All day she spun in her poor dwelling,
And then her three hours’ work at night!
Alas! ’twas hardly worth the telling,
It would not pay for candle-light.
—This woman dwelt in Dorsetshire,
Her hut was on a cold hill-side, 30
And in that country coals are dear,
For they come far by wind and tide.

By the same fire to boil their pottage,
Two poor old dames, as I have known,
Will often live in one small cottage,
But she, poor woman, dwelt alone.
’Twas well enough when summer came,
The long, warm, lightsome summer-day,
Then at her door the canty dame
Would sit, as any linnet gay. 40

But when the ice our streams did fetter,
Oh! then how her old bones would shake!
You would have said, if you had met her,
’Twas a hard time for Goody Blake.
Her evenings then were dull and dead;
Sad case it was, as you may think,
For very cold to go to bed,
And then for cold not sleep a wink.

Oh joy for her! when e'er in winter
The winds at night had made a rout, 50
And scattered many a lusty splinter,
And many a rotten bough about.
Yet never had she, well or sick,
As every man who knew her says,
A pile before-hand, wood or stick,
Enough to warm her for three days.

Now, when the frost was past enduring,
And made her poor old bones to ache,
Could any thing be more alluring,
Than an old hedge to Goody Blake? 60
And now and then, it must be said,
When her old bones were cold and chill,
She left her fire, or left her bed,
To seek the hedge of Harry Gill.

Now Harry he had long suspected
This trespass of old Goody Blake,
And vow'd that she should be detected,
And he on her would vengeance take.
And oft from his warm fire he'd go,
And to the fields his road would take, 70
And there, at night, in frost and snow,
He watch'd to seize old Goody Blake.

And once, behind a rick of barley,
Thus looking out did Harry stand;
The moon was full and shining clearly,
And crisp with frost the stubble-land.
—He hears a noise—he's all awake—
Again? —on tip-toe down the hill
He softly creeps—'Tis Goody Blake,
She's at the hedge of Harry Gill. 80
Right glad was he when he beheld her:
Stick after stick did Goody pull,
He stood behind a bush of elder,
Till she had filled her apron full.
When with her load she turned about,
The bye-road back again to take,
He started forward with a shout,
And sprang upon poor *Goody* Blake.

And fiercely by the arm he took her,
And by the arm he held her fast,
And fiercely by the arm he shook her,
And cried, “I’ve caught you then at last!”
Then Goody, who had nothing said,
Her bundle from her lap let fall;
And kneeling on the sticks, she pray’d
To God that is the judge of all.

She pray’d, her wither’d hand uprearing,
While Harry held her by the arm—
“God! who art never out of hearing,
“O may he never more be warm!”
The cold, cold moon above her head,
Thus on her knees did Goody pray,
Young Harry heard what she had said,
And icy-cold he turned away.

He went complaining all the morrow
That he was cold and very chill:
His face was gloom, his heart was sorrow,
Alas! that day for Harry Gill!
That day he wore a riding-coat,
But not a whit the warmer he:
Another was on Thursday brought,
And ere the Sabbath he had three.

‘Twas all in vain, a useless matter,
And blankets were about him pinn’d;
Yet still his jaws and teeth they clatter,
Like a loose casement in the wind.
And Harry’s flesh it fell away;
And all who see him say ’tis plain,
That, live as long as live he may,
He never will be warm again.  120

No word to any man he utters,
A-bed or up, to young or old;
But ever to himself he mutters,
“Poor Harry Gill is very cold.”
A-bed or up, by night or day;
His teeth they chatter, chatter still.
Now think, ye farmers all, I pray,
Of Goody Blake and Harry Gill.

Lines

written at a small distance from my House, and sent by my little Boy to the Person to whom they are addressed

It is the first mild day of March:
Each minute sweeter than before,
The red-breast sings from the tall larch
That stands beside our door.

There is a blessing in the air,
Which seems a sense of joy to yield
To the bare trees, and mountains bare,
And grass in the green field.

My Sister! (’tis a wish of mine)
Now that our morning meal is done,
Make haste, your morning task resign;
Come forth and feel the sun.

Edward will come with you, and pray,
Put on with speed your woodland dress,
And bring no book, for this one day
We’ll give to idleness.

No joyless forms shall regulate
Our living Calendar:
We from to-day, my friend, will date
The opening of the year. 20

Love, now an universal birth,
From heart to heart is stealing,
From earth to man, from man to earth,
—It is the hour of feeling.

One moment now may give us more
Than fifty years of reason;
Our minds shall drink at every pore
The spirit of the season.

Some silent laws our hearts may make,
Which they shall long obey; 30
We for the year-to come may take
Our temper from to-day.

And from the blessed power that rolls
About, below, above;
We’ll frame the measure of our souls,
They shall be tuned to love.

Then come, my sister! come, I pray,
With speed put on your woodland dress,
And bring no book; for this one day
We’ll give to idleness. 40

Simon Lee,

the Old Huntsman, with an incident in which he was concerned
In the sweet shire of Cardigan,
Not far from pleasant Ivor-hall,
An old man dwells, a little man,
I’ve heard he once was tall.
Of years he has upon his back,
No doubt, a burthen weighty;
He says he is three score and ten,
But others say he’s eighty.

A long blue livery-coat has he,
That’s fair behind, and fair before;  
Yet, meet him where you will, you see
At once that he is poor.
Full five and twenty years he lived
A running huntsman merry;
And, though he has but one eye left,
His cheek is like a cherry.

No man like him the horn could sound,
And no man was so full of glee;
To say the least, four counties round
Had heard of Simon Lee;  
His master’s dead, and no one now
Dwell in the hall of Ivor;
Men, dogs, and horses, all are dead;
He is the sole survivor.

His hunting feats have him bereft
Of his right eye, as you may see:
And then, what limbs those feats have left
To poor old Simon Lee!
He has no son, he has no child,
His wife, an aged woman,  
Lives with him, near the waterfall,
Upon the village common.

And he is lean and he is sick,
His little body’s half awry
His ancles they are swoln and thick
His legs are thin and dry.
When he was young he little knew
Of husbandry or tillage;
And now he’s forced to work, though weak,
—The weakest in the village.  40

He all the country could outrun,
Could leave both man and horse behind;
And often, ere the race was done,
He reeled and was stone-blind.
And still there’s something in the world
At which his heart rejoices;
For when the chiming hounds are out,
He dearly loves their voices!

Old Ruth works out of doors with him,
And does what Simon cannot do;  50
For she, not over stout of limb,
Is stouter of the two.
And though you with your utmost skill
From labour could not wean them,
Alas! ’tis very little, all
Which they can do between them.

Beside their moss-grown hut of clay,
Not twenty paces from the door,
A scrap of land they have, but they
Are poorest of the poor.  60
This scrap of land he from the heath
Enclosed when he was stronger;
But what avails the land to them,
Which they can till no longer?

25–32. St. 6 [1802].
34. little] dwindled [1800].
Few months of life has he in store,
As he to you will tell,
For still, the more he works, the more
His poor old ankles swell.
My gentle reader, I perceive
How patiently you’ve waited,
And I’m afraid that you expect
Some tale will be related.

O reader! had you in your mind
Such stores as silent thought can bring,
O gentle reader! you would find
A tale in every thing.
What more I have to say is short,
I hope you’ll kindly take it;
It is no tale; but should you think,
Perhaps a tale you’ll make it.

One summer-day I chanced to see
This old man doing all he could
About the root of an old tree,
A stump of rotten wood.
The mattock totter’d in his hand
So vain was his endeavour
That at the root of the old tree
He might have worked for ever.

“You’re overtasked, good Simon Lee,
Give me your tool” to him I said;
And at the word right gladly he
Received my proffer’d aid.
I struck, and with a single blow
The tangled root I sever’d,
At which the poor old man so long
And vainly had endeavour’d.

The tears into his eyes were brought,
And thanks and praises seemed to run
So fast out of his heart, I thought
They never would have done. 100
—I’ve heard of hearts unkind, kind deeds
With coldness still returning.
Alas! the gratitude of men
Has oftner left me mourning.

Anecdote for Fathers,

shewing how the, art of lying may be taught

I have a boy of five years old,
His face is fair and fresh to see;
His limbs are cast in beauty’s mould,
And dearly he loves me.
One morn we stroll’d on our dry walk,
Our quiet house all full in view,
And held such intermitted talk
As we are wont to do.

My thoughts on former pleasures ran;
I thought of Kilve’s delightful shore, 10
My pleasant home, when spring began,
A long, long year before.

A day it was when I could bear
To think, and think, and think again;
With so much happiness to spare,
I could not feel a pain.

My boy was by my side, so slim
And graceful in his rustic dress!
And oftentimes I talked to him,
In very idleness. 20
The young lambs ran a pretty race;
The morning sun shone bright and warm;
“Kilve,” said I, “was a pleasant place,
“And so is Liswyn farm.

“My little boy, which like you more,”
I said and took him by the arm—
“Our home by Kilve’s delightful shore,
“Or here at Liswyn farm?”

“And tell me, had you rather be,”
I said and held him by the arm, 30
“At Kilve’s smooth shore by the green sea,
“Or here at Liswyn farm?

In careless mood he looked at me,
While still I held him by the arm,
And said, “At Kilve I’d rather be
“Than here at Liswyn farm.”

“Now, little Edward, say why so;
My little Edward, tell me why;”
“I cannot tell, I do not know.”
“Why this is strange,” said I. 40

“For, here are woods and green-hills warm;
“There surely must some reason be
“For Kilve by the green sea.”

At this, my boy, so fair and slim,
Hung down his head, nor made reply;
And five times did I say to him,
“Why? Edward, tell me why?”

His head he raised—there was in sight,
It caught his eye, he saw it plain— 50
Upon the house-top, glittering bright,
A broad and gilded vane.

Then did the boy his tongue unlock,
And thus to me he made reply;
“At Kilve there was no weather-cock,
“And that’s the reason why.”

Oh dearest, dearest boy! my heart
For better lore would seldom yearn,
Could I but teach the hundredth part
Of what from thee I learn. 60

We are seven

A simple child, dear brother Jim,
That lightly draws its breath,
And feels its life in every limb,
What should it know of death?

I met a little cottage girl,
She was eight years old, she said;
Her hair was thick with many a curl
That clustered round her head.

She had a rustic, woodland air,
And she was wildly clad; 10
Her eyes were fair, and very fair,

45–48. At this, my boy hung down his head,
He blush’d with shame, nor made reply;
And five times to the child I said,
“Why, Edward, tell me why?” [1800].
—Her beauty made me glad.

"Sisters and brothers, little maid,
"How many may you be?"
"How many? seven in all," she said,
And wondering looked at me.

"And where are they, I pray you tell?"
She answered, "Seven are we,
"And two of us at Conway dwell,
"And two are gone to sea.  20

"Two of us in the church-yard lie,
"My sister and my brother,
"And in the church-yard cottage, I
"Dwell near them with my mother."

"You say that two at Conway dwell,
"And two are gone to sea,
"Yet you are seven; I pray you tell
"Sweet Maid, how this may be?"

Then did the little Maid reply,
"Seven boys and girls are we;  30
"Two of us in the church-yard lie,
"Beneath the church-yard tree."

"You run about; my little maid,
"Your limbs they are alive;
"If two are in the church-yard laid,
"Then ye are only five."

"Their graves are green, they may be seen,"
The little Maid replied,
"Twelve steps or more from my mother’s door,
"And they are side by side.  40

"My stockings there I often knit,
“My ’kerchief there I hem;
“And there upon the ground I sit—
“I sit and sing to them.

“And often after sunset, Sir,
“When it is light and fair,
“I take my little porringer,
“And eat my supper there.

“The first that died was little Jane;
“In bed she moaning lay, 50
“Till God released her of her pain,
“And then she went away.

“So in the church-yard she was laid,
“And all the summer dry,
“Together round her grave we played,
“My brother John and I.

“And when the ground was white with snow,
“And I could run and slide,
“My brother John was forced to go,
“And he lies by her side.” 60

“How many are you then,” said I,
“If they two are in Heaven?”
The little Maiden did reply,
“O Master! we are seven.”

“But they are dead; those two are dead!
“Their spirits are in heaven!”
’Twas throwing words away; for still
The little Maid would have her will,
And said, “Nay, we are seven!”
Lines
written in early spring

I heard a thousand blended notes,
While in a grove I sat reclined,
In that sweet mood when pleasant thoughts
Bring sad thoughts to the mind.

To her fair works did nature link
The human soul that through me ran;
And much it griev’d my heart to think
What man has made of man.

Through primrose-tufts, in that sweet bower,
The periwinkle trailed its wreathes; 10
And ‘tis my faith that every flower
Enjoys the air it breathes.

The birds around me hopp’d and play’d:
Their thoughts I cannot measure,
But the least motion which they made,
It seem’d a thrill of pleasure.

The budding twigs spread out their fan,
To catch the breezy air;
And I must think, do all I can,
That there was pleasure there. 20

If I these thoughts may not prevent,
If such be of my creed the plan,
Have I not reason to lament
What man has made of man?

The Thorn

I
There is a thorn; it looks so old,
In truth you’d and it hard to say,
How it could ever have been young,
It looks so old and grey.
Not higher than a two-years’ child,
It stands erect this aged thorn;
No leaves it has, no thorny points;
It is a mass of knotted joints,
A wretched thing forlorn.
It stands erect, and like a stone
With lichens it is overgrown.

II

Like rock or stone, it is o’ergrown
With lichens to the very top,
And hung with heavy tufts of moss,
A melancholy crop:
Up from the earth these mosses creep,
And this poor thorn they clasp it round
So close, you’d say that they were bent
With plain and manifest intent,
To drag it to the ground;
And all had joined in one endeavour
To bury this poor thorn for ever.

III

High on a mountain’s highest ridge,
Where oft the stormy winter gale
Cuts like a scythe, while through the clouds
It sweeps from vale to vale;
Not five yards from the mountain-path,
This thorn you on your left espy;
And to the left, three yards beyond,
You see a little muddy pond
Of water, never dry;
I’ve measured it from side to side:
’Tis three feet long, and two feet wide.
IV

And close beside this aged thorn,  
There is a fresh and lovely sight,  
A beauteous heap, a hill of moss,  
Just half a foot in height.  
All lovely colours there you see,  
All colours that were ever seen,  
And mossy network too is there,  
As if by hand of lady fair  
The work had woven been,  
And cups, the darlings of the eye  
So deep is their vermilion dye.

V

Ah me! what lovely tints are there!  
Of olive-green and scarlet bright,  
In spikes, in branches, and in stars,  
Green, red, and pearly white.  
This heap of earth o’ergrown with moss  
Which close beside the thorn you see,  
So fresh in all its beauteous dyes,  
Is like an infant’s grave in size  
As like as like can be:  
But never, never any where,  
An infant’s grave was half so fair.

VI

Now would you see this aged thorn,  
This pond and beauteous hill of moss,  
You must take care and chuse your time  
The mountain when to cross.  
For oft there sits, between the heap  
That’s like an infant’s grave in size,  
And that same pond of which I spoke,  
A woman in a scarlet cloak,
And to herself she cries,
“Oh misery! oh misery!
“Oh woe is me! oh misery!”

VII
At all times of the day and night
This wretched woman thither goes,
And she is known to every star,
And every wind that blows; 70
And there beside the thorn she sits
When the blue day-light’s in the skies,
And when the whirlwind’s on the hill,
Or frosty air is keen and still,
And to herself she cries,
“Oh misery! oh misery!
“Oh woe is me! oh misery!”

VIII
“Now wherefore thus, by day and night,
“In rain, in tempest, and in snow,
“Thus to the dreary mountain-top 80
“Does this poor woman go?
“And why sits she beside the thorn
“When the blue day-light’s in the sky,
“Or when the whirlwind’s on the hill,
“Or frosty air is keen and still,
“And wherefore does she cry? —
“Oh wherefore? wherefore? tell me why
“Does she repeat that doleful cry?”

IX
I cannot tell; I wish I could;
For the true reason no one knows, 90
But if you’d gladly view the spot,
The spot to which she goes;
The heap that’s like an infant’s grave,
The pond—and thorn, so old and grey,
Pass by her door—’tis seldom shut—
And if you see her in her hut,
Then to the spot away! —
I never heard of such as dare
Approach the spot when she is there.

X

“But wherefore to the mountain-top
Can this unhappy woman go,
Whatever star is in the skies,
Whatever wind may blow?”
Nay rack your brain—’tis all in vain,
I’ll tell you every thing I know;
But to the thorn, and to the pond
Which is a little step beyond,
I wish that you would go:
Perhaps when you are at the place
You something of her tale may trace.

XI

I’ll give you the best help I can:
Before you up the mountain go,
Up to the dreary mountain-top,
I’ll tell you all I know.
’Tis now some two and twenty years,
Since she (her name is Martha Ray)
Gave with a maiden’s true good will
Her company to Stephen Hill;
And she was blithe and gay,
And she was happy, happy still
Whene’er she thought of Stephen Hill.

XII

And they had fix’d the wedding-day,
The morning that must wed them both;
But Stephen to another maid
Had sworn another oath;
And with this other maid to church
Unthinking Stephen went—
Poor Martha! on that woful day
A cruel, cruel fire, they say,
Into her bones was sent: 130
It dried her body like a cinder,
And almost turn’d her brain to tinder.

XIII

They say, full six months after this,
While yet the summer-leaves were green,
She to the mountain-top would go,
And there was often seen.
’Tis said, a child was in her womb,
As now to any eye was plain;
She was with child, and she was mad,
Yet often she was sober sad 140
From her exceeding pain.
Oh met ten thousand times I’d rather
That he had died, that cruel father!

XIV

Sad case for such a brain to hold
Communion with a stirring child!
Sad case, as you may think, for one
Who had a brain so wild!
Last Christmas when we talked of this,
Old Farmer Simpson did maintain,
That in her womb the infant wrought 150
About its mother’s heart, and brought
Her senses back again:
And when at last her time drew near,
Her looks were calm, her senses clear.

XV

No more I know, I wish I did,
And I would tell it all to you;
For what became of this poor child
There’s none that ever knew:
And if a child was born or no,
There’s no one that could ever tell; 160
And if ’twas born alive or dead,
There’s no one knows, as I have said,
But some remember well,
That Martha Ray about this time
Would up the mountain often climb.

XVI

And all that winter, when at night
The wind blew from the mountain-peak,
’Twas worth your while, though in the dark,
The church-yard path to seek:
For many a time and oft were heard 170
Cries coming from the mountain-head,
Some plainly living voices were,
And others, I’ve heard many swear,
Were voices of the dead:
I cannot think, whate’er they say,
They had to do with Martha Ray.

XVII

But that she goes to this old thorn,
The thorn which I’ve described to you,
And there sits in a scarlet cloak,
I will be sworn is true. 180
For one day with my telescope,
To view the ocean wide and bright,
When to this country first I came,
Ere I had heard of Martha’s name,
I climbed the mountain’s height:
A storm came on, and I could see
No object higher than my knee.
XVIII

’Twas mist and rain, and storm and rain,
No screen, no fence could I discover,
And then the wind! in faith, it was
A wind full ten times over.
I looked around, I thought I saw
A jutting crag, and off I ran,
Head-foremost, through the driving rain,
The shelter of the crag to gain,
And, as I am a man,
Instead of jutting crag, I found
A woman seated on the ground.

XIX

I did not speak—I saw her face,
Her face it was enough for me;
I turned about and heard her cry,
“O misery! O misery!”
And there she sits, until the moon
Through half the clear blue sky will go,
And when the little breezes make
The waters of the pond to shake,
As all the country know,
She shudders and you hear her cry,
“Oh misery! oh misery!

XX

“But what’s the thorn? and what’s the pond?
“And what’s the hill of moss to her?
“And what’s the creeping breeze that comes
“The little pond to stir?”
I cannot tell; but some will say
She hanged her baby on the tree,
Some say she drowned it in the pond,
Which is a little step beyond,
But all and each agree,
The little babe was buried there,
Beneath that hill of moss so fair.
XXI

I’ve heard the scarlet moss is red
With drops of that poor infant’s blood;
But kill a new-born infant thus!
I do not think she could.
Some say, if to the pond you go,
And fix on it a steady view,
The shadow of a babe you trace,
A baby and a baby’s face,
And that it looks at you;
Whene’er you look on it, ’tis plain
The baby looks at you again.

XXII

And some had sworn an oath that she
Should be to public justice brought;
And for the little infant’s bones
With spades they would have sought.
But then the beauteous hill of moss
Before their eyes began to stir;
And for full fifty yards around,
The grass it shook upon the ground;
But all do still aver
The little babe is buried there,
Beneath that hill of moss so fair.

XXIII

I cannot tell how this may be,
But plain it is, the thorn is bound
With heavy tufts of moss, that strive
To drag it to the ground.
And this I know, full many a time,
When she was on the mountain high,
By day, and in the silent night,
When all the stars shone clear and bright,
That I have heard her cry,
“Oh misery! oh misery!
“O woe is me! oh misery!”
The Last of the Flock

In distant countries I have been,  
And yet I have not often seen  
A healthy man, a man full grown  
Weep in the public roads alone.  
But such a one, on English ground,  
And in the broad high-way, I met;  
Along the broad high-way he came,  
His cheeks with tears were wet.  
Sturdy he seemed, though he was sad;  
And in his arms a lamb he had.  

He saw me, and he turned aside,  
As if he wished himself to hide:  
Then with his coat he made essay  
To wipe those briny tears away.  
I follow’d him, and said, “My friend  
“What ails you? wherefore weep you so?”  
—“Shame on me, Sir! this lusty lamb,  
He makes my tears to flow.  
To-day I fetched him from the rock;  
He is the last of all my flock.  

When I was young, a single man,  
And after youthful follies ran,  
Though little given to care and thought,  
Yet, so it was, a ewe I bought;  
And other sheep from her I raised,  
As healthy sheep as you might see,  
And then I married, and was rich  
As I could wish to be;  
Of sheep I numbered a full score,  
And every year encreas’d my store.  

Year after year my stock it grew,  
And from this one, this single ewe,  
Full fifty comely sheep I raised,  
As sweet a flock as ever grazed!
Upon the mountain did they feed;  
They throve, and we at home did thrive.  
—This lusty lamb of all my store  
Is all that is alive:  
And now I care not if we die,  
And perish all of poverty.  

Ten children, Sir! had I to feed,  
Hard labour in a time of need!  
My pride was tamed, and in our grief  
I of the parish ask’d relief.  
They said I was a wealthy man;  
My sheep upon the mountain fed,  
And it was fit that thence I took  
Whereof to buy us bread:”  
“Do this; how can we give to you,”  
They cried, “what to the poor is due?”

I sold a sheep as they had said,  
And bought my little children bread,  
And they were healthy with their food;  
For me it never did me good.  
A woeful time it was for me,  
To see the end of all my gains,  
The pretty flock which I had reared  
With all my care and pains,  
To see it melt like snow away!  
For me it was a woeful day.

Another still! and still another!  
A little lamb, and then its mother!  
It was a vein that never stopp’d,  
Like blood-drops from my heart they dropp’d.  
Till thirty were not left alive  
They dwindled, dwindled, one by one,  
And I may say that many a time  
I wished they all were gone:  
They dwindled one by one away;
For me it was a woeful day. 70

To wicked deeds I was inclined,
And wicked fancies cross’d my mind,
And every man I chanc’d to see,
I thought he knew some ill of me.
No peace, no comfort could I find,
No ease, within doors or without,
And crazily, and wearily,
I went my work about.
Oft-times I thought to run away;
For me it was a woeful day. 80

Sir! ’twas a precious flock to me,
As dear as my own children be;
For daily with my growing store
I loved my children more and more.
Alas! it was an evil time;
God cursed me in my sore distress,
I prayed, yet every day I thought
I loved my children less;
And every week, and every day,
My flock, it seemed to melt away. 90

They dwindled, Sir, sad sight to see!
From ten to five, from five to three,
A lamb, a weather, and a ewe;
And then at last, from three to two;
And of my fifty, yesterday
I had but only one,
And here it lies upon my arm,
Alas! and I have none;
To-day I fetched it from the rock
It is the last of all my flock.” 100

41. Ten| Six [1800].
The Dungeon

And this place our forefathers made for man!
This is the process of our love and wisdom,
To each poor brother who offends against us—
Most innocent, perhaps—and what if guilty?
Is this the only cure? Merciful God?
Each pore and natural outlet shrivell’d up
By ignorance and parching poverty,
His energies roll back upon his heart,
And stagnate and corrupt; till changed to poison,
They break out on him, like a loathsome plague-spot;
Then we call in our pamper’d mountebanks—
And this is their best cure! uncomforted
And friendless solitude, groaning and tears,
And savage faces, at the clanking hour,
Seen through the steams and vapour of his dungeon,
By the lamp’s dismal twilight! So he lies
Circled with evil, till his very soul
Unmoulnds its essence, hopelessly deformed
By sights of ever more deformity!

With other ministrations thou, O nature!
Healest thy wandering and distempered child:
Thou pourest on him thy soft influences,
Thy sunny hues, fair forms, and breathing sweets,
Thy melodies of woods, and winds, and waters,
Till he relent, and can no more endure
To be a jarring and a dissonant thing,
Amid this general dance and minstrelsy;
But, bursting into tears, wins back his way,
His angry spirit healed and harmonized
By the benignant touch of love and beauty.
The Mad Mother

Her eyes are wild, her head is bare,
The sun has burnt her coal-black hair,
Her eye-brows have a rusty stain,
And she came far from over the main.
She has a baby on her arm,
Or else she were alone;
And underneath the hay-stack warm,
And on the green-wood stone,
She talked and sung the woods among;
And it was in the English tongue. 10

“Sweet babe! they say that I am mad,
But nay, my heart is far too glad;
And I am happy when I sing
Full many a sad and doleful thing:
Then, lovely baby, do not fear!
I pray thee have no fear of me,
But, safe as in a cradle, here
My lovely baby! thou shalt be,
To thee I know too much I owe;
I cannot work thee any woe. 20

A fire was once within my brain;
And in my head a dull, dull pain;
And fiendish faces one, two, three,
Hung at my breasts, and pulled at me.
But then there came a sight of joy;
It came at once to do me good;
I waked, and saw my little boy,
My little boy of flesh and blood;
Oh joy for me that sight to see!
For he was here, and only he. 30

Suck, little babe, oh suck again!
It cools my blood; it cools my brain;
Thy lips I feel them, baby! they
Draw from my heart the pain away.
Oh! press me with thy little hand;
It loosens something at my chest;
About that tight and deadly band
I feel thy little fingers press’d.
The breeze I see is in the tree;
It comes to cool my babe and me. 40

Oh! love me, love me, little boy!
Thou art thy mother’s only joy;
And do not dread the waves below,
When o’er the sea-rock’s edge we go;
The high crag cannot work me harm,
Nor leaping torrents when they howl;
The babe I carry on my arm,
He saves for me my precious soul;
Then happy lie, for blest am I;
Without me my sweet babe would die. 50

Then do not fear, my boy! for thee
Bold as a lion I will be;
And I will always be thy guide,
Through hollow snows and rivers wide
I’ll build an Indian bower; I know
The leaves that make the softest bed:
And if from me thou wilt not go,
But still be true ‘till I am dead,
My pretty thing! then thou shalt sing,
As merry as the birds in spring. 60

Thy father cares not for my breast,
’Tis thine, sweet baby, there to rest:
’Tis all thine own! and if its hue
Be changed, that was so fair to view,
’Tis fair enough for thee, my dove!
My beauty, little child, is flown;
But thou wilt live with me in love,
And what if my poor cheek be brown?
’Tis well for me; thou canst not see
How pale and wan it else would be.

Dread not their taunts, my little life!
I am thy father’s wedded wife;
And underneath the spreading tree
We two will live in honesty.
If his sweet boy he could forsake,
With me he never would have stay’d:
From him no harm my babe can take,
But he, poor man! is wretched made,
And every day we two will pray
For him that’s gone and far away.

I’ll teach my boy the sweetest things;
I’ll teach him how the owlet sings.
My little babe! thy lips are still,
And thou hast almost suck’d thy fill.
—Where art thou gone my own dear child?
What wicked looks are those I see?
Alas! alas! that look so wild,
It never, never came from me:
If thou art mad, my pretty lad,
Then I must be for ever sad.

Oh! smile on me, my little lamb!
For I thy own dear mother am.
My love for thee has well been tried:
I’ve sought thy father far and wide.
I know the poisons of the shade,
I know the earth-nuts fit for food;
Then, pretty dear, be not afraid;
We’ll find thy father in the wood.
Now laugh and be gay, to the woods away!
And there, my babe; we’ll live for aye.
'Tis eight o’clock,—a clear March night,  
The moon is up—the sky is blue,  
The owlet in the moonlight air,  
He shouts from nobody knows where;  
He lengthens out his lonely shout,  
Halloo! halloo! a long halloo!

—Why bustle thus about your door,  
What means this bustle, Betty Foy?  
Why are you in this mighty fret?  
And why on horseback have you set  
Him whom you love, your idiot boy?

Beneath the moon that shines so bright,  
Till she is tired, let Betty Foy  
With girt and stirrup fiddle-faddle;  
But wherefore set upon a saddle  
Him whom she loves, her idiot boy?

There’s scarce a soul that’s out of bed;  
Good Betty! put him down again;  
His lips with joy they burr at you,  
But, Betty! what has he to do  
With stirrup, saddle, or with rein?

The world will say ’tis very idle,  
Bethink you of the time of night;  
There’s not a mother, no not one,  
But when she hears what you have done,  
Oh! Betty she’ll be in a fright.

But Betty’s bent on her intent,  
For her good neighbour, Susan Gale,  
Old Susan, she who dwells alone,  
Is sick, and makes a piteous moan,  
As if her very life would fail.
There’s not a house within a mile,
No hand to help them in distress:
Old Susan lies a bed in pain,
And sorely puzzled are the twain,
For what she ails they cannot guess.
And Betty’s husband’s at the wood,
Where by the week he doth abide,
A woodman in the distant vale;
There’s none to help poor Susan Gale,
What must be done? what will betide?

And Betty from the lane has fetched
Her pony, that is mild and good,
Whether he be in joy or pain,
Feeding at will along the lane,
Or bringing faggots from the wood.

And he is all in travelling trim,
And by the moonlight, Betty Foy
Has up upon the saddle set,
The like was never heard of yet,
Him whom she loves, her idiot boy.

And he must post without delay
Across the bridge that’s in the dale,
And by the church, and o’er the down,
To bring a doctor from the town,
Or she will die, old Susan Gale.

There is no need of boot or spur,
There is no need of whip or wand,
For Johnny has his holly-bough,
And with a hurly-burly now
He shakes the green bough in his hand.

And Betty o’er and o’er has told
The boy who is her best delight,
Both what to follow, what to shun,
What do, and what to leave undone,
How turn to left, and how to right.

And Betty’s most especial charge,
Was, “Johnny! Johnny! mind that you
“Come home again, nor stop at all,
“Come home again, whate’er befal,
“My Johnny do, I pray you do.”

To this did Johnny answer make,
Both with his head, and with his hand,
And proudly shook the bridle too,
And then! his words were not a few,
Which Betty well could understand.

And now that Johnny is just going,
Though Betty’s in a mighty flurry,
She gently pats the pony’s side,
On which her idiot boy must ride,
And seems no longer in a hurry.

But when the pony moved his legs,
Oh I then for the poor idiot boy!
For joy he cannot hold the bridle,
For joy his head and heels are idle,
He’s idle all for very joy.

And while the pony moves his legs,
In Johnny’s left-hand you may see,
The green bough’s motionless and dead;
The moon that shines above his head
Is not more still and mute than he.

His heart it was so full of glee,
That till full fifty yards were gone,
He quite forgot his holly whip,
And all his skill in horsemanship,
Oh! happy, happy, happy John.
And Betty's standing at the door,
And Betty's face with joy o'erflows,
Proud of herself, and proud of him,
She sees him in his travelling trim; 100
How quietly her Johnny goes.

The silence of her idiot boy,
What hopes it sends to Betty's heart!
He's at the guide-post—he turns right,
She watches till he's out of sight,
And Betty will not then depart.

Burr, burr—now Johnny's lips they burr,
As loud as any mill, or near it,
Meek as a lamb the pony moves,
And Johnny makes the noise he loves, 110
And Betty listens, glad to hear it.

Away she hies to Susan Gale:
And Johnny's in a merry tune,
The owlets hoot, the owlets curr,
And Johnny's lips they burr, burr, burr,
And on he goes beneath the moon.

His steed and he right well agree,
For of this pony there's a rumour,
That should he lose his eyes and ears,
And should he live a thousand years, 120
He never will be out of humour.

But then he is a horse that thinks!
And when he thinks his pace is slack;
Now, though he knows poor Johnny well,
Yet for his life he cannot tell
What he has got upon his back.

So through the moonlight lanes they go,
And far into the moonlight dale,
And by the church, and o'er the down,
To bring a doctor from the town,
To comfort poor old Susan Gale.

And Betty, now at Susan's side,
Is in the middle of her story,
What comfort Johnny soon will bring,
With many a most diverting thing,
Of Johnny's wit and Johnny's glory.

And Betty's still at Susan's side:
By this time she's not quite so flurried;
Demure with porringer and plate
She sits, as if in Susan's fate
Her life and soul were buried.

But Betty, poor good woman! she,
You plainly in her face may read it,
Could lend out of that moment's store
Five years of happiness or more,
To any that might need it.

But yet I guess that now and then
With Betty all was not so well,
And to the road she turns her ears,
And thence full many a sound she hears,
Which she to Susan will not tell.

Poor Susan moans, poor Susan groans,
"As sure as there's a moon in heaven,"
Cries Betty, "he'll be back again;
"They'll both be here, 'tis almost ten,
"They'll both be here before eleven."

Poor Susan moans, poor Susan groans,
The clock gives warning for eleven;
'Tis on the stroke—"If Johnny's near,"
Quoth Betty "he will soon be here,
"As sure as there’s a moon in heaven."

The clock is on the stroke of twelve,
And Johnny is not yet in sight,
The moon’s in heaven, as Betty sees,
But Betty is not quite at ease;
And Susan has a dreadful night.

And Betty, half an hour ago,
On Johnny vile reflections cast;
“A little idle sauntering thing!”
With other names, an endless string,
But now that time is gone and past.

And Betty’s drooping at the heart,
That happy time all past and gone,
“How can it be he is so late?
“The doctor he has made him wait,
“Susan! they’ll both be here anon.”

And Susan’s growing worse and worse,
And Betty’s in a sad quandary;
And then there’s nobody to say
If she must go or she must stay:
—She’s in a sad quandary.

The clock is on the stroke of one;
But neither Doctor nor his guide
Appear along the moonlight road,
There’s neither horse nor man abroad,
And Betty’s still at Susan’s side.

And Susan she begins to fear
Of sad mischances not a few,
That Johnny may perhaps be drown’d,
Or lost perhaps, and never found;
Which they must both for ever rue.
She prefaced half a hint of this
With, "God forbid it should be true!
At the first word that Susan said
Cried Betty, rising from the bed,
"Susan, I’d gladly stay with you.

“I must be gone, I must away,
“Consider, Johnny’s but half-wise;
“Susan, we must take care of him,
“If he is hurt in life or limb”—       200
“Oh God forbid!” poor Susan cries.

“What can I do?” says Betty, going,
“What can I do to ease your pain?
“Good Susan tell me, and I’ll stay;
“I fear you’re in a dreadful way,
“But I shall soon be back again.”

“Good Betty go, good Betty go,
“There’s nothing that can ease my pain.”
Then off she hies, but with a prayer
That God poor Susan’s life would spare,        210
Till she comes back again.

So, through the moonlight lane she goes,
And far into the moonlight dale;
And how she ran, and how she walked,
And all that to herself she talked,
Would surely be a tedious tale.

In high and low, above, below,
In great and small, in round and square,
In tree and tower was Johnny seen,
In bush and brake, in black and green, 220
’Twas Johnny, Johnny, every where.

She’s past the bridge that’s in the dale,
And now the thought torments her sore,
Johnny perhaps his horse forsook,
To hunt the moon that’s in the brook,
And never will be heard of more.

And now she’s high upon the down,
Alone amid a prospect wide;
There’s neither Johnny nor his horse,
Among the fern or in the gorse; 230
There’s neither doctor nor his guide.

“Oh saints! what is become of him?
“Perhaps he’s climbed into an oak,
“Where he will stay till he is dead;
“Or sadly he has been misled,
“And joined the wandering gypsy-folk.

“Oh him that wicked pony’s carried
“To the dark cave, the goblins’ hall,
“Oh in the castle he’s pursuing,
“Among the ghosts, his own undoing; 240
“Oh playing with the waterfall.”

At poor old Susan then she railed,
While to the town she posts away;
“If Susan had not been so ill,
“Alas! I should have had him still,
“My Johnny, till my dying day.”

Poor Betty! in this sad distemper,
The doctor’s self would hardly spare,
Unworthy things she talked and wild,
Even he, of cattle the most mild, 250
The pony had his share.

And now she’s got into the town,
And to the doctor’s door she hies;
’Tis silence all on every side;
The town so long, the town so wide,
Is silent as the skies.

And now she’s at the doctor’s door,
She lifts the knocker, rap, rap, rap,
The doctor at the casement shews,
His glimmering eyes that peep and doze; 260
And one hand rubs his old night-cap.

“Oh Doctor! Doctor! where’s my Johnny?”
“I’m here, what is’t you want with me?”
“Oh Sir! you know I’m Betty Foy,
“And I have lost my poor dear boy,
“You know him—him you often see;”

“He’s not so wise as some folks be.”
“The devil take his wisdom!” said
The Doctor, looking somewhat grim,
“What, woman! should I know of him?” 270
And, grumbling, he went back to bed.

“O woe is me! O woe is me!
“Here will I die; here will I die;
“T thought to find my Johnny here,
“But he is neither far nor near,
“Oh! what a wretched mother I!”

She stops, she stands, she looks about,
Which way to turn she cannot tell.
Poor Betty! it would ease her pain
If she had heart to knock again; 280
—The clock strikes three—a dismal knell!

Then up along the town she hies,
No wonder if her senses fail,
This piteous news so much it shock’d her,
She quite forgot to send the Doctor,
To comfort poor old Susan Gale.
And now she’s high upon the down,
And she can see a mile of road,
“Oh cruel! I’m almost three-score;
“Such night as this was ne’er before,
“There’s not a single soul abroad.”

She listens, but she cannot hear
The foot of horse, the voice of man;
The streams with softest sound are flowing,
The grass you almost hear it growing,
You hear it now if e’er you can.

The owlets through the long blue night
Are shouting to each other still:
Fond lovers, yet not quite hob nob,
They lengthen out the tremulous sob,
That echoes far from hill to hill.

Poor Betty now has lost all hope,
Her thoughts are bent on deadly sin;
A green-grown pond she just has pass’d,
And from the brink she hurries fast,
Lest she should drown herself therein.

And now she sits her down and weeps;
Such tears she never shed before;
“Oh dear, dear pony! my sweet joy!
“Oh carry back my idiot boy!
“And we will ne’er o’erload thee more.”

A thought is come into her head;
“The pony he is mild and good,
“And we have always used him well;
“Perhaps he’s gone along the dell,
“And carried Johnny to the wood.”

Then up she springs as if on wings;
She thinks no more of deadly sin;
If Betty fifty ponds should see,
The last of all her thoughts would be,
To drown herself therein.

Oh reader! now that I might tell
What Johnny and his horse are doing
What they’ve been doing all this time,
Oh could I put it into rhyme,
A most delightful tale pursuing!

Perhaps, and no unlikely thought!
He with his pony now doth roam
The cliffs and peaks so high that are,
To lay his hands upon a star,
And in his pocket bring it home.

Perhaps he’s turned himself about,
His face unto his horse’s tail,
And still and mute, in wonder lost,
All like a silent horseman-ghost,
He travels on along the vale.

And now, perhaps, he’s hunting sheep,
A fierce and dreadful hunter he!
Yon valley, that’s so trim and green,
In five months’ time, should he be seen,
A desart wilderness will be.

Perhaps, with head and heels on fire,
And like the very soul of evil,
He’s galloping away, away,
And so he’ll gallop on for aye,
The bane of all that dread the devil.

I to the muses have been bound,
These fourteen years, by strong indentures;
Oh gentle muses! let me tell
But half of what to him befel,
For sure he met with strange adventures.

Oh gentle muses! is this kind?
Why will ye thus my suit repel?
Why of your further aid bereave me?
And can ye thus unfriended leave me?
Ye muses! whom I love so well.

Who’s yon, that, near the waterfall,
Which thunders down with headlong force,
Beneath the moon, yet shining fair,
As careless as if nothing were, 360
Sits upright on a feeding horse?

Unto his horse, that’s feeding free,
He seems, I think, the rein to give;
Of moon or stars he takes no heed;
Of such we in romances read,
—’Tis Johnny! Johnny! as I live.

And that’s the very pony too.
Where is she, where is Betty Foy?
She hardly can sustain her fears;
The roaring water-fall she hears, 370
And cannot find her idiot boy.

Your pony’s worth his weight in gold,
Then calm your terrors, Betty Foy!
She’s coming from among the trees,
And now, all full in view, she sees
Him whom she loves, her idiot boy.

And Betty sees the pony too:
Why stand you thus Good Betty Foy?
It is no goblin, ‘tis no ghost,
’Tis he whom you so long have lost, 380
He whom you love, your idiot boy.
She looks again—her arms are up—
She screams—she cannot move for joy;
She darts as with a torrent’s force,
She almost has overturned the horse,
And fast she holds her idiot boy.

And Johnny burrs and laughs aloud,
Whether in cunning or in joy,
I cannot tell; but while he laughs,
Betty a drunken pleasure quaffs, 390
To hear again her idiot boy.

And now she’s at the pony’s tail,
And now she’s at the pony’s head,
On that side now, and now on this,
And almost stifled with her bliss,
A few sad tears does Betty shed.

She kisses o’er and o’er again,
Him whom she loves, her idiot boy,
She’s happy here, she’s happy there,
She is uneasy every where; 400
Her limbs are all alive with joy.

She pats the pony, where or when
She knows not, happy Betty Foy!
The little pony glad may be,
But he is milder far than she,
You hardly can perceive his joy.

“Oh! Johnny, never mind the Doctor;
“You’ve done your best, and that is all.”
She took the reins, when this was said,
And gently turned the pony’s head 410
From the loud water-fall.

By this the stars were almost gone,
The moon was setting on the hill,
So pale you scarcely looked at her:
The little birds began to stir,
Though yet their tongues were still.

The pony, Betty, and her boy,
Wind slowly through the woody dale:
And who is she, be-times abroad.
That hobbles up the steep rough road? 420
Who is it, but old Susan Gale?

Long Susan lay deep lost in thought,
And many dreadful fears beset her,
Both for her messenger and nurse;
And as her mind grew worse and worse,
Her body it grew better.

She turned, she toss’d herself in bed,
On all sides doubts and terrors met her;
Point after point did she discuss;
And while her mind was fighting thus, 430
Her body still grew better.

“Alas! what is become of them?
These fears can never be endured,
“I’ll to the wood.”—The word scarce said,
Did Susan rise up from her bed,
As if by magic cured.

Away she posts up hill and down,
And to the wood at length is come,
She spies her friends, she shouts a greeting;
Oh me! it is a merry meeting, 440
As ever was in Christendom.

The owls have hardly sung their last,
While our four travellers homeward wend;
The owls have hooted all night long,
And with the owls began my song,
And with the owls must end.

For while they all were travelling home,
Cried Betty, "Tell us Johnny, do,
"Where all this long night you have been,
"What you have heard, what you have seen,
"And Johnny, mind you tell us true."

Now Johnny all night long had heard
The owls in tuneful concert strive;
No doubt too he the moon had seen;
For in the moonlight he had been
From eight o'clock till five.

And thus to Betty's question, he
Made answer, like a traveller bold,
(His very words I give to you,)
"The cocks did crow to-whoo, to-whoo,
"And the sun did shine so cold."
—Thus answered Johnny in his glory,
And that was all his travel's story.

Lines

*written near Richmond, upon the Thames, at Evening*

How rich the wave, in front, imprest
With evening-twilight's summer hues,
While, facing thus the crimson west,
The boat her silent path pursues!
And see how dark the backward stream!
A little moment past, so smiling!
And still, perhaps, with faithless gleam,
Some other loiterer beguiling.
Such views the youthful bard allure,
But, heedless of the following gloom,
He deems their colours shall endure
’Till peace go with him to the tomb.
—And let him nurse his fond deceit,

And what if he must die in sorrow!
Who would not cherish dreams so sweet,
Though grief and pain may come to-morrow?

Glide gently, thus for ever glide,
O Thames! that other bards may see,
As lovely visions by thy side
As now, fair river! come to me.

Oh glide, fair stream! for ever so;
Thy quiet soul on all bestowing,
’Till all our minds for ever flow,
As thy deep waters now are flowing.

Vain thought! yet be as now thou art,
That in thy waters may be seen
The image of a poet’s heart,
How bright, how solemn, how serene!

Such heart did once the poet bless,
Who, pouring here a *later ditty,
Could find no refuge from distress,
But in the milder grief of pity.

* In 1800 this poem was divided after the second stanza and printed as two separate poems. The first [stanzas 1 and 2 above] was entitled “Lines/written when sailing in a boat at evening.” The second [stanzas 3, 4, 5] was entitled “Lines/written near Richmond upon the Thames” which title, in 1805, was changed to “Remembrance of Collins,/written upon the Thames near Richmond”. [See notes.]
Remembrance! as we glide along,
For him suspend the dashing oar,
And pray that never child of Song
May know his freezing sorrows more.
How calm! how still! the only sound,
The dripping of the oar suspended!
—The evening darkness gathers round
By virtue’s holiest powers attended.    40

Expostulation and Reply

“Why William, on that old grey stone,
“Thus for the length of half a day,
“Why William, sit you thus alone,
“And dream your time away?

“Where are your books? that light bequeathed
“To beings else forlorn and blind!
“Up! Up! and drink the spirit breath’d
“From dead men to their kind.

“You look round on your mother earth,
“As if she for no purpose bore you;    10
“As if you were her first-born birth,
“And none had lived before you!”

One morning thus, by Esthwaite lake,

* Collins’s Ode on the death of Thomson, the last written, I believe of the poems which were published during his life-time. This Ode is also alluded to in the next stanza.
29. Such heart did once] Such as did once [1800].
33. Now let us, as we float along, [1802].
36. May know that Poet’s sorrows more [1802].
When life was sweet I knew not why,
To me my good friend Matthew spake,
And thus I made reply.

"The eye it cannot chuse but see,
"We cannot bid the ear be still;
"Our bodies feel, where'er they be,
"Against, or with our will. 20

"Nor less I deem that there are powers,
"Which of themselves our minds impress,
"That we can feed this mind of ours,
"In a wise passiveness.

"Think you, mid all this mighty sum
"Of things for ever speaking,
"That nothing of itself will come,
"But we must still be seeking?

"—Then ask not wherefore, here, alone,
"Conversing as I may, 30
"I sit upon this old grey stone,
"And dream my time away."

The Tables Turned;

AN EVENING SCENE, ON THE SAME SUBJECT

Up! up! my friend, and clear your looks,
Why all this toil and trouble?
Up! up! my friend, and quit your books,
Or surely you’ll grow double.

The sun above the mountain’s head,
A freshening lustre mellow,
Through all the long green fields has spread,
His first sweet evening yellow.
Books I ’tis a dull and endless strife,
Come, hear the woodland linnet,
How sweet his music; on my life
There’s more of wisdom in it.

And hark! how blithe the throstle sings!
And he is no mean preacher;
Come forth into the light of things,
Let Nature be your teacher.

She has a world of ready wealth,
Our minds and hearts to bless—
Spontaneous wisdom breathed by health,
Truth breathed by cheerfulness.

One impulse from a vernal wood
May teach you more of man;
Of moral evil and of good,
Than all the sages can.

Sweet is the lore which nature brings;
Our meddling intellect
Misshapes the beauteous forms of things;
—We murder to dissect.

Enough of science and of art;
Close up these barren leaves;
Come forth, and bring with you a heart
That watches and receives.

Old Man Travelling:

ANIMAL TRANQUILLITY AND DECAY, A SKETCH

The little hedge-row birds,
That peck along the road, regard him not.
He travels on, and in his face, his step,
His gait, is one expression; every limb,
His look and bending figure, all bespeak
A man who does not move with pain, but moves
With thought—He is insensibly subdued
To settled quiet: he is one by whom
All effort seems forgotten, one to whom
Long patience has such mild composure given,
That patience now doth seem a thing, of which
He hath no need. He is by nature led
To peace so perfect, that the young behold
With envy, what the old man hardly feels.
—I asked him whither he was bound, and what
The object of his journey; he replied
“Sir! I am going many miles to take
“A last leave of my son, a mariner,
“Who from a sea-fight has been brought to
Falmouth,
And there is dying in an hospital.”

The Complaint of a forsaken Indian Woman

[When a Northern Indian, from sickness, is unable to
continue his journey with his companions; he is left behind,
covered over with Deer-skins, and is supplied with water,
food, and fuel if the situation of the place will afford it. He is
informed of the track which his companions intend to
pursue, and if he is unable to follow, or overtake them, he
perishes alone in the Desart; unless he should have the good

Title: In 1800 the sub-title was made the title and the words “Old Man Travelling” discarded. “A Sketch” was omitted in 1845.

17–20. That he was going many miles to take
A last leave of his son, a mariner,
Who from a sea-fight had been brought to Falmouth,
And there was lying in an hospital. [1800].
(20). lying] dying [1802].
15–20. om. [1815].
fortune to fall in with some other Tribes of Indians. It is unnecessary to add that the females are equally, or still more, exposed to the same fate.* See that very interesting work, Hearne’s Journey from Hudson’s Bay to the Northern Ocean. When the Northern Lights, as the same writer informs us, † vary their position in the air, they make a rustling and a crackling noise. This circumstance is alluded to in the first stanza of the following poem.]

Before I see another day,
Oh let my body die away!
In sleep I heard the northern gleams;
The stars they were among my dreams;
In sleep did I behold the skies,
I saw the crackling flashes drive;
And yet they are upon my eyes,
And yet I am alive.
Before I see another day,
Oh let my body die away! 10

My fire is dead: it knew no pain;
Yet is it dead, and I remain.
All stiff with ice the ashes lie;
And they are dead, and I will die.
When I was well, I wished to live,
For clothes, for warmth, for food, and fire;
But they to me no joy can give,
No pleasure now, and no desire.
Then here contented will I lie;
Alone I cannot fear to die. 20

Alas! you might have dragged me on
Another day, a single one!

* The females are equally, or still more, exposed to the same fate [1802.]
† In the high Northern Latitudes, as the same writer informs us. when the Northern Lights, etc.; [1800].
Too soon despair o’er me prevailed;
Too soon my heartless spirit failed;
When you were gone my limbs were stronger,
And Oh how grievously I rue,
That, afterwards, a little longer,
My friends, I did not follow you!
For strong and without pain I lay,
My friends, when you were gone away. 30

My child! they gave thee to another,
A woman who was not thy mother.
When from my arms my babe they took,
On me how strangely did he look!
Through his whole body something ran,
A most strange something did I sec;
—As if he strove to be a man,
That he might pull the sledge for me.
And then he stretched his arms, how wild
Oh mercy! like a little child. 40

My little joy! my little pride!
In two days more I must have died.
Then do not weep and grieve for me;
I feel I must have died with thee.
Oh wind that o’er my head art flying,
The way my friends their course did bend,
I should not feel the pain of dying,
Could I with thee a message send.
Too soon, my friends, you went away;
For I had many things to say. 50

I’ll follow you across the snow,
You travel heavily and slow:
In spite of all my weary pain,
I’ll look upon your tents again.
My fire is dead, and snowy white
The water which beside it stood;
The wolf has come to me to-night,
And he has stolen away my food.  
For ever left alone am I,  
Then wherefore should I fear to die? 60

My journey will be shortly run,  
I shall not see another sun,  
I cannot lift my limbs to know  
If they have any life or no.  
My poor forsaken child! if I  
For once could have thee close to me,  
With happy heart I then would die,  
And my last thoughts would happy be.  
I feel my body die away,  
I shall not see another day. 70

The Convict

The glory of evening was spread through the west;  
—On the slope of a mountain I stood,  
While the joy that precedes the calm season of rest  
Rang loud through the meadow and wood.

‘And must we then part from a dwelling so fair?’  
In the pain of my spirit I said,  
And with a deep sadness I turned, to repair  
To the cell where the convict is laid.

The thick-ribbed walls that o’ershadow the gate  
Resound; and the dungeons unfold: 10  
I pause; and at length, through the glimmering grate,  
That outcast of pity behold.

His black matted head on his shoulder is bent,  
And deep is the sigh of his breath,  
And with stedfast dejection his eyes are intent
On the fetters that link him to death.

’Tis sorrow enough on that visage to gaze,
That body dismissed from his care;
Yet my fancy has pierced to his heart, and pourtrays
More terrible images there.  20

His bones are consumed, and his life-blood is dried,
With wishes the past to undo;
And his crime, through the pains that overwhelm him,
descried,
Still blackens and grows on his view.

When from the dark synod, or blood-reeking field,
To his chamber the monarch is led,
All soothers of sense their soft virtue shall yield,
And quietness pillow his head.

But if grief, self-consumed, in oblivion would doze,
And conscience her tortures appease,  30
’Mid tumult and uproar this man must repose;
In the comfortless vault of disease.

When his fetters at night have so press’d on his limbs,
That the weight can no longer be borne,
If, while a half-slumber his memory bedims,
The wretch on his pallet should turn,

While the jail-mastiff howls at the dull clanking chain,
From the roots of his hair there shall start
A thousand sharp punctures of cold-sweating pain,
And terror shall leap at his heart.  40

But now he half-raises his deep-sunken eye,
And the motion unsettles a tear;
The silence of sorrow it seems to supply,
And asks of me why I am here.
“Poor victim! no idle intruder has stood
“With o’erweening complacence our state to compare,
“But one, whose first wish is the wish to be good,
“Is come as a brother thy sorrows to share.

“At thy name though compassion her nature resign,
“Though in virtue’s proud mouth thy report be a stain,
“My care, if the arm of the mighty were mine,
“Would plant thee where yet thou might’st blossom again.’

Lines
written a few miles above Tintern Abbey,

ON REVISITING THE BANKS OF THE WYE
DURING A TOUR,
July 13, 1798

Five years have passed; five summers, with the length
Of five long winters! and again I hear
These waters, rolling from their mountain-springs
With a sweet inland murmur.*—Once again
Do I behold these steep and lofty cliffs,
Which on a wild secluded scene impress
Thoughts of more deep seclusion; and connect
The landscape with the quiet of the sky.
The day is come when I again repose
Here, under this dark sycamore, and view
These plots of cottage-ground, these orchard-tufts,
Which, at this season, with their unripe fruits,
Among the woods and copses lose themselves,
Nor, with their green and simple hue, disturb
The wild green landscape. Once again I see
These hedge-rows, hardly hedge-rows, little lines
Of sportive wood run wild; these pastoral farms
Green to the very door; and wreathes of smoke
Sent up, in silence, from among the trees,
With some uncertain notice, as might seem,  
Of vagrant dwellers in the houseless woods,  
Or of some hermit’s cave, where by his fire  
The hermit sits alone.

Though absent long,

These forms of beauty have not been to me,  
As is a landscape to a blind man’s eye:  
But oft, in lonely rooms, and mid the din  
Of towns and cities, I have owed to them,  
In hours of weariness, sensations sweet,  
Felt in the blood, and felt along the heart,  
And passing even into my purer mind  
With tranquil restoration:—feelings too  
Of unremembered pleasure; such, perhaps,  
As may have had no trivial influence  
On that best portion of a good man’s life;  
His little, nameless, unremembered acts  
Of kindness and of love. Nor less, I trust,  
To them I may have owed another gift,  
Of aspect more sublime; that blessed mood,  
In which the burthen of the mystery,  
In which the heavy and the weary weight  
Of all this unintelligible world  
Is lighten’d:—that serene and blessed mood,  
In which the affections gently lead us on,  
Until, the breath of this corporeal frame,  
And even the motion of our human blood  
Almost suspended, we are laid asleep  
In body, and become a living soul:

* The river is not affected by the tides a few miles above Tintern.
13–15. Are clad in one green hue, and lose themselves  
Among the woods and copses, nor disturb  
The wild green landscape. [1802].
19–20. And the low copses-coming from the trees. [1798 cancel, in errat.]
While with an eye made quiet by the power
Of harmony, and the deep power of joy,
We see into the life of things.

If this
Be but a vain belief, yet, oh! how oft,
In darkness, and amid the many shapes
Of joyless day-light; when the fretful stir
Unprofitable, and the fever of the world,
Have hung upon the beatings of my heart,
How oft, in spirit, have I turned to thee
O sylvan Wye! Thou wanderer through the woods,
How often has my spirit turned to thee!

And now, with gleams of half-extinguish’d thought,
With many recognitions dim and faint,
And somewhat of a sad perplexity,
The picture of the mind revives again:
While here I stand, not only with the sense
Of present pleasure, but with pleasing thoughts
That in this moment there is life and food
For future years. And so I dare to hope
Though changed, no doubt, from what I was, when first
I came among these hills; when like a roe
I bounded o’er the mountains, by the sides
Of the deep rivers, and the lonely streams,
Wherever nature led; more like a man
Flying from something that he dreads, than one
Who sought the thing he loved. For nature then
(The coarser pleasures of my boyish days,
And their glad animal movements all gone by,)
To me was all in all. —I cannot paint
What then I was. The sounding cataract
Haunted me like a passion: the tall rock,

23–24. These beauteous forms,
Through a long absence, have not been to me [1827].
The mountain, and the deep and gloomy wood,
Their colours and their forms, were then to me
An appetite: a feeling and a love,
That had no need of a remoter charm,
By thought supplied, or any interest
Unborrowed from the eye. —That time is past,
And all its aching joys are now no more,
And all its dizzy raptures. Not for this
Faint I, nor mourn nor murmur: other gifts
Have followed, for such loss, I would believe,
Abundant recompence. For I have learned
To look on nature, not as in the hour
Of thoughtless youth, but hearing oftentimes
The still, sad music of humanity,
Not harsh nor grating, though of ample power
To chasten and subdue. And I have felt
A presence that disturbs me with the joy
Of elevated thoughts; a sense sublime
Of something far more deeply interfused,
Whose dwelling is the light of setting suns,
And the round ocean, and the living air,
And the blue sky, and in the mind of man,
A motion and a spirit, that impels
All thinking things, all objects of all thought,
And rolls through all things. Therefore am I still
A lover of the meadows and the woods,
And mountains; and of all that we behold
From this green earth; of all the mighty world
Of eye and ear, both what they half-create,*
And what perceive; well pleased to recognize
In nature and the language of the sense,
The anchor of my purest thoughts, the nurse,
The guide, the guardian of my heart, and soul
Of all my moral being.

Nor, perchance,

If I were not thus taught, should I the more
Suffer my genial spirits to decay:
For thou art with me, here, upon the banks
Of this fair river; thou, my dearest Friend,
My dear, dear Friend, and in thy voice I catch
The language of my former heart, and read
My former pleasures in the shooting lights
Of thy wild eyes. Oh! yet a little while
May I behold in thee what I was once,
My dear, dear Sister! And this prayer I make,
Knowing that Nature never did betray
The heart that loved her; 'tis her privilege,
Through all the years of this our life, to lead
From joy to joy: for she can so inform
The mind that is within us, so impress
With quietness and beauty, and so feed
With lofty thoughts, that neither evil tongues,
Rash judgments, nor the sneers of selfish men,
Nor greetings where no kindness is, nor all
The dreary intercourse of daily life,
Shall e'er prevail against us, or disturb
Our cheerful faith that all which we behold
Is full of blessings. Therefore let the moon
Shine on thee in thy solitary walk;
And let the misty mountain winds be free
To blow against thee: and in after years,
When these wild ecstasies shall be matured
Into a sober pleasure, when thy mind
Shall be a mansion for all lovely forms,
Thy memory be as a dwelling-place
For all sweet sounds and harmonies; Oh! then,
If solitude, or fear, or pain, or grief,
Should be thy portion, with what healing thoughts
Of tender joy wilt thou remember me,
And these my exhortations! Nor, perchance,
If I should be, where I no more can hear

* This line has a close resemblance to an admirable line of Young, the
exact expression of which I cannot recollect.
Thy voice, nor catch from thy wild eyes these gleams
Of past existence, wilt thou then forget 150
That on the banks of this delightful stream
We stood together; and that I, so long
A worshipper of Nature, hither came,
Unwearied in that service: rather say
With warmer love, oh! with far deeper zeal
Of holier love. Nor wilt thou then forget,
That after many wanderings, many years
Of absence, these steep woods and lofty cliffs,
And this green pastoral landscape, were to me
More dear, both for themselves, and for thy sake. 160
All Thoughts, all Passions, all Delights,
Whatever stirs this mortal Frame,
All are but Ministers of Love,
And feed his sacred flame.

Oft in my waking dreams do I
Live o’er again that happy hour,
When midway on the Mount I lay
Beside the Ruin’d Tower.

The Moonshine stealing o’er the scene
Had blended with the Lights of Eve;
And she was there, my Hope, my Joy,
My own dear Genevieve!

She lean’d against the Armed Man,
The Statue of the Armed Knight:
She stood and listen’d to my Harp
Amid the ling’ring Light.

Few Sorrows hath she of her own,
My Hope, my Joy, my Genevieve!
She loves me best, whene’er I sing
The Songs, that make her grieve.

I play’d a soft and doleful Air,
I sang an old and moving Story—
An old rude Song that fitted well
The Ruin wild and hoary.

She listen’d with a flitting Blush, 25
With downcast Eyes and modest Grace;
For well she knew, I could not choose
But gaze upon her Face.

I told her of the Knight, that wore
Upon his Shield a burning Brand; 30
And that for ten long Years he woo’d
The Lady of the Land.

Title: In the first version which appeared in the Morning Post (v. Notes) the title was Introduction to the Tale of the Dark Ladie. The opening stanzas of the poem as it appeared in the Morning Post were

O leave the Lilly on its stem;
O leave the Rose upon the spray;
O leave the Elder-bloom, fair Maids!
And listen to my lay.

A Cypress and a Myrtle bough,
This morn around my harp you twin’d,
Because it fashion’d mournfully
Its murmurs in the wind.

And now a Tale of Love and Woe,
A woeful Tale of Love I sing:
Hark, gentle Maidens, hark! it sighs
And trembles on the string.

But most, my own dear Genevieve!
It sighs and trembles most for thee!
O come and hear what cruel wrongs
Befel the dark Ladie.

The opening stanza in the present text was the sixth stanza in the Morning Post version, and the fifth stanza of the present text was the fifth stanza in the Morning Post version.

5–6. O ever in my waking dreams
I dwell upon [M.P.]

7. lay] sate [M.P.]
I told her, how he pin’d: and, ah!
The low, the deep, the pleading tone,
With which I sang another’s Love, 35
Interpreted my own.

She listen’d with a flitting Blush,
With downcast Eyes and modest Grace;
And she forgave me, that I gaz’d
Too fondly on her Face! 40

But when I told the cruel scorn
Which craz’d this bold and lovely Knight,
And that he cross’d the mountain woods
Nor rested day nor night;

That sometimes from the savage Den, 45
And sometimes from the darksome Shade,
And sometimes starting up at once
In green and sunny Glade,

There came, and look’d him in the face,
An Angel beautiful and bright; 50
And that he knew, it was a Fiend,
This miserable Knight!

And that, unknowing what he did,
He leapt amid a murd’rous Band,
And sav’d from Outrage worse than Death 55
The Lady of the Land;

And how she wept and clasp’d his knees
And how she tended him in vain—
And ever strove to expiate
The Scorn, that craz’d his Brain. 60

21. soft] sad [M.P.]
43. And that he cross’d] And how he roam’d [M.P.]
And that she nurs'd him in a Cave;
And how his Madness went away
When on the yellow forest leaves
A dying Man he lay;

His dying words—but when I reach'd
That tenderest strain of all the Ditty,
My falt'ring Voice and pausing Harp
Disturbed her Soul with Pity!

All Impulses of Soul and Sense
Had thrill'd my guileless Genevieve,
The Music, and the doleful Tale,
The rich and balmy Eve;

And Hopes, and Fears that kindle Hope,
An undistinguishable Throng!
And gentle Wishes long subdued,
Subdued and cherish'd long!

She wept with pity and delight,
She blush'd with love and maiden shame;
And, like the murmur of a dream,
I heard her breathe my name.

Her Bosom heav'd—she stepp'd aside;
As conscious of my Look, she stepp'd—
Then suddenly with timorous eye

44–45. And how he cross'd the Woodman's paths
Tho' briars and swampy mosses beat,
How boughs rebounding scourg'd his limbs,
And low stubs gor'd his feet. [M.P.]

45. That] How [M.P.]

53. And that,] And how, [1802].

54. murd'rous] lawless [M.P.]

59 ever] meekly [M.P.]
She fled to me and wept.

She half inclosed me with her arms,  
She press’d me with a meek embrace;  
And bending back her head look’d up,  
And gaz’d upon my face.

'Twas partly Love, and partly Fear,  
And partly 'twas a bashful Art  
That I might rather feel than see  
The Swelling of her Heart.

I calm’d her fears; and she was calm,  
And told her love with virgin Pride.  
And so I won my Genevieve,  
My bright and beauteous Bride!

The Latin epigraph opposite was taken from Selden’s foreword to Drayton’s *Poly-Olbion* and is a private joke against the lawyer.
James Mackintosh. Translated the phrase means ‘Something not at all to your taste, Papinianus’. (Papinianus was a Roman lawyer.) The line was originally taken from a Latin verse epistle written by the Dutch poet Jan Dousa, the Elder (1545–1604). See J.W. Binns, ‘The title-page epigraph of the *Lyrical Ballads, 1800*, *The Library*, 6th series, II, 2 (June 1981), p.11.

There is a half-title in vol. I of the 1802 and 1805 editions with the epigraph:

*Pectus enim id est quod disertos facit, & vis mentis; ideoque imperitis quoquo, si modo sint aliquo affectu concitati, verba non desunt.*

(Feeling is what makes men eloquent, and force of imagination; and that is why even the uneducated have no lack of words if only they are stirred by some emotion.)

(Quintilian, *Institutio Oratoria*, X, vii, 15)

See Wordsworth’s letter to Charles James Fox, 14 January 1801 (*E.Y.*, p. 312)
Hart-Leap Well

Hart-Leap Well is a small spring of water, about five miles from Richmond in Yorkshire, and near the side of the road which leads from Richmond to Askrigg. Its name is derived from a remarkable chase, the memory of which is preserved by the monuments spoken of in the second Part of the following Poem, which monuments do now exist as I have there described them.

The Knight had ridden down from Wensley moor
With the slow motion of a summer’s cloud;
He turn’d aside towards a Vassal’s door,
And, “Bring another Horse!” he cried aloud.
“Another Horse!”—That shout the Vassal heard,  
And saddled his best steed, a comely Grey;  
Sir Walter mounted him; he was the third  
Which he had mounted on that glorious day.

Joy sparkled in the prancing Courser’s eyes;  
The horse and horseman are a happy pair;  
But, though Sir Walter like a falcon flies,  
There is a doleful silence in the air.

A rout this morning left Sir Walter’s Hall,  
That as they gallop’d made the echoes roar;  
But horse and man are vanish’d, one and all;  
Such race, I think, was never seen before.

Sir Walter, restless as a veering wind,  
Calls to the few tired dogs that yet remain:  
Brach, Swift and Music, noblest of their kind,  
Follow, and up the weary mountain strain.

The Knight halloo’d, he chid and cheer’d them on  
With suppliant gestures and upbraiding stern;  
But breath and eye-sight fail, and, one by one,  
The dogs are stretch’d among the mountain fern.

Where is the throng, the tumult of the chace?  
The bugles that so joyfully were blown?  
—This race it looks not like an earthly race;  
Sir Walter and the Hart are left alone.

The poor Hart toils along the mountain side;  
I will not stop to tell how far he fled,  
Nor will I mention by what death he died;  
But now the Knight beholds him lying dead.

Dismounting then, he lean’d against a thorn;  
He had no follower, dog, nor man, nor boy:  
He neither smack’d his whip, nor blew his horn,
But gaz’d upon the spoil with silent joy.

Close to the thorn on which Sir Walter lean’d,
Stood his dumb partner in this glorious act;
Weak as a lamb the hour that it is yean’d,
And foaming like a mountain cataract.  

Upon his side the Hart was lying stretch’d:
His nose half-touch’d a spring beneath a hill,
And with the last deep groan his breath had fetch’d
The waters of the spring were trembling still.

And now, too happy for repose or rest,
Was never man in such a joyful case,
Sir Walter walk’d all round, north, south and west,
And gaz’d, and gaz’d upon that darling place.

And turning up the hill, it was at least
Nine roods of sheer ascent, Sir Walter found
Three several marks which with his hoofs the beast
Had left imprinted on the verdant ground.

Sir Walter wiped his face, and cried, “Till now
Such sight was never seen by living eyes:
Three leaps have borne him from this lofty brow,
Down to the very fountain where he lies.

I’ll build a Pleasure-house upon this spot,
And a small Arbour, made for rural joy;
’Twill be the traveller’s shed, the pilgrim’s cot,
A place of love for damsels that are coy.

A cunning Artist will I have to frame

20. And weary up the mountain strain, [can. errat. 1800].
25. chace] race [1802].
27. —This Chase it looks not like an earthly Chase [1802].
A bason for that fountain in the dell;
And they, who do make mention of the same,
From this day forth, shall call it Hart-leap Well.

And, gallant brute! to make thy praises known,
Another monument shall here be rais’d;
Three several pillars, each a rough hewn stone,
And planted where thy hoofs the turf have graz’d.

And in the summer-time when days are long,
I will come hither with my paramour,
And with the dancers, and the minstrel’s song,
We will make merry in that pleasant bower.

Till the foundations of the mountains fail
My mansion with its arbour shall endure,
—The joy of them who till the fields of Swale,
And them who dwell among the woods of Ure.”

Then home he went, and left the Hart, stone-dead,
With breathless nostrils stretch’d above the spring.
And soon the Knight perform’d what he had said,
The fame whereof through many a land did ring.

Ere thrice the moon into her port had steer’d,
A cup of stone receiv’d the living well;
Three pillars of rude stone Sir Walter rear’d,
And built a house of pleasure in the dell.

And near the fountain, flowers of stature tall
With trailing plants and trees were intertwin’d,
Which soon composed a little sylvan hall,
A leafy shelter from the sun and wind.

49. turning] climbing [1802].
51. Three several hoof-marks which the hunted Beast [1802].
And thither, when the summer days were long,
Sir Walter journey’d with his paramour;
And with the dancers and the minstrel’s song
Made merriment within that pleasant bower.

The Knight, Sir Walter, died in course of time,
And his bones lie in his paternal vale. —
But there is matter for a second rhyme,
And I to this would add another tale.

PART SECOND

The moving accident is not my trade,
To freeze the blood I have no ready arts;
’Tis my delight, alone in summer shade,
To pipe a simple song to thinking hearts.

As I from Hawes to Richmond did repair,
It chanc’d that I saw standing in a dell
Three aspins at three corners of a square,
And one, not four yards distant, near a well.

What this imported I could ill divine,
And, pulling now the rein my horse to stop,
I saw three pillars standing in a line,
The last stone pillar on a dark hill-top.

The trees were grey, with neither arms nor head;
Half-wasted the square mound of tawny green;
So that you just might say, as then I said,
“Here in old time the hand of man has been.”

I look’d upon the hills both far and near;
More doleful place did never eye survey;
It seem’d as if the spring-time came not here,
And Nature here were willing to decay.

98. freeze] curl [1800, corr. to freeze, second errat.]
I stood in various thoughts and fancies lost,
When one who was in Shepherd’s garb attir’d,
Came up the hollow. Him did I accost,
And what this place might be I then inquir’d. 120

The Shepherd stopp’d, and that same story told
Which in my former rhyme I have rehears’d.
“A jolly place,” said he, “in times of old,
But something ails it now; the spot is curs’d.

You see these lifeless stumps of aspin wood,
Some say that they are beeches, others elms,
These were the Bower; and here a Mansion stood,
The finest palace of a hundred realms.

The arbour does its own condition tell,
You see the stones, the fountain, and the stream,
But as to the great Lodge, you might as well
Hunt half a day for a forgotten dream.

There’s neither dog nor heifer, horse nor sheep,
Will wet his lips within that cup of stone;
And, oftentimes, when all are fast asleep,
This water doth send forth a dolorous groan.

Some say that here a murder has been done,
And blood cries out for blood: but, for my part,
I’ve guess’d, when I’ve been sitting in the sun,
That it was all for that unhappy Hart. 140

What thoughts must through the creature’s brain have
From the stone on the summit of the steep
Are but three bounds, and look, Sir, at this last!
O Master! it has been a cruel leap.

103. aspins] misprinted aspins [1800:1802 throughout].
For thirteen hours he ran a desperate race;  
And in my simple mind we cannot tell  
What cause the Hart might have to love this place,  
And come and make his death-bed near the well.

Here on the grass perhaps asleep he sank,  
Lull'd by this fountain in the summer-tide;  
This water was perhaps the first he drank  
When he had wander'd from his mother's side.

In April here beneath the scented thorn  
He heard the birds their morning carols sing,  
And he, perhaps, for aught we know, was born  
Not half a furlong from that self-same spring.

But now here's neither grass nor pleasant shade;  
The sun on drearier hollow never shone:  
So will it be, as I have often said,  
Till trees, and stones, and fountain all are gone.”

“Grey-headed Shepherd, thou hast spoken well;  
Small difference lies between thy creed and mine;  
This beast not unobserv'd by Nature fell,  
His death was mourn'd by sympathy divine.

The Being, that is in the clouds and air,  
That is in the green leaves among the groves,  
Maintains a deep and reverential care  
For them the quiet creatures whom he loves.

The Pleasure-house is dust:—behind, before,  
This is no common waste, no common gloom;  
But Nature, in due course of time, once more  
Shall here put on her beauty and her bloom.

142. To this place from the stone upon the steep [can. errat. 1800].
142. From the stone upon the summit of the steep [1802].
She leaves these objects to a slow decay
That what we are, and have been, may be known;
But, at the coming of the milder day,
These monuments shall all be overgrown.

One lesson, Shepherd, let us two divide,
Taught both by what she shews, and what conceals,
Never to blend our pleasure or our pride
With sorrow of the meanest thing that feels. 180

There was a Boy, ye knew him well, ye Cliffs
And Islands of Winander! many a time,
At evening, when the stars had just begun
To move along the edges of the hills,
Rising or setting, would he stand alone,
Beneath the trees, or by the glimmering lake,
And there, with fingers interwoven, both hands
Press’d closely palm to palm and to his mouth
Uplifted, he, as through an instrument,
Blew mimic hootings to the silent owls 10
That they might answer him. And they would shout
Across the wat’ry vale and shout again
Responsive to his call, with quivering peals,
And long halloos, and screams, and echoes loud
Redoubled and redoubled, a wild scene
Of mirth and jocund din. And, when it chanced
That pauses of deep silence mock’d his skill,
Then, sometimes, in that silence, while he hung
Listening, a gentle shock of mild surprize
Has carried far into his heart the voice 20
Of mountain torrents, or the visible scene
Would enter unawares into his mind
With all its solemn imagery, its rocks,
Its woods, and that uncertain heaven, received
Into the bosom of the steady lake.

Fair are the woods, and beauteous is the spot,
The vale where he was born: the Church-yard hangs
Upon a slope above the village school,
And there along that bank when I have pass’d
At evening, I believe, that near his grave
A full half-hour together I have stood,
Mute—for he died when he was ten years old.

The Brothers*

These Tourists, Heaven preserve us! needs must live
A profitable life: some glance along,
Rapid and gay, as if the earth were air,
And they were butterflies to wheel about
Long as their summer lasted; some, as wise,
Upon the forehead of a jutting crag
Sit perch’d with book and pencil on their knee,
And look and scribble, scribble on and look,
Until a man might travel twelve stout miles,
Or reap an acre of his neighbour’s corn.        10

But, for that moping son of Idleness
Why can he tarry yonder? —In our church-yard

15. a wild scene] concourse wild [1805].
25. Followed by
This Boy was taken from his Mates, and died
In childhood, ere he was ten years old. [1805].
Is neither epitaph nor monument,
Tomb-stone nor name, only the turf we tread,
And a few natural graves. To Jane, his Wife,
Thus spake the homely Priest of Ennerdale.

It was a July evening, and he sate
Upon the long stone-seat beneath the eaves
Of his old cottage, as it chanced that day,
Employ’d in winter’s work. Upon the stone
His Wife sate near him, teasing matted wool,
While, from the twin cards tooth’d with glittering wire,
He fed the spindle of his youngest child,
Who turn’d her large round wheel in the open air
With back and forward steps. Towards the field
In which the parish chapel stood alone,
Girt round with a bare ring of mossy wall,
While half an hour went by, the Priest had sent
Many a long look of wonder, and at last,
Risen from his seat, beside the snow-white ridge
Of carded wool which the old Man had piled
He laid his implements with gentle care,
Each in the other lock’d; and, down the path
Which from his cottage to the church-yard led,
He took his way, impatient to accost
The Stranger, whom he saw still lingering there.

’Twas one well known to him in former days,
A Shepherd-lad: who ere his thirteenth year
Had chang’d his calling, with the mariners
A fellow-mariner, and so had fared
Through twenty seasons; but he had been rear’d

* This Poem was intended to be the concluding poem of a series of pastorals, the scene of which was laid among the mountains of Cumberland and Westmoreland. I mention this to apologise for the abruptness with which the poem begins.

30. that near his grave] that oftentimes [1805].
32. Mute—looking at the grave in which he lies. [1805].
Among the mountains, and he in his heart
Was half a Shepherd on the stormy seas.
Oft in the piping shrouds had Leonard heard
The tones of waterfalls, and inland sounds
Of caves and trees; and when the regular wind
Between the tropics fill’d the steady sail
And blew with the same breath through days and weeks,
Lengthening invisibly its weary line
Along the cloudless main, he, in those hours
Of tiresome indolence would often hang
Over the vessel’s side, and gaze and gaze,
And, while the broad green wave and sparkling foam
Flash’d round him images and hues, that wrought
In union with the employment of his heart,
He, thus by feverish passion overcome,
Even with the organs of his bodily eye,
Below him, in the bosom of the deep
Saw mountains, saw the forms of sheep that graz’d
On verdant hills, with dwellings among trees,
And Shepherds clad in the same country grey
Which he himself had worn.*

And now at length,
From perils manifold, with some small wealth
Acquired by traffic in the Indian Isles,
To his paternal home he is return’d,
With a determin’d purpose to resume
The life which he liv’d there, both for the sake
Of many darling pleasures, and the love
Which to an only brother he has borne
In all his hardships, since that happy time
When, whether it blew foul or fair, they two
Were brother Shepherds on their native hills.
—They were the last of all their race; and now,
When Leonard had approach’d his home, his heart

30. snow-white] snowy [1800 amend, second errat.].
Fail’d in him, and, not venturing to inquire
Tidings of one whom he so dearly lov’d,
Towards the church-yard he had turn’d aside,
That, as he knew in what particular spot
His family were laid, he thence might learn
If still his Brother liv’d, or to the file
Another grave was added. —He had found
Another grave, near which a full half hour
He had remain’d, but, as he gaz’d, there grew
Such a confusion in his memory,
That he began to doubt, and he had hopes
That he had seen this heap of turf before,
That it was not another grave, but one
He had forgotten. He had lost his path,
As up the vale he came that afternoon,
Through fields which once had been well known to him.
And Oh! what joy the recollection now
Sent to his heart! he lifted up his eyes,
And looking round he thought that he perceiv’d
Strange alteration wrought on every side
Among the woods and fields, and that the rocks,
And the eternal hills, themselves were chang’d.

By this the Priest who down the field had come
Unseen by Leonard, at the church-yard gate
Stopp’d short, and thence, at leisure, limb by limb
He scann’d him with a gay complacency.
Aye, thought the Vicar, smiling to himself,
’Tis one of those who needs must leave the path
Of the world’s business, to go wild alone:
His arms have a perpetual holiday,
The happy man will creep about the fields
Following his fancies by the hour, to bring

* This description of the Calenture is sketched from an imperfect recollection of an admirable one in prose, by Mr. Gilbert, Author of the Hurricane.
Tears down his cheek, or solitary smiles 
Into his face, until the setting sun 
Write Fool upon his forehead. Planted thus
Beneath a shed that overarch'd the gate
Of this rude church-yard, till the stars appeared
The good man might have commun'd with himself
But that the Stranger, who had left the grave,
Approach'd; he recognized the Priest at once,
And after greetings interchang'd, and given
By Leonard to the Vicar as to one
Unknown to him, this dialogue ensued.

LEONARD

You live, Sir, in these dales, a quiet life:
Your years make up one peaceful family;
And who would grieve and fret, if, welcome come
And welcome gone, they are so like each other,
They cannot be remember'd. Scarce a funeral
Comes to this church-yard once in eighteen months;
And yet, some changes must take place among you.
And you, who dwell here, even among these rocks
Can trace the finger of mortality,
And see, that with our threescore years and ten
We are not all that perish. —I remember,
For many years ago I pass'd this road,
There was a foot-way all along the fields
By the brook-side—'tis gone—and that dark cleft!
To me it does not seem to wear the face
Which then it had.

PRIEST

Why, Sir, for aught I know,
That chasm is much the same—

LEONARD

But, surely, yonder—

PRIEST
Aye, there indeed, your memory is a friend
That does not play you false. —On that tall pike,
(It is the loneliest place of all these hills) 140
There were two Springs which bubbled side by side,
As if they had been made that they might be
Companions for each other: ten years back,
Close to those brother fountains, the huge crag
Was rent with lightning—one is dead and gone,
The other, left behind, is flowing still. —
For accidents and changes such as these,
Why we have store of them! a water-spout
Will bring down half a mountain; what a feast
For folks that wander up and down like you,
To see an acre’s breadth of that wide cliff
One roaring cataract—a sharp May storm
Will come with loads of January snow,
And in one night send twenty score of sheep
To feed the ravens, or a Shepherd dies
By some untoward death among the rocks:
The ice breaks up and sweeps away a bridge—
A wood is fell’d:—and then for our own homes!
A child is born or christen’d, a field plough’d,
A daughter sent to service, a web spun, 160
The old house-clock is deck’d with a new face;
And hence, so far from wanting facts or dates
To chronicle the time, we all have here
A pair of diaries, one serving, Sir,
For the whole dale, and one for each fire-side,
Your’s was a stranger’s judgment: for historians
Commend me to these vallies.

LEONARD

Yet your church-yard
Seems, if such freedom may be used with you,
To say that you are heedless of the past. 170
Here’s neither head nor foot-stone, plate of brass,
An orphan could not find his mother’s grave:
Cross-bones or skull, type of our earthly state
Or emblem of our hopes: the dead man’s home
Is but a fellow to that pasture field.

PRIEST

Why there, Sir, is a thought that’s new to me.
The Stone-cutters, ’tis true, might beg their bread
If every English church-yard were like ours:
Yet your conclusion wanders from the truth.
We have no need of names and epitaphs,
We talk about the dead by our fire-sides. 180
And then for our immortal part, we want
No symbols, Sir, to tell us that plain tale:
The thought of death sits easy on the man
Who has been born and dies among the mountains.

LEONARD

Your dalesmen, then, do in each other’s thoughts
Possess a kind of second life: no doubt
You, Sir, could help me to the history
Of half these Graves?

PRIEST

For eight-score winters past,
With what I’ve witness’d, and with what I’ve heard,
Perhaps I might, and, on a winter’s evening, 190
If you were seated at my chimney’s nook
By turning o’er these hillocks one by one,
We two could travel, Sir, through a strange round,
Yet all in the broad high-way of the world.
Now there’s a grave—your foot is half upon it,
It looks just like the rest, and yet that man
Died broken-hearted.

161. house-clock] misprint house-cloth [1800].
172. Second errat. 1800.
LEONARD

'Tis a common case,
We'll take another: who is he that lies
Beneath yon ridge, the last of those three graves;—
It touches on that piece of native rock
Left in the church-yard wall.

PRIEST

That's Walter Ewbank.

He had as white a head and fresh a cheek
As ever were produc'd by youth and age
Engendering in the blood of hale fourscore.
For five long generations had the heart
Of Walter's forefathers o'erflow'd the bounds
Of their inheritance, that single cottage,
You see it yonder, and those few green fields.

They toil'd and wrought, and still, from sire to son,
Each struggled, and each yielded as before
A little—yet a little—and old Walter,
They left to him the family heart, and land
With other burthens than the crop it bore.
Year after year the old man still preserv'd
A cheerful mind, and buffeted with bond,
Interest and mortgages; at last he sank,
And went into his grave before his time.

Poor Walter! whether it was care that spur'd him
God only knows, but to the very last
He had the lightest foot in Ennerdale:
His pace was never that of an old man:
I almost see him tripping down the path
With his two Grandsons after him—but you,
Unless our Landlord be your host to-night,
Have far to travel, and in these rough paths
Even in the longest day of midsummer—

188. For eight-score winters past, [second errat. 1800].
LEONARD
But these two Orphans!

PRIEST
Orphans! such they were—230
Yet not while Walter liv’d—for, though their Parents
Lay buried side by side as now they lie,
The old Man was a father to the boys,
Two fathers in one father: and if tears
Shed, when he talk’d of them where they were not,
And hauntings from the infirmity of love,
Are aught of what makes up a mother’s heart,
This old Man in the day of his old age
Was half a mother to them—If you weep, Sir,
To hear a stranger talking about strangers, 240
Heaven bless you when you are among your kindred!
Aye. You may turn that way—it is a grave
Which will bear looking at.

LEONARD
These Boys I hope
They lov’d this good old Man—

PRIEST
They did—and truly,
But that was what we almost overlooked,
They were such darlings of each other. For
Though from their cradles they had liv’d with Walter,
The only kinsman near them in the house, 250
Yet he being old, they had much love to spare,
And it all went into each other’s hearts.
Leonard, the elder by just eighteen months,
Was two years taller: ’twas a joy to see,
To hear, to meet them! from their house the School
Was distant three short miles, and in the time
Of storm and thaw, when every water-course
And unbridg’d stream, such as you may have notic’d
Crossing our roads at every hundred steps,
Was swoln into a noisy rivulet, 260
Would Leonard then, when elder boys perhaps
Remain’d at home, go staggering through the fords
Bearing his Brother on his back. —I’ve seen him,
On windy days, in one of those stray brooks,
Aye, more than once I’ve seen him mid-leg deep,
Their two books lying both on a dry stone
Upon the hither side:—and once I said,
As I remember, looking round these rocks
And hills on which we all of us were born,
That God who made the great book of the world 270
Would bless such piety—

LEONARD

It may be then—

PRIEST

Never did worthier lads break English bread:
The finest Sunday that the Autumn saw,
With all its mealy clusters of ripe nuts,
Could never keep these boys away from church,
Or tempt them to an hour of sabbath breach.
Leonard and James! I warrant, every corner
Among these rocks and every hollow place
Where foot could come, to one or both of them 280
Was known as well as to the flowers that grow there.
Like roe-bucks they went bounding o’er the hills:
They play’d like two young ravens on the crags:
Then they could write, aye and speak too, as well
As many of their betters—and for Leonard!
The very night before he went away,
In my own house I put into his hand
A Bible, and I’d wager twenty pounds,
That, if he is alive, he has it yet.

LEONARD

It seems, these Brothers have not liv’d to be
A comfort to each other. —

PRIEST

That they might
Live to that end, is what both old and young
In this our valley all of us have wish’d,
And what, for my part, I have often pray’d:
But Leonard—

LEONARD

Then James still is left among you—

PRIEST

’Tis of the elder Brother I am speaking:
They had an Uncle, he was at that time
A thriving man, and traffick’d on the seas:
And, but for this same Uncle, to this hour
Leonard had never handled rope or shroud.
For the Boy lov’d the life which we lead here;
And, though a very Stripling, twelve years old;
His soul was knit to this his native soil.
But, as I said, old Walter was too weak
To strive with such a torrent; when he died,
The estate and house were sold, and all their sheep,
A pretty flock, and which, for aught I know,
Had clothed the Ewbanks for a thousand years.
Well—all was gone, and they were destitute.
And Leonard, chiefly for his brother’s sake,
Resolv’d to try his fortune on the seas.
’Tis now twelve years since we had tidings from him.
If there was one among us who had heard
That Leonard Ewbank was come home again,
From the great Gavel,* down by Leeza’s Banks,
And down the Enna, far as Egremont,
The day would be a very festival,
And those two bells of ours, which there you see
Hanging in the open air—but, O good Sir!
This is sad talk—they’ll never sound for him
Living or dead—When last we heard of him
He was in slavery among the Moors
Upon the Barbary Coast—’Twas not a little
That would bring down his spirit, and, no doubt,
Before it ended in his death, the Lad
Was sadly cross’d—Poor Leonard! when we parted,
He took me by the hand and said to me,
If ever the day came when he was rich,
He would return, and on his Father’s Land
He would grow old among us.

LEONARD

If that day
Should come, ’twould needs be a glad day for him;
He would himself, no doubt, be happy then
As any that should meet him—

PRIEST

Happy, Sir—

* The great Gavel, so called I imagine, from its resemblance to the Gable end
der of a house, is one of the highest of the Cumberland mountains. It stands at
the head of the several vales of Ennerdale, Wastdale, and Borrowdale.
The Leeza is a River which follows into the Lake of Ennerdale: on issuing
from the Lake, it changes its name, and is called the End, Eyne, or Enna. It
falls into the sea a little below Egremont.
LEONARD
You said his kindred all were in their graves,
And that he had one Brother—

PRIEST
That is but

A fellow tale of sorrow. From his youth
James, though not sickly, yet was delicate,
And Leonard being always by his side
Had done so many offices about him,
That, though he was not of a timid nature,
Yet still the spirit of a mountain boy
In him was somewhat checked, and when his Brother
Was gone to sea and he was left alone
The little colour that he had was soon
Stolen from his cheek, he droop’d, and pin’d and pin’d:

LEONARD
But these are all the graves of full grown men!

PRIEST
Aye, Sir, that pass’d away: we took him to us.
He was the child of all the dale—he liv’d
Three months with one, and six months with another:
And wanted neither food, nor clothes, nor love,
And many, many happy days were his.
But, whether blithe or sad, ’tis my belief
His absent Brother still was at his heart.
And, when he liv’d beneath our roof, we found
(A practice till this time unknown to him)
That often, rising from his bed at night,
He in his sleep would walk about, and sleeping
He sought his Brother Leonard—You are mov’d!
Forgive me, Sir: before I spoke to you,
I judg’d you most unkindly.

LEONARD
But this youth,
How did he die at last?

PRIEST
One sweet May morning,
It will be twelve years since, when Spring returns,
He had gone forth among the new-dropp’d lambs,
With two or three companions whom it chanc’d
Some further business summon’d to a house
Which stands at the Dale-head. James, tir’d perhaps,
Or from some other cause remain’d behind.
You see yon precipice—it almost looks
Like some vast building made of many crags
And in the midst is one particular rock
That rises like a column from the vale,
Whence by our Shepherds it is call’d, the Pillar.
James pointed to its summit, over which
They all had purpos’d to return together,
And told them that he there would wait for them:
They parted, and his comrades pass’d that way
Some two hours after, but they did not find him
At the appointed place, a circumstance
Of which they took no heed: but one of them,
Going by chance, at night, into the house
Which at this time was James’s home, there learn’d
That nobody had seen him all that day:
The morning came, and still, he was unheard of:
The neighbours were alarm’d, and to the Brook
Some went, and some towards the Lake; ere noon
They found him at the foot of that same Rock
Dead, and with mangled limbs. The third day after
I buried him, poor Lad, and there he lies.
LEONARD

And that then is his grave! —Before his death
You said that he saw many happy years?

PRIEST

Aye, that he did—

LEONARD

And all went well with him—

PRIEST

If he had one, the Lad had twenty homes. 400

LEONARD

And you believe then, that his mind was easy—

PRIEST

Yes, long before he died, he found that time
Is a true friend to sorrow, and unless
His thoughts were turn’d on Leonard’s luckless fortune,
He talk’d about him with a cheerful love.

LEONARD

He could not come to an unhallow’d end!

PRIEST

Nay, God forbid! You recollect I mention’d
A habit which disquietude and grief
Had brought upon him, and we all conjectur’d

380. James pointed] James, pointing [1800 amend, second errat.]
382. And told] Inform’d [1800 amend, second errat.]
385–386. Upon the Pillar—at the appointed place.
Of this they took no heed: but one of them, [1802].
That, as the day was warm, he had lain down
Upon the grass, and, waiting for his comrades
He there had fallen asleep, that in his sleep
He to the margin of the precipice
Had walked, and from the summit had fallen head-long,
And so no doubt he perish’d: at the time,
We guess, that in his hands he must have had
His Shepherd’s staff; for midway in the cliff
It had been caught, and there for many years
It hung—and moulder’d there.

The Priest here ended—

The Stranger would have thank’d him, but he felt
Tears rushing in; both left the spot in silence,
And Leonard, when they reach’d the church-yard gate,
As the Priest lifted up the latch, turn’d round,
And, looking at the grave, he said, “My Brother.”
The Vicar did not hear the words: and now,
Pointing towards the Cottage, he entreated
That Leonard would partake his homely fare:
The other thank’d him with a fervent voice,
But added, that, the evening being calm,
He would pursue his journey. So they parted.

It was not long ere Leonard reach’d a grove
That overhung the road: he there stopp’d short,
And, sitting down beneath the trees, review’d
All that the Priest had said: his early years
Were with him in his heart: his cherish’d hopes,
And thoughts which had been his an hour before,
All press’d on him with such a weight, that now,
This vale, where he had been so happy, seem’d
A place in which he could not bear to live:
So he relinquish’d all his purposes.
He travell’d on to Egremont; and thence,
That night, address’d a letter to the Priest
Reminding him of what had pass’d between them.
And adding, with a hope to be forgiven,
That it was from the weakness of his heart,
He had not dared to tell him, who he was.

This done, he went on shipboard, and is now
A Seaman, a grey headed Mariner.

---

*Ellen Irwin, OR the Braes of Kirtle*

Fair Ellen Irwin, when she sate
Upon the Braes of Kirtle,
Was lovely as a Grecian Maid
Adorn’d with wreaths of myrtle.

Young Adam Bruce beside her lay,
And there did they beguile the day
With love and gentle speeches,
Beneath the budding beeches.

From many Knights and many Squires
The Bruce had been selected,    10
And Gordon, fairest of them all,
By Ellen was rejected.
Sad tidings to that noble Youth!
For it may be proclaimed with truth,
If Bruce hath lov’d sincerely,
The Gordon loves as dearly.

But what is Gordon’s beauteous face?
And what are Gordon’s crosses
To them who sit by Kirtle’s Braes
Upon the verdant mosses?    20
Alas that ever he was born!

---

443. address’d a letter] he wrote [1802].
Vhe Gordon, couch’d behind a thorn,
Sees them and their caressing,
Beholds them bless’d and blessing.

Proud Gordon cannot bear the thoughts
That through his brain are travelling,
And, starting up, to Bruce’s heart
He launch’d a deadly jav’lin!
Fair Ellen saw it when it came,
And, stepping forth to meet the same,
Did with her body cover
The Youth her chosen lover.

And, falling into Bruce’s arms,
Thus died the beauteous Ellen,
Thus from the heart of her true-love
The mortal spear repelling.
And Bruce, as soon as he had slain
The Gordon, sail’d away to Spain,
And fought with rage incessant
Against the Moorish Crescent.

But many days and many months,
And many years ensuing,
This wretched Knight did vainly seek
The death that he was wooing:
So coming back across the wave,
Without a groan on Ellen’s grave
His body he extended,
And there his sorrow ended.

Now ye who willingly have heard
The tale I have been telling,
May in Kirkonnel church-yard view

* The Kirtle is a River in the Southern part of Scotland, on whose banks the events here related took place.
The grave of lovely Ellen:
By Ellen's side the Bruce is laid,
And, for the stone upon his head,
May no rude hand deface it,
And its forlorn Hie jacet.

Strange fits of passion I have known,
And I will dare to tell,
But in the lover's ear alone,
What once to me befel.

When she I lov'd, was strong and gay
And like a rose in June,
I to her cottage bent my way,
Beneath the evening moon.

Upon the moon I fix'd my eye,
All over the wide lea; 10
My horse trudg'd on, and we drew nigh
Those paths so dear to me.

And now we reach'd the orchard plot,
And, as we climb'd the hill,
Towards the roof of Lucy's cot
The moon descended still.

In one of those sweet dreams I slept,
Kind Nature's gentlest boon!
And, all the while, my eyes I kept
On the descending moon. 20

My horse mov'd on; hoof after hoof
He rais'd and never stopp'd:
When down behind the cottage roof
At once the planet dropp'd.
What fond and wayward thoughts will slide
Into a Lover's head—
"O mercy!" to myself I cried,
"If Lucy should be dead!"

Song

She dwelt among th' untrodden ways
Beside the springs of Dove,
A Maid whom there were none to praise
And very few to love.

A Violet by a mossy stone
Half-hidden from the Eye!
—Fair, as a star when only one
Is shining in the sky!
She liv'd unknown, and few could know
When Lucy ceas'd to be; 10
But she is in her Grave, and Oh!
The difference to me.

A slumber did my spirit seal,
I had no human fears:
She seem'd a thing that could not feel
The touch of earthly years.

No motion has she now, no force
She neither hears nor sees
Roll'd round in earth's diurnal course
With rocks and stones and trees!
The Waterfall and the Eglantine

"Begone, thou fond presumptuous Elf,
Ex claim’d a thundering Voice,
Nor dare to thrust thy foolish self
Between me and my choice!
A falling Water swoln with snows
Thus spake to a poor Briar-rose,
That all bespatter’d with his foam,
And dancing high, and dancing low,
Was living, as a child might know,
In an unhappy home. 10

"Dost thou presume my course to block?
Off, off! or, puny Thing!
I’ll hurl thee headlong with the rock
To which thy fibres cling."
The Flood was tyrannous and strong;
The patient Briar suffered long,
Nor did he utter groan or sigh,
Hoping the danger would be pass’d:
But seeing no relief, at last
He ventured to reply. 20

"Ah!" said the Briar, "Blame me not!
Why should we dwell in strife?
We who in this, our natal spot,
Once liv’d a happy life!
You stirr’d me on my rocky bed—
What pleasure thro’ my veins you spread!
The Summer long from day to day
My leaves you freshen’d and bedew’d;
Nor was it common gratitude
That did your cares repay. 30

When Spring came on with bud and bell,
Among these rocks did I
Before you hang my wreath to tell
That gentle days were nigh!

And in the sultry summer hours
I sheltered you with leaves and flowers;
And in my leaves now shed and gone
The linnet lodg’d and for us two
Chaunted his pretty songs when you
Had little voice or none. 40

But now proud thoughts are in your breast—
What grief is mine you see.
Ah! would you think, ev’n yet how blest
Together we might be!
Though of both leaf and flower bereft,
Some ornaments to me are left—

Rich store of scarlet hips is mine,
With which I in my humble way
Would deck you many a Winter’s day,
A happy Eglantine!” 50

What more he said, I cannot tell.
The stream came thundering down the dell
And gallop’d loud and fast;
I listened, nor aught else could hear,
The Briar quak’d and much I fear,
Those accents were his last.

_The Oak and the Broom_,

A PASTORAL

His simple truths did Andrew glean
Beside the babbling rills;
A careful student he had been
Among the woods and hills.
One winter’s night when through the Trees
The wind was thundering, on his knees
His youngest born did Andrew hold:
And while the rest, a ruddy quire
Were seated round their blazing fire,
This Tale the Shepherd told. 10

I saw a crag, a lofty stone
As ever tempest beat!
Out of its head an Oak had grown,
A Broom out of its feet.
The time was March, a cheerful noon—
The thaw-wind with the breath of June
Breath’d gently from the warm South-west;
When in a voice sedate with age
This Oak, half giant and half sage,
His neighbour thus address’d. 20

“Eight weary weeks, thro’ rock and clay,
Along this mountain’s edge
The Frost hath wrought both night and day,
Wedge driving after wedge.
Look up, and think, above your head
What trouble surely will be bred;
Last night I heard a crash—’tis true,
The splinters took another road—
I see them yonder—what a load
For such a Thing as you! 30

You are preparing as before
To deck your slender shape;
And yet, just three years back—no more—
You had a strange escape.
Down from yon Cliff a fragment broke,
It came, you know, with fire and smoke
And hither did it bend its way.
This pond’rous block was caught by me,
And o’er your head, as you may see,
’Tis hanging to this day. 40
The Thing had better been asleep,
Whatever thing it were,
Or Breeze, or Bird, or fleece of Sheep,
That first did plant you there.
For you and your green twigs decoy
The little witless Shepherd-boy
To come and slumber in your bower;
And trust me, on some sultry noon,
Both you and he, Heaven knows how soon!
Will perish in one hour. 50

From me this friendly warning take”—
—The Broom began to doze,
And thus to keep herself awake
Did gently interpose.
“My thanks for your discourse are due;
That it is true, and more than true,
I know and I have known it long;
Frail is the bond, by which we hold
Our being, be we young or old,
Wise, foolish, weak or strong. 60

Disasters, do the best we can,
Will reach both great and small;
And he is oft the wisest man,
Who is not wise at all.
For me, why should I wish to roam?
This spot is my paternal home,
It is my pleasant Heritage;
My Father many a happy year
Here spread his careless blossoms, here
Attain’d a good old age. 70

Even such as his may be my lot.

37. And hitherward it bent its way. [1802].
43. Or Breeze, or Bird, or Dog, or Sheep, [1802].
What cause have I to haunt
My heart with terrors? Am I not
In truth a favor’d plant!
The Spring for me a garland weaves
Of yellow flowers and verdant leaves,
And, when the Frost is in the sky,
My branches are so fresh and gay
That You might look on me and say
This plant can never die. 80

The butterfly, all green and gold,
To me hath often flown,
Here in my Blossoms to behold
Wings lovely as his own.
When grass is chill with rain or dew,
Beneath my shade the mother ewe
Lies with her infant lamb; I see
The love, they to each other make,
And the sweet joy, which they partake,
It is a joy to me.” 90

Her voice was blithe, her heart was light;
The Broom might have pursued
Her speech, until the stars of night
Their journey had renew’d.
But in the branches of the Oak
Two Ravens now began to croak
Their nuptial song, a gladsome air;
And to her own green bower the breeze
That instant brought two stripling Bees
To feed and murmur there. 100

One night the Wind came from the North
And blew a furious blast,
At break of day I ventured forth
And near the Cliff I pass’d.
The storm had fall’n upon the Oak
And struck him with a mighty stroke,
And whirl’d and whirl’d him far away;
And in one hospitable Cleft
The little careless Broom was left
To live for many a day.  110

Lucy Gray

Oft I had heard of Lucy Gray,
And when I cross’d the Wild,
I chanc’d to see at break of day
The solitary Child.

No Mate, no comrade Lucy knew;
She dwelt on a wild Moor,
The sweetest Thing that ever grew
Beside a human door!

You yet may spy the Fawn at play,
The Hare upon the Green;  10
But the sweet face of Lucy Gray
Will never more be seen.

“To-night will be a stormy night,
You to the Town must go,
And take a lantern, Child, to light
Your Mother thro’ the snow.”

“That, Father! will I gladly do;
’Tis scarcely afternoon—
The Minster-clock has just struck two,
And yonder is the Moon.”  20

At this the Father rais’d his hook
And snapp’d a faggot-band;
He plied his work, and Lucy took
The lantern in her hand.

Not blither is the mountain roe,  
With many a wanton stroke  
Her feet disperse the powd’ry snow  
That rises up like smoke.

The storm came on before its time,  
She wander’d up and down,  
And many a hill did Lucy climb  
But never reach’d the Town.

The wretched Parents all that night  
Went shouting far and wide;  
But there was neither sound nor sight  
To serve them for a guide.

At day-break on a hill they stood  
That overlook ’d the Moor;  
And thence they saw the Bridge of Wood  
A furlong from their door.

And now they homeward turn’d, and cry’d  
“In Heaven we all shall meet!”  
When in the snow the Mother spied  
The print of Lucy’s feet.

Then downward from the steep hill’s edge  
They track’d the footmarks small;  
And through the broken hawthorn-hedge,  
And by the long stone-wall;

And then an open field they cross’d,  
The marks were still the same;  
They track’d them on, nor ever lost,  
And to the Bridge they came.

They follow’d from the snowy bank
The footmarks, one by one,
Into the middle of the plank,
And further there were none.

Yet some maintain that to this day
She is a living Child,
That you may see sweet Lucy Gray
Upon the lonesome Wild. 60

O’er rough and smooth she trips along,
And never looks behind;
And sings a solitary song
That whistles in the wind.

*The Idle Shepherd-Boys, or Dungeon-Gill Force,*

A PASTORAL

I

The valley rings with mirth and joy,
Among the hills the Echoes play
A never, never ending song
To welcome in the May.
The Magpie chatters with delight;
The mountain Raven’s youngling Brood
Have left the Mother and the Nest,
And they go rambling east and west

In search of their own food,
Or thro’ the glittering Vapors dart 10
In very wantonness of Heart.

II

Beneath a rock, upon the grass,
Two Boys are sitting in the sun;
It seems they have no work to do
Or that their work is done.
On pipes of sycamore they play
The fragments of a Christmas Hymn,
Or with that plant which in our dale
We call Stag-horn, or Fox’s Tail
Their rusty Hats they trim: 20
And thus as happy as the Day,
Those Shepherds wear the time away.

III

Along the river’s stony marge
The sand-lark chants a joyous song;
The thrush is busy in the Wood,
And carols loud and strong.
A thousand lambs are on the rocks,
All newly born! both earth and sky
Keep jubilee, and more than all,
Those Boys with their green Coronal, 30
They never hear the cry,
That plaintive cry! which up the hill
Comes from the depth of Dungeon-Gill.

IV

Said Walter, leaping from the ground,
“Down to the stump of yon old yew
I’ll run with you a race.”—No more—
Away the Shepherds flew.
They leapt, they ran, and when they came
Right opposite to Dungeon-Gill,
Seeing, that he should lose the prize, 40

* Gill in the dialect of Cumberland and Westmoreland is a short and for the most part a steep narrow valley, with a stream running through it. Force is the word universally employed in these dialects for Waterfall.
“Stop!” to his comrade Walter cries—
James stopp’ed with no good will:
Said Walter then, “Your task is here,
’Twill keep you working half a year.

V
Till you have cross’d where I shall cross,
Say that you’ll neither sleep nor eat.”
James proudly took him at his word,
But did not like the feat.
It was a spot, which you may see
If ever you to Langdale go: 50
Into a chasm a mighty Block
Hath fallen, and made a bridge of rock;
The gulph is deep below,
And in a bason black and small
Receives a lofty Waterfall.

VI
With staff in hand across the cleft
The Challenger began his march;
And now, all eyes and feet, hath gain’d
The middle of the arch.
When list! he hears a piteous moan— 60
Again! his heart within him dies—
His pulse is stopp’d, his breath is lost,
He totters, pale as any ghost,
And, looking down, he spies
A Lamb, that in the pool is pent
Within that black and frightful rent.

36. We’ll for this Whistle run a race”. [1802],
We’ll for our Whistles run a race”. [1805].
45–48. “Now cross where I shall cross—come on,
And follow me where I shall lead”—
James proudly took him at his word,
But did not like the deed. [1802].
(47). James proudly] The other [1805].
VII

The Lamb had slipp’d into the stream,
And safe without a bruise or wound
The Cataract had borne him down
Into the gulph profound. 70
His dam had seen him when he fell,
She saw him down the torrent borne;
And while with all a mother’s love
She from the lofty rocks above
Sent forth a cry forlorn,
The Lamb, still swimming round and round
Made answer to that plaintive sound.

VIII

When he had learnt, what thing it was,
That sent this rueful cry; I ween,
The Boy recovered heart, and told 80
The sight which he had seen.
Both gladly now deferr’d their task;
Nor was there wanting other aid—
A Poet, one who loves the brooks
Far better than the sages’ books,
By chance had thither stray’d;
And there the helpless Lamb he found
By those huge rocks encompassed round.

IX

He drew it gently from the pool,
And brought it forth into the light: 90
The Shepherds met him with his charge
An unexpected sight!
Into their arms the Lamb they took,
Said they, “He’s neither maim’d nor scarr’d”—
Then up the steep ascent they hied
And placed him at his Mother’s side;
And gently did the Bard
Those idle Shepherd-boys upbraid,
And bade them better mind their trade.

’Tis said, that some have died for love:
And here and there a church-yard grave is found
In the cold North’s unhallow’d ground,
Because the wretched man himself had slain,
His love was such a grievous pain.
And there is one whom I five years have known;
He dwells alone
Upon Helvellyn’s side.
He loved—The pretty Barbara died,
And thus he makes his moan: 10
Three years had Barbara in her grave been laid
When thus his moan he made.

Oh! move thou Cottage from behind that oak
Or let the aged tree uprooted lie,
That in some other way yon smoke
May mount into the sky!
The clouds pass on; they from the Heavens depart: I look—the sky is empty space;
I know not what I trace;
But when I cease to look, my hand is on my heart. 20

O! what a weight is in these shades! Ye leaves,
When will that dying murmur be suppress’d?
Your sound my heart of peace bereaves,
It robs my heart of rest.
Thou Thrush, that singest loud and loud and free,
Into yon row of willows flit,
Upon that alder sit;
Or sing another song, or chuse another tree.

Roll back, sweet rill! back to thy mountain bounds,
And there for ever be thy waters chain’d! 30
For thou dost haunt the air with sounds
That cannot be sustain’d;
If still beneath that pine-tree’s ragged bough
Headlong yon waterfall must come,
Oh let it then be dumb! —
Be any thing, sweet rill, but that which thou art now.

Thou Eglantine whose arch so proudly towers
(Even like a rainbow spanning half the vale)
Thou one fair shrub, oh! shed thy flowers,
And stir not in the gale. 40
For thus to see thee nodding in the air,
To see thy arch thus stretch and bend,
Thus rise and thus descend,
Disturbs me, till the sight is more than I can bear.

The man who makes this feverish complaint
Is one of giant stature, who could dance
Equipp’d from head to foot in iron mail.
Ah gentle Love! if ever thought was thine
To store up kindred hours for me, thy face
Turn from me, gentle Love, nor let me walk 50
Within the sound of Emma’s voice, or know
Such happiness as I have known to-day.

Poor Susan

At the corner of Wood-Street, when day-light appears,
There’s a Thrush that sings loud, it has sung for three years:
Poor Susan has pass’d by the spot and has heard
In the silence of morning the song of the bird.

’Tis a note of enchantment; what ails her? She sees
A mountain ascending, a vision of trees;
Bright volumes of vapour through Lothbury glide,
And a river flows on through the vale of Cheapside.

Green pastures she views in the midst of the dale,
Down which she so often has tripp’d with her pail,
And a single small cottage, a nest like a dove’s,
The only one dwelling on earth that she loves.

She looks, and her heart is in Heaven, but they fade,
The mist and the river, the hill and the shade;
The stream will not flow, and the hill will not rise,
And the colours have all pass’d away from her eyes.

Poor Outcast! return—to receive thee once more
The house of thy Father will open its door,
And thou once again, in thy plain russet gown,
May’st hear the thrush sing from a tree of its own.

_Inscription_

_For the Spot where the HERMITAGE stood on St. Herbert's Island, Derwent-Water_

If thou in the dear love of some one friend
Hast been so happy, that thou know’st what thoughts
Will, sometimes, in the happiness of love
Make the heart sink, then wilt thou reverence
This quiet spot. —St. Herbert hither came
And here, for many seasons, from the world
Remov’d, and the affections of the world
He dwelt in solitude. He living here,
This island’s sole inhabitant! had left
A Fellow-labourer, whom the good Man lov’d
As his own soul; and when within his cave
Alone he knelt before the crucifix
While o’er the lake the cataract of Lodore
Peal’d to his orisons, and when he pac’d
Along the beach of this small isle and thought
Of his Companion, he had pray’d that both
Might die in the same moment. Nor in vain
So pray’d he:—as our Chronicles report,
Though here the Hermit number’d his last days,
Far from St. Cuthbert his beloved friend,
Those holy men both died in the same hour.

Inscription

For the House (an Outhouse) on the Island at Grasmere

Rude is this Edifice, and Thou hast seen
Buildings, albeit rude, that have maintain’d
Proportions more harmonious, and approach’d
To somewhat of a closer fellowship
With the ideal grace. Yet as it is
Do take it in good part; for he, the poor
Vitruvius of our village, had no help
From the great city; never on the leaves
Of red Morocco folio saw displayed
The skeletons and pre-existing ghosts
Of Beauties yet unborn, the rustic Box,
Snug Cot, with Coach-house, Shed and Hermitage.

4. sink,] sick, [1802].
8–10. He dwelt in solitude. —But he had left
A Fellow-labourer, whom the good Man loved [1802].
16. had pray’d] would pray [1802].
It is a homely pile, yet to these walls
The heifer comes in the snow-storm, and here
The new-dropp’d lamb finds shelter from the wind.
And hither does one Poet sometimes row
His pinnace, a small vagrant barge, up-piled
With plenteous store of heath and withered fern,
A lading which he with his sickle cuts
Among the mountains, and beneath this roof
He makes his summer couch, and here at noon
Spreads out his limbs, while, yet unshorn, the sheep
Panting beneath the burthen of their wool
Lie round him, even as if they were a part
Of his own household: nor, while from his bed
He through that door-place looks toward the lake
And to the stirring breezes, does he want
Creations lovely as the work of sleep,
Fair sights, and visions of romantic joy.

To a Sexton

Let thy wheel-barrow alone.
Wherefore, Sexton, piling still
In thy bone-house bone on bone?
Tis already like a hill
In a field of battle made,
Where three thousand skulls are laid.
—These died in peace each with the other,
Father, Sister, Friend, and Brother.

Mark the spot to which I point!
From this platform eight feet square
Take not even a finger-joint:

22. unshorn] unborn [1800: amend, second errat.].
Andrew’s whole fire-side is there.
Here, alone, before thine eyes,
Simon’s sickly Daughter lies
From weakness, now, and pain defended,
Whom he twenty winters tended.

Look but at the gardener’s pride,
How he glories, when he sees
Roses, lilies, side by side,
Violets in families. 20
By the heart of Man, his tears,
By his hopes and by his fears,
Thou, old Grey-beard! art the Warden
Of a far superior garden.

Thus then, each to other dear,
Let them all in quiet lie,
Andrew there and Susan here,
Neighbours in mortality.
And should I live through sun and rain
Seven widow’d years without my Jane, 30
O Sexton, do not then remove her,
Let one grave hold the Lov’d and Lover!

Andrew Jones

I hate that Andrew Jones: he’ll breed
His children up to waste and pillage.
I wish the press-gang or the drum
With its tantara sound would come,
And sweep him from the village!

I said not this, because he loves
Through the long day to swear and tipple;
But for the poor dear sake of one
To whom a foul deed he had done,
A friendless Man, a travelling Cripple!

For this poor crawling helpless wretch
Some Horseman who was passing by,
A penny on the ground had thrown;
But the poor Cripple was alone
And could not stoop—no help was nigh.

Inch-thick the dust lay on the ground
For it had long been droughty weather:
So with his staff the Cripple wrought
Among the dust till he had brought
The halfpennies together.

It chanc’d that Andrew pass’d that way
Just at the time; and there he found
The Cripple in the mid-day heat
Standing alone, and at his feet
He saw the penny on the ground.

He stooped and took the penny up:
And when the Cripple nearer drew,
Quoth Andrew, “Under half-a-crown,
What a man finds is all his own,
And so, my Friend, good day to you.”

And hence I said, that Andrew’s boys
Will all be train’d to waste and pillage;
And wish’d the press-gang, or the drum
With its tantara sound, would come
And sweep him from the village!

26. stooped] stopp’d [1800 amend, second errat.].
The Two Thieves, or The last Stage of Avarice

Oh now that the genius of Bewick were mine
And the skill which He learn’d on the Banks of the Tyne;
When the Muses might deal with me just as they chose
For I’d take my last leave both of verse and of prose.

What feats would I work with my magical hand!
Book-learning and books should be banish’d the land
And for hunger and thirst and such troublesome calls
Every ale-house should then have a feast on its walls.

The Traveller would hang his wet clothes on a chair
Let them smoke, let them burn, not a straw would he care,
For the Prodigal Son, Joseph’s Dream and his Sheaves,
Oh what would they be to my tale of two Thieves!

Little Dan is unbreech’d, he is three birth-days old,
His Grandsire that age more than thirty times old,
There are ninety good seasons of fair and foul weather
Between them, and both go a stealing together.

With chips is the Carpenter strewing his floor?
Is a cart-load of peats at an old Woman’s door?
Old Daniel his hand to the treasure will slide,
And his Grandson’s as busy at work by his side.

Old Daniel begins, he stops short and his eye
Through the lost look of dotage is cunning and sly.
’Tis a look which at this time is hardly his own,
But tells a plain tale of the days that are flown.

Dan once had a heart which was mov’d by the wires
Of manifold pleasures and many desires:
And what if he cherish’d his purse? ’Twas no more

15. There are] There’s [1800 amend, second errat.].
Than treading a path trod by thousands before.

’Twas a path trod by thousands, but Daniel is one
Who went something farther than others have gone;
And now with old Daniel you see how it fares
You see to what end he has brought his grey hairs.

The pair sally forth hand in hand; ere the sun
Has peer’d o’er the beeches their work is begun:
And yet into whatever sin they may fall,
This Child but half knows it and that not at all.

They hunt through the street with deliberate tread,
And each in his turn is both leader and led;
And wherever they carry their plots and their wiles,
Every face in the village is dimpled with smiles.

Neither check’d by the rich nor the needy they roam,
For grey-headed Dan has a daughter at home;
Who will gladly repair all the damage that’s done,
And three, were it ask’d, would be render’d for one.

Old Man! whom so oft I with pity have ey’d,
I love thee and love the sweet boy at thy side;
Long yet may’st thou live, for a teacher we see
That lifts up the veil of our nature in thee.

A whirl-blast from behind the hill
Rush’d o’er the wood with startling sound:
Then all at once the air was still,
And showers of hail-stones patter’d round.
Where leafless Oaks tower’d high above,
I sate within an undergrove
Of tallest hollies, tall and green,

22. lost] last [1805].
30. farther] further [1805].
A fairer bower was never seen.
From year to year the spacious floor
With wither’d leaves is cover’d o’er,
You could not lay a hair between:
And all the year the bower is green.
But see! where’er the hailstones drop
The wither’d leaves all skip and hop,
There’s not a breeze—no breath of air—
Yet here, and there, and every where
Along the floor, beneath the shade
By those embowering hollies made,
The leaves in myriads jump and spring,
As if with pipes and music rare
Some Robin Good-fellow were there,
And all those leaves, that jump and spring,
Were each a joyous, living thing.

Oh! grant me Heaven a heart at ease
That I may never cease to find,
Even in appearances like these
Enough to nourish and to stir my mind!

Song

For the Wandering Jew

Though the torrents from their fountains
Roar down many a craggy steep,
Yet they find among the mountains
Resting-places calm and deep.

Though almost with eagle pinion
O’er the rocks the Chamois roam,
Yet he has some small dominion
Which no doubt he calls his home.
If on windy days the Raven  
Gambol like a dancing skiff,  
Not the less he loves his haven  
On the bosom of the cliff.

Though the Sea-horse in the ocean  
Own no dear domestic cave;  
Yet he slumbers without motion  
On the calm and silent wave.

Day and night my toils redouble!  
Never nearer to the goal,  
Night and day, I feel the trouble,  
Of the Wanderer in my soul.

__Ruth__

When Ruth was left half desolate,  
Her Father took another Mate;  
And so, not seven years old,  
The slighted Child at her own will  
Went wandering over dale and hill  
In thoughtless freedom bold.

And she had made a pipe of straw  
And from that oaten pipe could draw  
All sounds of winds and floods;  
Had built a bower upon the green,  
As if she from her birth had been  
An Infant of the woods.

There came a Youth from Georgia’s shore,  
A military Casque he wore  
With splendid feathers drest;  
He brought them from the Cherokees;
The feathers nodded in the breeze
And made a gallant crest.

From Indian blood you deem him sprung:
Ah no! he spake the English tongue
And bare a Soldier’s name;
And when America was free
From battle and from jeopardy
He cross the ocean came.

With hues of genius on his cheek
In finest tones the Youth could speak.
—While he was yet a Boy
The moon, the glory of the sun,
And streams that murmur as they run
Had been his dearest joy.

He was a lovely Youth! I guess
The panther in the wilderness
Was not so fair as he;
And when he chose to sport and play,
No dolphin ever was so gay
Upon the tropic sea.

Among the Indians he had fought,
And with him many tales he brought
Of pleasure and of fear,
Such tales as told to any Maid

3–4. And Ruth, not seven years old,
A slighted Child, at her own will [1802].
12–13. Beneath her Father’s roof, alone
She seem’d to live; her thoughts her own;
Herself her own delight:
Pleas’d with herself, nor sad nor gay,
She pass’d her time; and in this way
Grew up to Woman’s height. [1802].
21. bare] bore [1805].
By such a Youth in the green shade
Were perilous to hear.

He told of Girls, a happy rout,
Who quit their fold with dance and shout
Their pleasant Indian Town
To gather strawberries all day long,
Returning with a choral song
When day-light is gone down.

He spake of plants divine and strange
That ev’ry day their blossoms change,
Ten thousand lovely hues!
With budding, fading, faded flowers
They stand the wonder of the bowers
From morn to evening dews.

He told of the Magnolia,* spread
High as a cloud, high over head!
The Cypress and her spire,
Of † flowers that with one scarlet gleam
Cover a hundred leagues and seem
To set the hills on fire.  60

---

50. ev’ry day] every hour [1802].
* Magnolia grandiflora.
† The splendid appearance of these scarlet flowers, which are scattered with such profusion over the Hills in the Southern parts of North America is frequently mentioned by Bartram in his Travels.

54–55. In 1802 7 stanzas were inserted:
(1)
Of march and ambush, siege and fight,
Then did he tell; and with delight
The heart of Ruth would ache;
Wild histories they were, and dear:
But ’twas a thing of heaven to hear
When of himself he spake!
The Youth of green Savannahs spake,
And many an endless endless lake
With all its fairy crowds
Of islands that together lie
As quietly as spots of sky
Among the evening clouds:

And then he said “How sweet it were
A fisher or a hunter there,
A gardener in the shade,

(2)
Sometimes most earnestly he said;
“O Ruth! I have been worse than dead:
False thoughts, thoughts bold and vain,
Encompassed me on every side
When I, in thoughtlessness and pride,
(in confidence and pride [1805])
Had cross’d the Atlantic Main.

(3)
“Whatever in those Climes I found
Irregular in sight or sound
Did to my mind impart
A kindred impulse, seem’d allied
To my own powers, and justified
The workings of my heart.

(4)
Nor less to feed unhallow’d thought
The beauteous forms of nature wrought,
Fair trees and lovely flowers;
The breezes their own languor lent;
The stars had feelings which they sent
Into those magic bowers.

(5)
Yet, in my worst pursuits, I ween,
That often there did intervene
Pure hopes of high intent;
My passions, amid forms so fair
And stately, wanted not their share
Of noble sentiment.
Still wandering with an easy mind
To build a household fire and find
A home in every glade.

What days and what sweet years! Ah me!
Our life were life indeed, with thee
So pass'd in quiet bliss,
And all the while” said he “to know
That we were in a world of woe,
On such an earth as this!

(6)
So was it then, and so is now
For, Ruth! with thee I know not how
I feel my spirit burn
Even as the east when day comes forth;
And to the west, and south, and north,
The morning doth return.

(7)
It is a purer, better mind:
O Maiden innocent and kind,
What sights I might have seen!”
Even now upon my eyes they break!
—And he again began to speak
Of Lands where he had been.

In 1805 only the first 2 (1) and (2) and the last (7) of these additional stanzas were retained here. Following stanzas (1) and (2) and inserted before stanza (7) were the following 2 stanzas:

“It was a fresh and glorious world,
A banner bright that was unfurled
Before me suddenly:
I looked upon those hills and plains,
And seemed as if let loose from chains
To live at liberty.

“But wherefore speak of this? for now,
Sweet Ruth! with thee, I know not how,
I feel my spirit burn—
Even as the east when day comes forth;
And to the west, and south, and north,
The morning doth return.
And then he sometimes interwove
Dear thoughts about a Father's love,
“For there,” said he, “are spun
Around the heart such tender ties
That our own children to our eyes
Are dearer than the sun.

Sweet Ruth! and could you go with me
My helpmate in the woods to be,
Our shed at night to rear;
Or run, my own adopted bride,
A sylvan huntress at my side
And drive the flying deer!

Beloved Ruth!” No more he said
Sweet Ruth alone at midnight shed
A solitary tear,
She thought again—and did agree
With him to sail across the sea,
And drive the flying deer.

“And now, as fitting is and right,
We in the Church our faith will plight,
A Husband and a Wife.”
Even so they did; and I may say
That to sweet Ruth that happy day
Was more than human life.

Through dream and vision did she sink,
Delighted all the while to think
That on those lonesome floods
And green Savannahs she should share
His board with lawful joy, and bear
His name in the wild woods.

But, as you have before been told,
This Stripling, sportive gay and bold,
And, with his dancing crest,
So beautiful, through savage lands
Had roam’d about with vagrant bands
Of Indians in the West.

The wind, the tempest roaring high,
The tumult of a tropic sky
Might well be dangerous food
For him, a Youth to whom was given
So much of earth so much of Heaven,
And such impetuous blood.  120

Whatever in those climes he found
Irregular in sight or sound
Did to his mind impart
A kindred impulse, seem’d allied
To his own powers, and justified
The workings of his heart.

Nor less to feed voluptuous thought
The beauteous forms of Nature wrought,
Fair trees and lovely flowers;
The breezes their own languor lent,  130
The stars had feelings which they sent
Into those magic bowers.

Yet, in his worst pursuits, I ween,
That sometimes there did intervene
Pure hopes of high intent:
For passions link’d to forms so fair
And stately, needs must have their share
Of noble sentiment.

But ill he liv’d, much evil saw
With men to whom no better law  140
Nor better life was known;
Deliberately and undeceiv’d
Those wild men’s vices he received,
And gave them back his own.
His genius and his moral frame
Were thus impaired, and he became
The slave of low desires;
A man who without self-controll
Would seek what the degraded soul
Unworthily admires. 150

And yet he with no feign’d delight
Had woo’d the Maiden, day and night
Had lov’d her, night and morn;
What could he less than love a Maid
Whose heart with so much nature play’d
So kind and so forlorn?

But now the pleasant dream was gone,
No hope, no wish remain’d, not one,
They stirr’d him now no more,
New objects did new pleasure give, 160
And once again he wish’d to live
As lawless as before.

Meanwhile as thus with him it fared,
They for the voyage were prepared
And went to the sea-shore,
But, when they thither came, the Youth
Deserted his poor Bride, and Ruth
Could never find him more.

“God help thee Ruth!”—Such pains she had
That she in half a year was mad 170
And in a prison hous’d,
And there, exulting in her wrongs,
Among the music of her songs
She fearfully carouz’d.
Yet sometimes milder hours she knew,
Nor wanted sun, nor rain, nor dew,
Nor pastimes of the May,
They all were with her in her cell,
And a wild brook with cheerful knell
Did o’er the pebbles play. 180

When Ruth three seasons thus had lain
There came a respite to her pain,
She from her prison fled;
But of the Vagrant none took thought,
And where it liked her best she sought
Her shelter and her bread.

Among the fields she breath’d again:
The master-current of her brain
Ran permanent and free,
And to the pleasant Banks of Tone* 190
She took her way, to dwell alone
Under the greenwood tree.

The engines of her grief, the tools
That shap’d her sorrow, rocks and pools,
And airs that gently stir
The vernal leaves, she loved them still,
Nor ever tax’d them with the ill
Which had been done to her.

A Barn her winter bed supplies,
But till the warmth of summer skies 200
And summer days is gone,
(And in this tale we all agree)
She sleeps beneath the greenwood tree,
And other home hath none.

If she is press’d by want of food
She from her dwelling in the wood
Repairs to a road side,
And there she begs at one steep place,
Where up and down with easy pace
The horsemen-travellers ride.  210

That oaten pipe of hers is mute
Or thrown away, but with a flute
Her loneliness she cheers;
This flute made of a hemlock stalk
At evening in his homeward walk
The Quantock Woodman hears.

I, too, have pass’d her on the hills
Setting her little water-mills
By spouts and fountains wild,
Such small machinery as she turn’d 220
Ere she had wept, ere she had mourn’d
A young and happy Child!

Farewell! and when thy days are told

* The Tone is a River of Somersetshire at no great distance from the Quantock Hills. These Hills, which are alluded to a few stanzas below, are extremely beautiful, and in most places richly covered with Coppice woods.

190–191. And, coming to the banks of Tone, There did she rest; and dwell alone [1802].
193. grief] pain [1802].
202. (And all do in this tale agree) [1805].
204–205. In 1802 the following stanza inserted; The neighbours grieve for her, and say 1 That she will, long before her day, Be broken down and old. Sore aches she needs must have! but less Of mind, than body’s wretchedness, 5 From damp, and rain, and cold. (1–2) The neighbours...her day] An innocent life, yet far astray! And Ruth will, long before her day, [1805].
Ill-fated Ruth! in hallow’d mold
Thy corpse shall buried be,
For thee a funeral bell shall ring,
And all the congregation sing
A Christian psalm for thee.

Lines

Written with a Slate-pencil upon a Stone, the largest of a heap lying near a deserted Quarry, upon one of the Islands at Rydale

Stranger! this hillock of misshapen stones
Is not a ruin of the ancient time,
Nor, as perchance thou rashly deem’st, the Cairn
Of some old British Chief: ’tis nothing more
Than the rude embryo of a little dome
Or pleasure-house, which was to have been built
Among the birch-trees of this rocky isle.
But, as it chanc’d, Sir William having learn’d
That from the shore a full-grown man might wade,
And make himself a freeman of this spot
At any hour he chose, the Knight forthwith
Desisted, and the quarry and the mound
Are monuments of his unfinished task. —
The block on which these lines are trac’d, perhaps,
Was once selected as the corner-stone
Of the intended pile, which would have been
Some quaint odd play-thing of elaborate skill,
So that, I guess, the linnet and the thrush,
And other little builders who dwell here,
Had wonder’d at the work. But blame him not,

For old Sir William was a gentle Knight
Bred in this vale to which he appertained
With all his ancestry. Then peace to him
And for the outrage which he had devis’d
Entire forgiveness. —But if thou art one
On fire with thy impatience to become
An Inmate of these mountains, if disturb’d

By beautiful conceptions, thou hast hewn
Out of the quiet rock the elements
Of thy trim mansion destin’d soon to blaze
In snow-white splendour, think again, and taught
By old Sir William and his quarry, leave
Thy fragments to the bramble and the rose,
There let the vernal slow-worm sun himself,
And let the red-breast hop from stone to stone.

In the School of ——— is a tablet on which are inscribed, in gilt letters, the names of the several persons who have been School-masters there since the foundation of the School, with the time at which they entered upon and quitted their office. Opposite one of those names the Author wrote the following lines

If Nature, for a favorite Child
In thee hath temper’d so her clay,
That every hour thy heart runs wild
Yet never once doth go astray,

Read o’er these lines; and then review
This tablet, that thus humbly rears
In such diversity of hue
Its history of two hundred years.

—When through this little wreck of fame,
Cypher and syllable, thine eye
Has travelled down to Matthew’s name,
Pause with no common sympathy.

And if a sleeping tear should wake
Then be it neither check’d nor stay’d:

6. which was to have been built] once destin’d to be built [1802].
For Matthew a request I make
Which for himself he had not made. 20

Poor Matthew, all his frolics o’er,
Is silent as a standing pool,
Far from the chimney’s merry roar,
And murmur of the village school.

The sighs which Matthew heav’d were sighs
Of one tir’d out with fun and madness;
The tears which came to Matthew’s eyes
Were tears of light, the oil of gladness.

Yet sometimes when the secret cup
Of still and serious thought went round 30
It seem’d as if he drank it up,
He felt with spirit so profound.

—Thou soul of God’s best earthly mould,
Thou happy soul, and can it be
That these two words of glittering gold
Are all that must remain of thee?

_The Two April Mornings_

We walk’d along, while bright and red
Uprose the morning sun,
And Matthew stopp’d, he look’d, and said,
“The will of God be done!”

A village Schoolmaster was he,
With hair of glittering grey;
As blithe a man as you could see

---

31. _splendour_] glory [1802].
On a spring holiday.

And on that morning, through the grass,
And by the steaming rills,
We travell’d merrily to pass
A day among the hills.

“Our work,” said I, “was well begun;
Then, from thy breast what thought,
Beneath so beautiful a sun,
So sad a sigh has brought?

A second time did Matthew stop,
And fixing still his eye
Upon the eastern mountain-top
To me he made reply.

Yon cloud with that long purple cleft
Brings fresh into my mind
A day like this which I have left
Full thirty years behind.

And on that slope of springing corn
The self-same crimson hue
Fell from the sky that April morn,
The same which now I view!

With rod and line my silent sport
I plied by Derwent’s wave,
And, coming to the church, stopp’d short
Beside my Daughter’s grave.

Nine summers had she scarcely seen
The pride of all the vale;
And then she sang! —she would have been

36. of thee] to thee [1805].
A very nightingale.

Six feet in earth my Emma lay,  
And yet I lov’d her more,  
For so it seem’d, than till that day  
I e’er had lov’d before.  

And, turning from her grave, I met  
Beside the church-yard Yew  
A blooming Girl, whose hair was wet  
With points of morning dew.

A basket on her head she bare,  
Her brow was smooth and white,  
To see a Child so very fair,  
It was a pure delight!

No fountain from its rocky cave  
E’er tripp’d with foot so free,  
She seem’d as happy as a wave  
That dances on the sea.

There came from me a sigh of pain  
Which I could ill confine;  
I look’d at her and look’d again;  
—And did not wish her mine.

Matthew is in his grave, yet now  
Methinks I see him stand,  
As at that moment, with his bough  
Of wilding in his hand.

25–29. And just above yon slope of corn  
Such colours, and no other  
Were in the sky, that April morn,  
Of this the very brother. [1802].

35. sang! ] sung;—[1802].
The Fountain,

A CONVERSATION

We talk’d with open heart, and tongue
Affectionate and true,
A pair of Friends, though I was young,
And Matthew seventy-two.

We lay beneath a spreading oak,
Beside a mossy seat,
And from the turf a fountain broke,
And gurgled at our feet.

Now, Matthew, let us try to match
This water’s pleasant tune 10
With some old Border-song, or catch
That suits a summer’s noon.

Or of the Church-clock and the chimes
Sing here beneath the shade,
That half-mad thing of witty rhymes
Which you last April made!

In silence Matthew lay, and eyed
The spring beneath the tree;
And thus the dear old Man replied,
The grey-hair’d Man of glee. 20

“Down to the vale this water steers,
How merrily it goes!
’Twill murmur on a thousand years,
And flow as now it flows.

And here, on this delightful day,
I cannot chuse but think

17. In silence] On silence [1800 amend, second errat.].
How oft, a vigorous Man, I lay
Beside this Fountain’s brink.

My eyes are dim with childish tears,
My heart is idly stirr’d, 30
For the same sound is in my ears,
Which in those days I heard.

Thus fares it still in our decay:
And yet the wiser mind
Mourns less for what age takes away
Than what it leaves behind.

The blackbird in the summer trees,
The lark upon the hill,
Let loose their carols when they please,
Are quiet when they will. 40

With Nature never do they wage
A foolish strife; they see
A happy youth, and their old age
Is beautiful and free:

But we are press’d by heavy laws,
And often, glad no more,
We wear a face of joy, because
We have been glad of yore.

If there is one who need bemoan
His kindred laid in earth, 50
The household hearts that were his own,
It is the man of mirth.

My days, my Friend, are almost gone,
My life has been approv’d,
And many love me, but by none
Am I enough belov’d.”
“Now both himself and me he wrongs,
The man who thus complains!
I live and sing my idle songs
Upon these happy plains, 60

And, Matthew, for thy Children dead
I’ll be a son to thee!”
At this he grasp’d his hands, and said,
“Alas! that cannot be.”

We rose up from the fountain-side,
And down the smooth descent
Of the green sheep-track did we glide,
And through the wood we went,

And, ere we came to Leonard’s Rock,
He sang those witty rhymes 70
About the crazy old church-clock
And the bewilder’d chimes.

\textit{Nutting}

(\textit{I speak of one from many singled out})
One of those heavenly days which cannot die,
When forth I sallied from our cottage-door,*
And with a wallet o’er my shoulder slung,
A nutting crook in hand, I turn’d my steps
Towards the distant woods, a Figure quaint,
Trick’d out in proud disguise of Beggar’s weeds
Put on for the occasion, by advice
And exhortation of my frugal Dame. 10
Motley accoutrement! of power to smile
At thorns, and brakes, and brambles, and, in truth,
More ragged than need was. Among the woods,
And o’er the pathless rocks, I forc’d my way
Until, at length, I came to one dear nook
Unvisited, where not a broken bough
Droop’d with its withered leaves, ungracious sign
Of devastation, but the hazels rose
Tall and erect, with milk-white clusters hung,
A virgin scene! —A little while I stood,
Breathing with such suppression of the heart
As joy delights in; and with wise restraint
Voluptuous, fearless of a rival, eyed
The banquet, or beneath the trees I sate
Among the flowers, and with the flowers I play’d;
A temper known to those, who, after long
And weary expectation, have been bless’d
With sudden happiness beyond all hope. —
—Perhaps it was a bower beneath whose leaves
The violets of five seasons re-appear
And fade, unseen by any human eye,
Where fairy water-breaks do murmur on
For ever, and I saw the sparkling foam,
And with my cheek on one of those green stones
That, fleec’d with moss, beneath the shady trees,
Lay round me scattered like a flock of sheep,
I heard the murmur and the murmuring sound,
In that sweet mood when pleasure loves to pay
Tribute to ease, and, of its joy secure
The heart luxuriates with indifferent things,
Wasting its kindliness on stocks and stones,
And on the vacant air. Then up I rose,
And dragg’d to earth both branch and bough, with crash

* The house at which I was boarded during the time I was at School.
2. Added second errat. 1800.
11. accoutrement! ] accoutrements! [1800 amend, second errat.].
And merciless ravage; and the shady nook
Of hazels, and the green and mossy bower
Deform’d and sullied, patiently gave up
Their quiet being: and unless I now
Confound my present feelings with the past,
Even then, when from the bower I turn’d away,
Exulting, rich beyond the wealth of kings
I felt a sense of pain when I beheld
The silent trees and the intruding sky. —

Then, dearest Maiden! move along these shades
In gentleness of heart with gentle hand
Touch,—for there is a Spirit in the woods.

Three years she grew in sun and shower,
Then Nature said, “A lovelier flower
On earth was never sown;
This Child I to myself will take,
She shall be mine, and I will make
A Lady of my own.

Myself will to my darling be
Both law and impulse, and with me
The Girl in rock and plain,
In earth and heaven, in glade and bower,
Shall feel an overseeing power
To kindle or restrain.

She shall be sportive as the fawn
That wild with glee across the lawn
Or up the mountain springs,
And hers shall be the breathing balm,
And hers the silence and the calm
Of mute insensate things.

The floating clouds their state shall lend
To her, for her the willow bend,
Nor shall she fail to see
Even in the motions of the storm
Grace that shall mould the Maiden’s form
By silent sympathy.

The stars of midnight shall be dear
To her, and she shall lean her ear
In many a secret place
Where rivulets dance their wayward round,
And beauty born of murmuring sound
Shall pass into her face. 30

And vital feelings of delight
Shall rear her form to stately height,
Her virgin bosom swell,
Such thoughts to Lucy I will give
While she and I together live
Here in this happy dell.

Thus Nature spake—The work was done—
How soon my Lucy’s race was run!
She died and left to me
This heath, this calm and quiet scene, 40
The memory of what has been,
And never more will be.

The Pet-Lamb,
A PASTORAL

The dew was falling fast, the stars began to blink;
I heard a voice, it said, Drink, pretty Creature, drink!
And, looking o’er the hedge, before me I espied,

7–8. Her Teacher I myself will be,
She is my darling; and with me [1802 only].
A snow-white mountain Lamb with a Maiden at its side.

No other sheep were near, the Lamb was all alone,
And by a slender cord was tether’d to a stone;
With one knee on the grass did the little Maiden kneel,
While to that Mountain Lamb she gave its evening meal.

The Lamb while from her hand he thus his supper took
Seem’d to feast with head and ears, and his tail with
pleasure shook. 10

“Drink, pretty Creature, drink,” she said in such a tone
That I almost receiv’d her heart into my own.

’Twas little Barbara Lewthwaite, a Child of beauty rare,
I watch’d them with delight, they were a lovely pair.
Now with her empty Can the Maiden turn’d away,
But ere ten yards were gone her footsteps did she stay.

Towards the Lamb she look’d, and from that shady place
I unobserv’d could sec the workings of her face:
If Nature to her tongue could measur’d numbers bring
Thus, thought I, to her Lamb that little Maid might sing. 20

“What ails thee, Young One? What? Why pull so at thy
cord?
Is it not well with thee? Well both for bed and board?
Thy plot of grass is soft, and green as grass can be,
Rest little Young One, rest; what is’t that aileth thee?

What is it thou would’st seek? What is wanting to thy
heart?
Thy limbs are they not strong? And beautiful thou art:

23. A beauty that shall mould her form [1800 amend, second errat.]
15. Now with her empty Can] And now with empty Can [1800 amend,
second errat.]
20. might] would [1800 amend, second errat.]
This grass is tender grass, these flowers they have no peers,  
And that green corn all day is rustling in thy ears.

If the Sun is shining hot, do but stretch thy woollen chain,  
This beech is standing by, its covert thou can’st gain,  
For rain and mountain storms the like thou need’st not fear,  
The rain and storm are things which scarcely can come here.

Rest, little Young One, rest; thou hast forgot the day  
When my Father found thee first in places far away:  
Many flocks are on the hills, but thou wert own’d by none,  
And thy Mother from thy side for evermore was gone.

He took thee in his arms, and in pity brought thee home,  
A blessed day for thee! then whither would’st thou roam?  
A faithful nurse thou hast, the dam that did thee yean  
Upon the mountain tops no kinder could have been.

Thou know’st that twice a day I have brought thee in this  
Can  
Fresh water from the brook as clear as ever ran;  
And twice in the day when the ground is wet with dew  
I bring thee draughts of milk, warm milk it is and new.

Thy limbs will shortly be twice as stout as they are now,  
Then I’ll yoke thee to my cart like a pony in the plough,  
My playmate thou shalt be, and when the wind is cold  
Our hearth shall be thy bed, our house shall be thy fold.

It will not, will not rest! —poor Creature can it be  
That ’tis thy Mother’s heart which is working so in thee?  
Things that I know not of belike to thee are dear,  
And dreams of things which thou can’st neither see nor hear.

29. is] be [1802].
Alas, the mountain tops that look so green and fair!
I’ve heard of fearful winds and darkness that come there,
The little brooks, that seem all pastime and all play,
When they are angry, roar like lions for their prey.

Here thou need’st not dread the raven in the sky,
He will not come to thee, our Cottage is hard by,
Night and day thou art safe as living thing can be,
Be happy then and rest, what is’t that aileth thee?

As homeward through the lane I went with lazy feet,
This song to myself did I oftentimes repeat,
And it seem’d as I retrac’d the ballad line by line
That but half of it was hers, and one half of it was mine.

Again, and once again did I repeat the song,
“Nay” said I, “more than half to the Damsel must belong,
For she look’d with such a look, and she spake with such a tone,
That I almost receiv’d her heart into my own.”

Written in Germany, on one of the coldest days of the Century

I must apprize the Reader that the stoves in North Germany generally have the impression of a galloping Horse upon them, this being part of the Brunswick Arms.

A fig for your languages, German and Norse,
Let me have the song of the Kettle,
And the tongs and the poker, instead of that horse

58–60. Night and day thou art safe, —our Cottage is hard by.
Why bleat so after me? Why pull so at thy chain?
Sleep—and at break of day I will come to thee again!

[1802]
That gallops away with such fury and force
On this dreary dull plate of black metal.

Our earth is no doubt made of excellent stuff,
But her pulses beat slower and slower,
The weather in Forty was cutting and rough,
And then, as Heaven knows, the glass stood low enough,
And now it is four degrees lower. 10

Here’s a Fly, a disconsolate creature, perhaps
A child of the field, or the grove,
And sorrow for him! this dull treacherous heat
Has seduced the poor fool from his winter retreat,
And he creeps to the edge of my stove.

Alas! how he fumbles about the domains
Which this comfortless oven environ,
He cannot find out in what track he must crawl,
Now back to the tiles, and now back to the wall,
And now on the brink of the iron. 20

Stock-still there he stands like a traveller bemazed,
The best of his skill he has tried;
His feelers methinks I can see him put forth
To the East and the West, and the South and the North,
But he finds neither guide-post nor guide.

See! his spindles sink under him, foot, leg and thigh,
His eyesight and hearing are lost,
Between life and death his blood freezes and thaws,
And his two pretty pinions of blue dusky gauze
Are glued to his sides by the frost. 30

No Brother, no Friend has he near him, while I
Can draw warmth from the cheek of my Love,
As blest and as glad in this desolate gloom,
As if green summer grass were the floor of my room,
And woodbines were hanging above.
Yet, God is my witness, thou small helpless Thing,
Thy life I would gladly sustain
Till summer comes up from the South, and with crowds
Of thy brethren a march thou should’st sound through the
clouds,
And back to the forests again. 40

The Childless Father

Up, Timothy, up with your Staff and away!
Not a soul in the village this morning will stay;
The Hare has just started from Hamilton’s grounds,
And Skiddaw is glad with the cry of the hounds.

—Of coats and of jackets grey, scarlet, and green,
On the slopes of the pastures all colours were seen,
With their comely blue aprons and caps white as snow,
The girls on the hills made a holiday show.

The bason of box-wood,* just six months before,
Had stood on the table at Timothy’s door, 10
A Coffin through Timothy’s threshold had pass’d,
One Child did it bear and that Child was his last.

Now fast up the dell came the noise and the fray,
The horse and the horn, and the hark! hark away!
Old Timothy took up his Staff, and he shut
With a leisurely motion the door of his hut.

* In several parts of the North of England, when a funeral takes place,
a bason full of Sprigs of Box-wood is placed at the door of the house
from which the Coffin is taken up, and each person who attends the
funeral ordinarily takes a Sprig of this Box-wood, and throws it into
the grave of the deceased.

5. grey, scarlet, and green] both grey, red and green [1800 amend,
errat.].
Perhaps to himself at that moment he said,
“The key I must take, for my Ellen is dead”
But of this in my ears not a word did he speak,
And he went to the chase with a tear on his cheek.  

The Old Cumberland Beggar,

A DESCRIPTION

The class of Beggars to which the old man here described belongs, will probably soon be extinct. It consisted of poor, and, mostly, old and infirm persons, who confined themselves to a stated round in their neighbourhood, and had certain fixed days, on which, at different houses, they regularly received charity; sometimes in money, but mostly in provisions.

I saw an aged Beggar in my walk,
And he was seated by the highway side
On a low structure of rude masonry
Built at the foot of a huge hill, that they
Who lead their horses down the steep rough road
May thence remount at ease. The aged man
Had placed his staff across the broad smooth stone
That overlays the pile, and from a bag
All white with flour, the dole of village dames,
He drew his scraps and fragments, one by one,
And scanned them with a fix’d and serious look
Of idle computation. In the sun,
Upon the second step of that small pile,
Surrounded by those wild unpeopled hills,
He sate, and eat his food in solitude;
And ever, scatter’d from his palsied hand,
That still attempting to prevent the waste,
Was baffled still, the crumbs in little showers
Fell on the ground, and the small mountain birds,
Not venturing yet to peck their destin’d meal,
Approached within the length of half his staff.

Him from my childhood have I known, and then
He was so old, he seems not older now;
He travels on, a solitary man,
So helpless in appearance, that for him
The sauntering horseman-traveller does not throw
With careless hand his alms upon the ground,
But stops, that he may safely lodge the coin
Within the old Man's hat; nor quits him so,
But still when he has given his horse the rein
Towards the aged Beggar turns a look,
Sidelong and half-reverted. She who tends
The toll-gate, when in summer at her door
She turns her wheel, if on the road she sees
The aged Beggar coming, quits her work,
And lifts the latch for him that he may pass.
The Post-boy when his rattling wheels o'ertake
The aged Beggar, in the woody lane,
Shouts to him from behind, and, if perchance
The old Man does not change his course, the Boy
Turns with less noisy wheels to the road-side,
And passes gently by, without a curse
Upon his lips, or anger at his heart.
He travels on, a solitary Man,
His age has no companion. On the ground
His eyes are turn'd, and, as he moves along,
\textit{They} move along the ground; and evermore,
Instead of common and habitual sight
Of fields with rural works, of hill and dale.
And the blue sky, one little span of earth
Is all his prospect. Thus, from day to day,
Bowbent, his eyes for ever on the ground,
He plies his weary journey, seeing still,
And never knowing that he sees, some straw,

15. He sat, and ate his food in solitude [1805].
Some scattered leaf, or marks which, in one track,
The nails of cart or chariot wheel have left
Impressed on the white road, in the same line,
At distance still the same. Poor Traveller!
His staff trails with him, scarcely do his feet
Disturb the summer dust, he is so still
In look and motion that the cottage curs,
Ere he have pass’d the door, will turn away
Weary of barking at him. Boys and girls,
The vacant and the busy, maids and youths,
And urchins newly breech’d all pass him by:
Him even the slow-pac’d waggon leaves behind.

But deem not this man useless. —Statesmen! ye
Who are so restless in your wisdom, ye
Who have a broom still ready in your hands
To rid the world of nuisances; ye proud,
Heart-swoln, while in your pride ye contemplate
Your talents, power, and wisdom, deem him not
A burthen of the earth. 'Tis Nature’s law
That none, the meanest of created things,
Of forms created the most vile and brute,
The dullest or most noxious, should exist
Divorced from good, a spirit and pulse of good,
A life and soul to every mode of being
Inseparably link’d. While thus he creeps
From door to door, the Villagers in him
Behold a record which together binds
Past deeds and offices of charity
Else unremember’d, and so keeps alive
The kindly mood in hearts which lapse of years,
And that half-wisdom half-experience gives
Make slow to feel, and by sure steps resign
To selfishness and cold oblivious cares.
Among the farms and solitary huts
Hamlets, and thinly-scattered villages,
Where’er the aged Beggar takes his rounds,
The mild necessity of use compels
To acts of love; and habit does the work
Of reason, yet prepares that after joy
Which reason cherishes. And thus the soul,
By that sweet taste of pleasure unpursu’d
Doth find itself insensibly dispos’d
To virtue and true goodness. Some there are,
By their good works exalted, lofty minds
And meditative, authors of delight
And happiness, which to the end of time 100
Will live, and spread, and kindle; minds like these,
In childhood, from this solitary being,
This helpless wanderer, have perchance receiv’d,
(A thing more precious far than all that books
Or the solicitudes of love can do!)
That first mild touch of sympathy and thought,
In which they found their kindred with a world
Where want and sorrow were. The easy man
Who sits at his own door, and like the pear
Which overhangs his head from the green wall, 110
Feeds in the sunshine; the robust and young,
The prosperous and unthinking, they who live
Sheltered, and flourish in a little grove
Of their own kindred, all behold in him
A silent monitor, which on their minds
Must needs impress a transitory thought
Of self-congratulation, to the heart
Of each recalling his peculiar boons,
His charters and exemptions; and perchance,
Though he to no one give the fortitude 120
And circumspection needful to preserve
His present blessings, and to husband up
The respite of the season, he, at least,
And ’tis no vulgar service, makes them felt.

Yet further. —Many, I believe, there are
Who live a life of virtuous decency,
Men who can hear the Decalogue and feel
No self-reproach, who of the moral law
Established in the land where they abide
Are strict observers, and not negligent,
Meanwhile, in any tenderness of heart
Or act of love to those with whom they dwell,
Their kindred, and the children of their blood.
Praise be to such, and to their slumbers peace!
—But of the poor man ask, the abject poor,
Go and demand of him, if there be here,
In this cold abstinence from evil deeds,
And these inevitable charities,
Wherewith to satisfy the human soul.
No—man is dear to man: the poorest poor
Long for some moments in a weary life
When they can know and feel that they have been
Themselves the fathers and the dealers out
Of some small blessings, have been kind to such
As needed kindness, for this single cause,
That we have all of us one human heart.
—Such pleasure is to one kind Being known
My Neighbour, when with punctual care, each week
Duly as Friday comes, though press’d herself
By her own wants, she from her chest of meal
Takes one unsparing handful for the scrip
Of this old Mendicant, and, from her door
Returning with exhilarated heart,
Sits by her fire and builds her hope in heav’n.

Then let him pass, a blessing on his head!
And while, in that vast solitude to which
The tide of things has led him, he appears
To breathe and live but for himself alone,
Unblam’d, uninjur’d, let him bear about
The good which the benignant law of heaven
Has hung around him, and, while life is his,
Still let him prompt the unlettered Villagers
To tender offices and pensive thoughts.
Then let him pass, a blessing on his head!
And, long as he can wander, let him breathe
The freshness of the vallies, let his blood
Struggle with frosty air and winter snows,
And let the charter’d wind that sweeps the heath
Beat his grey locks against his withered face.
Reverence the hope whose vital anxiousness
Gives the last human interest to his heart.
May never House, misnamed of industry,
Make him a captive; for that pent-up din,
Those life-consuming sounds that clog the air,
Be his the natural silence of old age.
Let him be free of mountain solitudes,
And have around him, whether heard or not,
The pleasant melody of woodland birds.
Few are his pleasures; if his eyes, which now
Have been so long familiar with the earth,
No more behold the horizontal sun
Rising or setting, let the light at least
Find a free entrance to their languid orbs.
And let him, where and when he will, sit down
Beneath the trees, or by the grassy bank
Of high-way side, and with the little birds
Share his chance-gather’d meal, and, finally,
As in the eye of Nature he has liv’d,
So in the eye of Nature let him die.

Rural Architecture

There’s George Fisher, Charles Fleming, and Reginald Shore,
Three rosy-cheek’d School-boys, the highest not more
Than the height of a Counsellor’s bag;
To the top of Great How* did it please them to climb,
And there they built up without mortar or lime
A Man on the peak of the crag.

They built him of stones gather’d up as they lay,
They built him and christen’d him all in one day,
An Urchin both vigorous and hale;  
And so without scruple they call’d him Ralph Jones.  
Now Ralph is renown’d for the length of his bones;  
The Magog of Legberthwaite dale.

Just half a week after the Wind sallied forth,  
And, in anger or merriment, out of the North  
Coming on with a terrible pother,  
From the peak of the crag blew the Giant away.  
And what did these School-boys? —The very next day  
They went and they built up another.

—Some little I’ve seen of blind boisterous works  
In Paris and London, ‘mong Christians or Turks,  
Spirits busy to do and undo:  
At remembrance whereof my blood sometimes will flag.  
—Then, light-hearted Boys, to the top of the Crag!  
And I’ll build up a Giant with you.

_A Poet’s Epitaph_

Art thou a Statesman, in the van  
Of public business train’d and bred,  
—First learn to love one living man;  
_Then_ may’st thou think upon the dead.

A Lawyer art thou? —draw not nigh;  
Go, carry to some other place  
The hardness of thy coward eye,  
The falsehood of thy sallow face.

Art thou a man of purple cheer?  
A rosy man, right plump to see?  

* Great How is a single and conspicuous hill, which rises towards the foot of Thirl-mere, on the western side of the beautiful dale of Legberthwaite, along the high road between Keswick and Ambleside.
Approach; yet Doctor, not too near:
This grave no cushion is for thee.

Art thou a man of gallant pride,
A Soldier, and no man of chaff?
Welcome! —but lay thy sword aside,
And lean upon a Peasant’s staff.

Physician art thou? One, all eyes,
Philosopher! a fingering slave,
One that would peep and botanize
Upon his mother’s grave?        20

Wrapp’d closely in thy sensual fleece
O turn aside, and take, I pray,
That he below may rest in peace,
Thy pin-point of a soul away!

—A Moralist perchance appears;
Led, Heaven knows how! to this poor sod:
And He has neither eyes nor ears;
Himself his world, and his own God;

One to whose smooth-rubb’d soul can cling
Nor form nor feeling great nor small,        30
A reasoning, self-sufficing thing,
An intellectual All in All!

Shut close the door! press down the latch:
Sleep in thy intellectual crust,
Nor lose ten tickings of thy watch,
Near this unprofitable dust.

But who is He with modest looks,
And clad in homely russet brown?

19–24. St. om. [1805].
He murmurs near the running brooks
A music sweeter than their own.        40

He is retired as noontide dew,
Or fountain in a noonday grove;
And you must love him, ere to you
He will seem worthy of your love.

The outward shews of sky and earth,
Of hill and valley he has view’d;
And impulses of deeper birth
Have come to him in solitude.

In common things that round us lie
Some random truths he can impart  50
The harvest of a quiet eye
That broods and sleeps on his own heart.

But he is weak, both man and boy,
Hath been an idler in the land;
Contented if he might enjoy
The things which others understand.

—Come hither in thy hour of strength,
Come, weak as is a breaking wave!
Here stretch thy body at full length;
Or build thy house upon this grave. — 60

A Character,
in the antithetical Manner

I marvel how Nature could ever find space
For the weight and the levity seen in his face:

There’s thought and no thought, and there’s paleness and bloom,
And bustle and sluggishness, pleasure and gloom.

There’s weakness, and strength both redundant and vain;
Such strength, as if ever affliction and pain
Could pierce through a temper that’s soft to disease,
Would be rational peace—a philosopher’s ease.

There’s indifference, alike when he fails and succeeds,
And attention full ten times as much as there needs, 10
Pride where there’s no envy, there’s so much of joy;
And mildness, and spirit both forward and coy.

There’s freedom, and sometimes a diffident stare
Of shame scarcely seeming to know that she’s there.
There’s virtue, the title it surely may claim,
Yet wants, heaven knows what, to be worthy the name.
What a picture! ’tis drawn without nature or art,
—Yet the Man would at once run away with your heart,
And I for five centuries right gladly would be
Such an odd, such a kind happy creature as he. 20

A Fragment

Between two sister moorland rills
There is a spot that seems to lie
Sacred to flowrets of the hills,
And sacred to the sky.
And in this smooth and open dell
There is a tempest-stricken tree;
A corner-stone by lightning cut.
The last stone of a cottage hut;
And in this dell you see
A thing no storm can e’er destroy, 10
The shadow of a Danish Boy.
In clouds above, the lark is heard,
He sings his blithest and his best;
But in this lonesome nook the bird
Did never build his nest.
No beast, no bird hath here his home;
The bees borne on the breezy air
Pass high above those fragrant bells
To other flowers, to other dells,
Nor ever linger there. 20
The Danish Boy walks here alone:
The lovely dell is all his own.

A spirit of noon day is he,
He seems a Form of flesh and blood;
A piping Shepherd he might be,
A Herd-boy of the wood.
A regal vest of fur he wears,
In colour like a raven’s wing;
It fears nor rain, nor wind, nor dew,
But in the storm ’tis fresh and blue 30
As budding pines in Spring;
His helmet has a vernal grace,
Fresh as the bloom upon his face.

A harp is from his shoulder slung;
He rests the harp upon his knee,
And there in a forgotten tongue
He warbles melody.
Of flocks and herds both far and near
He is the darling and the joy,
And often, when no cause appears, 40
The mountain ponies prick their ears,
They hear the Danish Boy,
While in the dell he sits alone
Beside the tree and corner-stone.

When near this blasted tree you pass,
Two sods are plainly to be seen.
Close at its root, and each with grass
Is cover’d fresh and green.
Like turf upon a new-made grave
These two green sods together lie,
Nor heat, nor cold, nor rain, nor wind
Can these two sods together bind,
Nor sun, nor earth, nor sky,
But side by side the two are laid,
As if just sever’d by the spade.

There sits he: in his face you spy
No trace of a ferocious air,
Nor ever was a cloudless sky
So steady or so fair.
The lovely Danish Boy is blest
And happy in his flowery cove;
From bloody deeds his thoughts are far;
And yet he warbles songs of war;
They seem like songs of love,
For calm and gentle is his mien;
Like a dead Boy he is serene.

***********

Poems on the Naming of Places
Advertisement

By Persons resident in the country and attached to rural objects, many places will be found unnamed or of unknown names, where little Incidents will have occurred, or feelings been experienced, which will have given to such places a private and peculiar interest. From a wish to give some sort of record to such Incidents or renew the gratification of such Feelings, Names have been given to Places by the
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Author and some of his Friends, and the following Poems written in consequence.

Poems on the Naming of Places

I

It was an April Morning: fresh and clear
The Rivulet, delighting in its strength,
Ran with a young man’s speed, and yet the voice
Of waters which the winter had supplied
Was soften’d down into a vernal tone.
The spirit of enjoyment and desire,
And hopes and wishes, from all living things
Went circling, like a multitude of sounds.
The budding groves appeared as if in haste
To spur the steps of June; as if their shades
Of various green were hindrances that stood
Between them and their object: yet, meanwhile,
There was such deep contentment in the air
That every naked ash, and tardy tree
Yet leafless, seem’d as though the countenance
With which it look’d on this delightful day
Were native to the summer. —Up the brook
I roam’d in the confusion of my heart,
Alive to all things and forgetting all.
At length I to a sudden turning came
In this continuous glen, where down a rock
The stream, so ardent in its course before,
Sent forth such sallies of glad sound, that all
Which I till then had heard, appear’d the voice
Of common pleasure: beast and bird, the lamb,
The Shepherd’s dog, the linnet and the thrush
Vied with this waterfall, and made a song
Which, while I listen’d, seem’d like the wild growth
Or like some natural produce of the air
That could not cease to be. Green leaves were here,
But ’twas the foliage of the rocks, the birch,
The yew, the holly, and the bright green thorn,
With hanging islands of resplendent furze:
And on a summit, distant a short space,
By any who should look beyond the dell,
A single mountain Cottage might be seen.
I gaz’éd and gaz’éd, and to myself I said,
“Our thoughts at least are ours; and this wild nook,
My EMMA, I will dedicate to thee.”
—Soon did the spot become my other home, My dwelling, and my out-of-doors abode.
And, of the Shepherds who have seen me there,
To whom I sometimes in our idle talk
Have told this fancy, two or three, perhaps,
Years after we are gone and in our graves,
When they have cause to speak of this wild place,
May call it by the name of EMMA’S DELL.

II

To Joanna

Amid the smoke of cities did you pass
Your time of early youth, and there you learn’éd,
From years of quiet industry, to love
The living Beings by your own fire-side,
With such a strong devotion, that your heart
Is slow towards the sympathies of them
Who look upon the hills with tenderness,
And make dear friendships with the streams and groves.
Yet we who are transgressors in this kind,
Dwelling retired in our simplicity
Among the woods and fields, we love you well,
Joanna! and I guess, since you have been
So distant from us now for two long years,
That you will gladly listen to discourse
However trivial, if you thence are taught
That they, with whom you once were happy, talk
Familiarly of you and of old times.
While I was seated, now some ten days past,
Beneath those lofty firs, that overtop
Their ancient neighbour, the old Steeple tower,

The Vicar from his gloomy house hard by
Game forth to greet me, and when he had ask’d,
“How fares Joanna, that wild-hearted Maid!
And when will she return to us?” he paus’d,

And after short exchange of village news,
He with grave looks demanded, for what cause,
Reviving obsolete Idolatry,
I like a Runic Priest, in characters
Of formidable size, had chisel’d out
Some uncouth name upon the native rock,

Above the Rotha, by the forest side.

—Now, by those dear immunities of heart
Engendered betwixt malice and true love,
I was not loth to be so catechiz’d,
And this was my reply. —“As it befel,
One summer morning we had walk’d abroad
At break of day, Joanna and myself.

—’Twas that delightful season, when the broom,
Full flower’d, and visible on every steep,
Along the copses runs in veins of gold.

Our pathway led us on to Rotha’s banks,
And when we came in front of that tall rock
Which looks towards the East, I there stopp’d short,
And trac’d the lofty barrier with my eye
From base to summit; such delight I found
To note in shrub and tree, in stone and flower,
That intermixture of delicious hues,
Along so vast a surface, all at once,
In one impression, by connecting force
Of their own beauty, imag’d in the heart.

—When I had gaz’d perhaps two minutes’ space,
Joanna, looking in my eyes, beheld
That ravishment of mine, and laugh’d aloud.
The rock, like something starting from a sleep,
Took up the Lady’s voice, and laugh’d again:
That ancient Woman seated on Helm-crag
Was ready with her cavern; Hammar-Scar,
And the tall Steep of Silver-How sent forth
A noise of laughter; southern Loughrigg heard,
And Fairfield answer’d with a mountain tone: Helvellyn far into the clear blue sky
Carried the Lady’s voice,—old Skiddaw blew
His speaking trumpet;—back out of the clouds
Of Glaramara southward came the voice;
And Kirkstone toss’d it from his misty head.
Now whether, (said I to our cordial Friend
Who in the hey-day of astonishment
Smil’d in my face) this were in simple truth
A work accomplish’d by the brotherhood
Of ancient mountains, or my ear was touch’d
With dreams and visionary impulses,
Is not for me to tell; but sure I am
That there was a loud uproar in the hills.
And, while we both were listening, to my side
The fair Joanna drew, as if she wish’d
To shelter from some object of her fear.
—And hence, long afterwards, when eighteen moons
Were wasted, as I chanc’d to walk alone
Beneath this rock, at sun-rise, on a calm
And silent morning, I sate down, and there,
In memory of affections old and true,
I chissel’d out in those rude characters
Joanna’s name upon the living stone.
And I, and all who dwell by my fire-side
Have call’d the lovely rock, Joanna’s Rock.”

NOTE
In Cumberland and Westmoreland are several Inscriptions upon
the native rock which from the wasting of Time and the rudeness
of the Workmanship had been mistaken for Runic. They are
without doubt Roman.

The Rotha, mentioned in this poem, is the River which flowing
through the Lakes of Grasmere and Rydale falls into
Wyndermere. On Helm-Crag, that impressive single Mountain at
the head of the Vale of Grasmere, is a Rock which from most
points of view bears a striking resemblance to an Old Woman cowering. Close by this rock is one of those Fissures or Caverns, which in the language of the Country are called Dungeons. The other Mountains either immediately surround the Vale of Grasmere, or belong to the same Cluster.

III

There is an Eminence,—of these our hills
The last that parleys with the setting sun.
We can behold it from our Orchard-seat,
And, when at evening we pursue our walk
Along the public way, this Cliff, so high
Above us, and so distant in its height,
Is visible, and often seems to send
Its own deep quiet to restore our hearts.
The meteors make of it a favorite haunt:
The star of Jove, so beautiful and large
In the mid heav’ns, is never half so fair
As when he shines above it. Tis in truth
The loneliest place we have among the clouds.
And She who dwells with me, whom I have lov’d
With such communion, that no place on earth
Can ever be a solitude to me,
Hath said, this lonesome Peak shall bear my Name.

IV

A narrow girdle of rough stones and crags,
A rude and natural causeway, interpos’d
Between the water and a winding slope
Of copse and thicket, leaves the eastern shore
Of Grasmere safe in its own privacy.
And there, myself and two beloved Friends,
One calm September morning, ere the mist
Had altogether yielded to the sun,
Saunter’d on this retir’d and difficult way.
—Ill suits the road with one in haste, but we
Play’d with our time; and, as we stroll’d along,
It was our occupation to observe
Such objects as the waves had toss’d ashore,
Feather, or leaf, or weed, or wither’d bough,
Each on the other heap’d along the line
Of the dry wreck. And in our vacant mood,
Not seldom did we stop to watch some tuft
Of dandelion seed or thistle’s beard,
Which, seeming lifeless half, and half impell’d
By some internal feeling, skimm’d along      20
dose to the surface of the lake that lay
Asleep in a dead calm, ran closely on
Along the dead calm lake, now here, now there,
In all its sportive wanderings all the while
Making report of an invisible breeze
That was its wings, its chariot, and its horse,
Its very playmate, and its moving soul.
—And often, trifling with a privilege
Alike indulg’d to all, we paus’d, one now,
And now the other, to point out, perchance        30
To pluck, some flower or water-weed, too fair
Either to be divided from the place
On which it grew, or to be left alone
To its own beauty. Many such there are,
Fair ferns and flowers, and chiefly that tall plant
So stately, of the Queen Osmunda nam’d,
Plant lovelier in its own retir’d abode
On Grasmere’s beach, than Naid by the side
Of Grecian brook, or Lady of the Mere
Sole-sitting by the shores of old Romance.        40
—So fared we that sweet morning: from the fields
Meanwhile, a noise was heard, the busy mirth
Of Reapers, Men and Women, Boys and Girls.
Delighted much to listen to those sounds,
And in the fashion which I have describ’d,
Feeding unthinking fancies, we advanc’d
Along the indented shore; when suddenly,
Through a thin veil of glittering haze, we saw
Before us on a point of jutting land
The tall and upright figure of a Man
Attir’d in peasant’s garb, who stood alone
Angling beside the margin of the lake.
That way we turn’d our steps; nor was it long,
Ere making ready comments on the sight
Which then we saw, with one and the same voice
We all cried out, that he must be indeed
An idle man, who thus could lose a day
Of the mid harvest, when the labourer’s hire
Is ample, and some little might be stor’d
Wherewith to chear him in the winter time.
Thus talking of that Peasant we approach’d
Close to the spot where with his rod and line
He stood alone; whereat he turn’d his head
To greet us—and we saw a man worn down
By sickness, gaunt and lean, with sunken cheeks
And wasted limbs, his legs so long and lean
That for my single self I look’d at them,
Forgetful of the body they sustained. —
Too weak to labour in the harvest field,
The man was using his best skill to gain
A pittance from the dead unfeeling lake
That knew not of his wants. I will not say
What thoughts immediately were ours, nor how
The happy idleness of that sweet morn,
With all its lovely images, was chang’d
To serious musing and to self-reproach.
Nor did we fail to see within ourselves
What need there is to be reserv’d in speech,
And temper all our thoughts with charity.
—Therefore, unwilling to forget that day,
My Friend, Myself, and She who then receiv’d
The same admonishment, have call’d the place
By a memorial name, uncouth indeed
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As e’er by Mariner was giv’n to Bay
Or Foreland on a new-discover’d coast,
And, POINT RASH-JUDGMENT is the Name it bears.

V

To M.H.

Our walk was far among the ancient trees:
There was no road, nor any woodman’s path,
But the thick umbrage, checking the wild growth
Of weed and sapling, on the soft green turf
Beneath the branches of itself had made
A track which brought us to a slip of lawn,
And a small bed of water in the woods.
All round this pool both flocks and herds might drink
On its firm margin, even as from a well
Or some stone-bason which the Herdsman’s hand had shap’d for their refreshment, nor did sun
Or wind from any quarter ever come
But as a blessing to this calm recess.
This glade of water and this one green field.
The spot was made by Nature for herself:
The travellers know it not, and ’twill remain
Unknown to them; but it is beautiful,
And if a man should plant his cottage near,
Should sleep beneath the shelter of its trees,
And blend its waters with his daily meal,
He would so love it that in his death-hour
Its image would survive among his thoughts,
And, therefore, my sweet MARY, this still nook
With all its beeches we have named from You.

Michael,

A PASTORAL POEM

If from the public way you turn your steps
Up the tumultuous brook of Green-head Gill,
You will suppose that with an upright path
Your feet must struggle; in such bold ascent
The pastoral Mountains front you, face to face.
But, courage I for beside that boisterous Brook
The mountains have all open’d out themselves,
And made a hidden valley of their own.
No habitation there is seen; but such
As journey thither find themselves alone
With a few sheep, with rocks and stones, and kites
That overhead are sailing in the sky.

It is in truth an utter solitude,
Nor should I have made mention of this Dell
But for one object which you might pass by,
Might see and notice not. Beside the brook
There is a straggling heap of unhewn stones!
And to that place a story appertains,
Which, though it be ungarnish’d with events,
Is not unfit, I deem, for the fire-side,
Or for the summer shade. It was the first,
The earliest of those tales that spake to me
Of Shepherds, dwellers in the vallies, men
Whom I already lov’d, not verily
For their own sakes, but for the fields and hills
Where was their occupation and abode.
And hence this Tale, while I was yet a boy
Careless of books, yet having felt the power
Of Nature, by the gentle agency
Of natural objects led me on to feel
For passions that were not my own, and think
At random and imperfectly indeed
On man; the heart of man and human life.
Therefore, although it be a history
Homely and rude, I will relate the same
For the delight of a few natural hearts,
And with yet fonder feeling, for the sake
Of youthful Poets, who among these Hills
Will be my second self when I am gone.

Upon the Forest-side in Grasmere Vale
There dwelt a Shepherd, Michael was his name,
An old man, stout of heart, and strong of limb.
His bodily frame had been from youth to age
Of an unusual strength; his mind was keen
Intense and frugal, apt for all affairs,
And in his Shepherd’s calling he was prompt
And watchful more than ordinary men.
Hence he had learn’d the meaning of all winds,
Of blasts of every tone, and often-times
When others heeded not, He heard the South
Make subterraneous music, like the noise
Of Bagpipers on distant Highland hills;
The Shepherd, at such warning, of his flock
Bethought him, and he to himself would say
The winds are now devising work for me!
And truly at all times the storm, that drives
The Traveller to a shelter, summon’d him
Up to the mountains: he had been alone
Amid the heart of many thousand mists
That came to him and left him on the heights.
So liv’d he till his eightieth year was pass’d.

And grossly that man errs, who should suppose
That the green Valleys, and the Streams and Rocks
Were things indifferent to the Shepherd’s thoughts.
Fields, where with cheerful spirits he had breath’d
The common air; the hills, which he so oft
Had climb’d with vigorous steps; which had impress’d
So many incidents upon his mind
Of hardship, skill or courage, joy or fear;
Which like a book preserv’d the memory
Of the dumb animals, whom he had sav’d,
Had fed or sheltered, linking to such acts,
So grateful in themselves, the certainty
Of honorable gains; these fields, these hills
Which were his living Being, even more
Than his own Blood—what could they less? had laid
Strong hold on his affections, were to him
A pleasurable feeling of blind love,
The pleasure which there is in life itself.

He had not passed his days in singleness. 80
He had a Wife, a comely Matron, old
Though younger than himself full twenty years.
She was a woman of a stirring life
Whose heart was in her house: two wheels she had
Of antique form, this large for spinning wool,
That small for flax, and if one wheel had rest,
It was because the other was at work.
The Pair had but one Inmate in their house,
An only Child, who had been born to them
When Michael telling o’er his years began 90
To deem that he was old, in Shepherd’s phrase,
With one foot in the grave. This only son,
With two brave sheep dogs tried in many a storm,
The one of an inestimable worth,
Made all their Household. I may truly say,
That they were as a proverb in the vale
For endless industry. When day was gone,
And from their occupations out of doors
The Son and Father were come home, even then
Their labour did not cease, unless when all 100
Turn’d to their cleanly supper-board, and there
Each with a mess of pottage and skimm’d milk,
Sate round their basket pil’d with oaten cakes,
And their plain home-made cheese. Yet when their meal
Was ended, LUKE (for so the Son was nam’d)
And his old Father, both betook themselves
To such convenient work, as might employ
Their hands by the fire-side; perhaps to card
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Wool for the House-wife’s spindle, or repair
Some injury done to sickle, flail, or scythe,
Or other implement of house or field.

Down from the ceiling by the chimney’s edge,
Which in our ancient uncouth country style
Did with a huge projection overbrow
Large space beneath, as duly as the light
Of day grew dim, the House-wife hung a lamp;
An aged utensil, which had perform’d
Service beyond all others of its kind.
Early at evening did it burn and late,
Surviving Comrade of uncounted Hours
Which going by from year to year had found
And left the Couple neither gay perhaps
Nor cheerful, yet with objects and with hopes
Living a life of eager industry.
And now, when LUKE was in his eighteenth year,
There by the light of this old lamp they sate,
Father and Son, while late into the night
The House-wife plied her own peculiar work,
Making the cottage thro’ the silent hours
Murmur as with the sound of summer flies.

Not with a waste of words, but for the sake
Of pleasure, which I know that I shall give
To many living now, I of this Lamp
Speak thus minutely: for there are no few
Whose memories will bear witness to my tale.
The Light was famous in its neighbourhood,
And was a public Symbol of the life,
The thrifty Pair had liv’d. For, as it chanc’d,
Their Cottage on a plot of rising ground
Stood single, with large prospect North and South,
High into Easedale, up to Dunmal-Raise,
And Westward to the village near the Lake.
And from this constant light so regular
And so far seen, the House itself by all
Who dwelt within the limits of the vale,
Both old and young, was nam’d The Evening Star.

Thus living on through such a length of years,
The Shepherd, if he lov’d himself, must needs
Have lov’d his Help-mate; but to Michael’s heart
This Son of his old age was yet more dear—

Effect which might perhaps have been produc’d
By that instinctive tenderness, the same
Blind Spirit, which is in the blood of all,
Or that a child, more than all other gifts,
Brings hope with it, and forward-looking thoughts,
And stirrings of inquietude, when they
By tendency of nature needs must fail.
From such, and other causes, to the thoughts
Of the old Man his only Son was now
The dearest object that he knew on earth.

Exceeding was the love he bare to him,
His Heart and his Heart’s joy! For oftentimes
Old Michael, while he was a babe in arms,
Had done him female service, not alone
For dalliance and delight, as is the use
Of Fathers, but with patient mind enforced
To acts of tenderness; and he had rock’d
His cradle with a woman’s gentle hand.

And in a later time, ere yet the Boy
Had put on Boy’s attire, did Michael love,
Albeit of a stern unbending mind,
To have the young one in his sight, when he
Had work by his own door, or when he sate
With sheep before him on his Shepherd’s stool,
Beneath that large old Oak, which near their door
Stood, and from its enormous breadth of shade
Chosen for the Shearer’s covert from the sun,
Thence in our rustic dialect was call’d
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The CLIPPING TREE,* a name which yet it bears.  
There, while they two were sitting in the shade,  
With others round them, earnest all and blithe,  
Would Michael exercise his heart with looks  
Of fond correction and reproof bestow’d  
Upon the child, if he disturb’d the sheep  
By catching at their legs, or with his shouts  
Scar’d them, while they lay still beneath the shears.

And when by Heaven’s good grace the Boy grew up  
A healthy Lad, and carried in his cheek  
Two steady roses that were five years old,  
Then Michael from a winter coppice cut  
With his own hand a sapling, which he hoop’d  
With iron, making it throughout in all  
Due requisites a perfect Shepherd’s Staff,  
And gave it to the Boy; wherewith equipped  
He as a Watchman oftentimes was plac’d  
At gate or gap, to stem or turn the flock,  
And to his office prematurely call’d  
There stood the urchin, as you will divine,  
Something between a hindrance and a help,  
And for this cause not always, I believe,  
Receiving from his Father hire of praise.

Though nought was left undone which staff or voice,  
Or looks, or threatening gestures could perform.  
But soon as Luke, full ten years old, could stand  
Against the mountain blasts, and to the heights,  
Not fearing toil, nor length of weary ways,  
He with his Father daily went, and they  
Were as companions, why should I relate  
That objects which the Shepherd loved before  
Were dearer now? that from the Boy there came  
Feelings and emanations, things which were  
Light to the sun and music to the wind;

* Clipping is the word used in the North of England for shearing.
And that the Old Man’s heart seemed born again.
Thus in his Father’s sight the Boy grew up:
And now when he had reached his eighteenth year,
He was his comfort and his daily hope.

While this good household thus were living on
From day to day, to Michael’s ear there came
Distressful tidings. Long before the time
Of which I speak, the Shepherd had been bound
In surety for his Brother’s Son, a man
Of an industrious life, and ample means,
But unforeseen misfortunes suddenly
Had press’d upon him, and old Michael now
Was summon’d to discharge the forfeiture,
A grievous penalty, but little less
Than half his substance. This un-look’d for claim
At the first hearing, for a moment took
More hope out of his life than he supposed
That any old man ever could have lost.

As soon as he had gather’d so much strength
That he could look his trouble in the face,
It seem’d that his sole refuge was to sell
A portion of his patrimonial fields.
Such was his first resolve; he thought again,
And his heart fail’d him. “Isabel,” said he,
Two evenings after he had heard the news,
“I have been toiling more than seventy years,
And in the open sun-shine of God’s love
Have we all liv’d, yet if these fields of ours
Should pass into a Stranger’s hand, I think
That I could not lie quiet in my grave.
Our lot is a hard lot; the Sun itself
Has scarcely been more diligent than I,
And I have liv’d to be a fool at last

217. While in the fashion which I have described
This simple Household thus were living on [1802].
To my own family. An evil Man
That was, and made an evil choice, if he
Were false to us; and if he were not false,
There are ten thousand to whom loss like this
Had been no sorrow. I forgive him—but
'Twere better to be dumb than to talk thus.
When I began, my purpose was to speak
Of remedies and of a chearful hope.
Our Luke shall leave us, Isabel; the land
Shall not go from us, and it shall be free,
He shall possess it, free as is the wind
That passes over it. We have, thou knowest,
Another Kinsman, he will be our friend
In this distress. He is a prosperous man,
Thriving in trade, and Luke to him shall go,
And with his Kinsman’s help and his own thrift,
He quickly will repair this loss, and then
May come again to us. If here he stay,
What can be done? Where every one is poor
What can be gain’d?” At this, the old man paus’d,
And Isabel sate silent, for her mind
Was busy, looking back into past times.
There’s Richard Bateman, thought she to herself,
He was a parish-boy—at the church-door
They made a gathering for him, shillings, pence,
And halfpennies, wherewith the Neighbours bought
A Basket, which they fill’d with Pedlar’s wares,
And with this Basket on his arm, the Lad
Went up to London, found a Master there,
Who out of many chose the trusty Boy
To go and overlook his merchandise
Beyond the seas, where he grew wond’rous rich,
And left estates and monies to the poor,
And at his birth-place built a Chapel, floor’d
With Marble, which he sent from foreign lands.
These thoughts, and many others of like sort,
Pass’d quickly thro’ the mind of Isabel,
And her face brighten’d. The Old Man was glad,
And thus resum’d. “Well! Isabel, this scheme
These two days has been meat and drink to me.
Far more than we have lost is left us yet.
—We have enough—I wish indeed that I
Were younger, but this hope is a good hope.
—Make ready Luke’s best garments, of the best
Buy for him more, and let us send him forth
To-morrow, or the next day, or to-night:
—If he could go, the Boy should go to-night.”

Here Michael ceas’d, and to the fields went forth
With a light heart. The House-wife for five days
Was restless morn and night, and all day long
Wrought on with her best fingers to prepare
Things needful for the journey of her Son.
But Isabel was glad when Sunday came
To stop her in her work; for, when she lay
By Michael’s side, she for the two last nights
Heard him, how he was troubled in his sleep:
And when they rose at morning she could see
That all his hopes were gone. That day at noon
She said to Luke, while they two by themselves
Were sitting at the door, “Thou must not go,
We have no other Child but thee to lose,
None to remember—do not go away,
For if thou leave thy Father he will die.”
The Lad made answer with a jocund voice,
And Isabel, when she had told her fears,
Recover’d heart. That evening her best fare
Did she bring forth, and all together sate
Like happy people round a Christmas fire.

Next morning Isabel resum’d her work,
And all the ensuing week the house appeared
As cheerful as a grove in Spring: at length
The expected letter from their Kinsman came,
With kind assurances that he would do
His utmost for the welfare of the Boy,
To which requests were added that forthwith
He might be sent to him. Ten times or more
The letter was read over; Isabel
Went forth to shew it to the neighbours round:
Nor was there at that time on English Land
A prouder heart than Luke’s. When Isabel
Had to her house return’d, the Old Man said,
“He shall depart to-morrow.” To this word
The House-wife answered, talking much of things
Which, if at such short notice he should go,
Would surely be forgotten. But at length
She gave consent, and Michael was at ease.

Near the tumultous brook of Green-head Gill,
In that deep Valley, Michael had design’d
To build a Sheep-fold, and, before he heard
The tidings of his melancholy loss,
For this same purpose he had gathered up
A heap of stones, which close to the brook side
Lay thrown together, ready for the work.
With Luke that evening thitherward he walk’d;
And soon as they had reach’d the place he stopp’d,
And thus the Old Man spake to him. “My Son,
To-morrow thou wilt leave me; with full heart
I look upon thee, for thou art the same
That wert a promise to me ere thy birth,
And all thy life hast been my daily joy.
I will relate to thee some little part
Of our two histories; ’twill do thee good
When thou art from me, even if I should speak
Of things thou canst not know of. —After thou
First cam’st into the world, as it befalls
To new-born infants, thou didst sleep away
Two days, and blessings from thy Father’s tongue
Then fell upon thee. Day by day pass’d on,
And still I lov’d thee with encreasing love.
Never to living ear came sweeter sounds
Than when I heard thee by our own fire-side
First uttering without words a natural tune,
When thou, a feeding babe, didst in thy joy
Sing at thy Mother's breast. Month follow'd month,
And in the open fields my life was pass'd
And in the mountains, else I think that thou
Hadst been brought up upon thy father's knees.
—But we were playmates, Luke; among these hills,
As well thou know'st, in us the old and young
Have play'd together, nor with me didst thou
Lack any pleasure which a boy can know.”

Luke had a manly heart; but at these words
He sobb'd aloud; the Old Man grasp'd his hand,
And said, "Nay do not take it so—I see
That these are things of which I need not speak.
—Even to the utmost I have been to thee
A kind and a good Father: and herein
I but repay a gift which I myself
Receiv'd at others hands, for, though now old
Beyond the common life of man, I still
Remember them who lov'd me in my youth.
Both of them sleep together: here they liv'd
As all their Forefathers had done, and when
At length their time was come, they were not loth
To give their bodies to the family mold.
I wish'd that thou should'st live the life they liv'd.
But 'tis a long time to look back, my Son,
And see so little gain from sixty years.
These fields were burthen'd when they came to me;
'Till I was forty years of age, not more
Than half of my inheritance was mine.
I toil'd and toil'd; God bless'd me in my work,
And 'till these three weeks past the land was free.
—It looks as if it never could endure
Another Master. Heaven forgive me, Luke,
If I judge ill for thee, but it seems good
That thou should'st go.” At this the Old Man paus'd,
Then, pointing to the Stones near which they stood,
Thus, after a short silence, he resum’d:
“This was a work for us, and now, my Son,
It is a work for me. But, lay one Stone—
Here, lay it for me, Luke, with thine own hands.
I for the purpose brought thee to this place.
Nay, Boy, be of good hope:—we both may live
To see a better day. At eighty-four
I still am strong and stout;—do thou thy part,
I will do mine. —I will begin again
With many tasks that were resign’d to thee;
Up to the heights, and in among the storms,
Will I without thee go again, and do
All works which I was wont to do alone,
Before I knew thy face. —Heaven bless thee, Boy!
Thy heart these two weeks has been beating fast
With many hopes—it should be so—yes—yes—
I knew that thou could’st never have a wish
To leave me, Luke, thou hast been bound to me
Only by links of love, when thou art gone
What will be left to us! —But, I forget
My purposes. Lay now the corner-stone,
As I requested, and hereafter, Luke,
When thou art gone away, should evil men
Be thy companions, let this Sheep-fold be
Thy anchor and thy shield; amid all fear
And all temptation, let it be to thee
An emblem of the life thy Fathers liv’d,
Who, being innocent, did for that cause
Bestir them in good deeds. Now, fare thee well—
When thou return’st, thou in this place wilt see
A work which is not here, a covenant  
’Twill be between us—but whatever fate  
Befall thee, I shall love thee to the last,  
And bear thy memory with me to the grave.’

The Shepherd ended here; and Luke stoop’d down,  
And as his Father had requested, laid  
The first stone of the Sheep-fold; at the sight 430  
The Old Man’s grief broke from him, to his heart  
He press’d his Son, he kissed him and wept;  
And to the House together they return’d.

Next morning, as had been resolv’d, the Boy  
Began his journey, and when he had reach’d  
The public Way, he put on a bold face;  
And all the Neighbours as he pass’d their doors  
Came forth, with wishes and with farewell pray’rs,  
That followed him ‘till he was out of sight.

A good report did from their Kinsman come, 440  
Of Luke and his well-doing; and the Boy  
Wrote loving letters, full of wond’rous news,  
Which, as the House-wife phrased it, were throughout  
The prettiest letters that were ever seen.  
Both parents read them with rejoicing hearts.  
So, many months pass’d on: and once again  
The Shepherd went about his daily work  
With confident and cheerful thoughts; and now  
Sometimes when he could find a leisure hour  
He to that valley took his way, and there 450
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417–420. Be thy companions, think of me, my Son,  
And of this moment; hither turn thy thoughts,  
And God will strengthen thee: amid all fear  
And all temptation, Luke, I pray that thou  
Mayst bear in mind the life thy Fathers liv’d, [1802].
Wrought at the Sheep-fold. Meantime Luke began
To slacken in his duty, and at length
He in the dissolute city gave himself
To evil courses: ignominy and shame
Fell on him, so that he was driven at last
To seek a hiding-place beyond the seas.

There is a comfort in the strength of love;
'Twill make a thing endurable, which else
Would break the heart:—Old Michael found it so.
I have conversed with more than one who well
Remember the Old Man, and what he was
Years after he had heard this heavy news.
His bodily frame had been from youth to age
Of an unusual strength. Among the rocks
He went, and still look’d up upon the sun,
And listen’d to the wind; and as before
Perform’d all kinds of labour for his Sheep,
And for the land his small inheritance.
And to that hollow Dell from time to time
Did he repair, to build the Fold of which
His flock had need. 'Tis not forgotten yet
The pity which was then in every heart
For the Old Man—and 'tis believ’d by all
That many and many a day he thither went,
And never lifted up a single stone.

There, by the Sheep-fold, sometimes was he seen
Sitting alone, with that his faithful Dog,
Then old, beside him, lying at his feet.
The length of full seven years from time to time
He at the building of this Sheep-fold wrought,
And left the work unfinished when he died.

Three years, or little more, did Isabel,
Survive her Husband: at her death the estate
Was sold, and went into a Stranger’s hand.
The Cottage which was nam’d The Evening Star
Is gone, the ploughshare has been through the ground
On which it stood; great changes have been wrought
In all the neighbourhood, yet the Oak is left
That grew beside their Door; and the remains
Of the unfinished Sheep-fold may be seen
Beside the boisterous brook of Green-head Gill.
Wordsworth’s Prefaces of 1800 and 1802

There are two main versions of the Preface to *Lyrical Ballads*. The first is that of 1800 (the 1798 edition of the poems had been prefaced simply by an *Advertisement*, v. p. 7) and the second that of 1802, which is the basis of Wordsworth’s final version of 1805. The main difference between the two versions is the addition in the 1802 text of the passage which discusses the question, ‘What is a Poet?’ (v. p. 254). The 1800 text is reproduced here with the 1802 variants in italics. Footnotes marked by a * are Wordsworth’s, those by a † are our own. The text of the 1805 reprint of the Preface is identical with that of 1802, except for one or two slight typographical differences. Also, in the 1802 edition Wordsworth included an Appendix on poetic diction which is reprinted in the present volume as Appendix B (v. p. 317).

**PREFACE**

THE First Volume of these Poems has already been submitted to general perusal. It was published, as an experiment¹ which, I hoped, might be of some use to ascertain, how far, by fitting to metrical arrangement a selection of the real language of men in a state of vivid sensation, that sort of pleasure and that quantity of pleasure may be imparted, which a Poet may rationally endeavour to impart.

I had formed no very inaccurate estimate of the probable effect of those Poems: I flattered myself that they who should be pleased with them would read them with more than common pleasure: and on the other hand¹ I was well aware¹ that by those who should dislike them they would be read with more than common

¹ experiment,...hand,...aware,...variety, . weakness,
common dislike. The result has differed from my expectation in this only, that I have pleased a greater number, than I ventured to hope I should please.

For the sake of variety and from a consciousness of my own weakness I was induced to request the assistance of a Friend, who furnished me with the Poems of the ANCIENT MARINER, the FOSTER-MOTHER’S TALE, the NIGHTINGALE, the DUNGEON, and the Poem entitled LOVE. I should not, however, have requested this assistance, had I not believed that the poems of my Friend would in a great measure have the same tendency as my own, and that, though there would be found a difference, there would be found no discordance in the colours of our style; as our opinions on the subject of poetry do almost entirely coincide.

Several of my Friends are anxious for the success of these Poems from a belief, that if the views, with which they were composed, were indeed realized, a class of Poetry would be produced, well adapted to interest mankind permanently, and not unimportant in the multiplicity and in the quality of its moral relations: and on this account they have advised me to prefix a systematic defence of the theory, upon which the poems were written. But I was unwilling to undertake the task, because I knew that on this occasion the Reader would look coldly upon my arguments, since I might be suspected of having been principally influenced by the selfish and foolish hope of reasoning him into an approbation of these particular Poems: and I was still more unwilling to undertake the task, because adequately to display my opinions and fully to enforce my arguments would require a space wholly disproportionate to the nature of a preface. For to treat the subject with the clearness and coherence, of which I believe it susceptible, it would be necessary to give a full account of the present state of the public taste in this country, and to determine how far this taste is healthy or depraved; which again could not be determined, without pointing out, in what manner language and the human mind act and react on each other, and without retracing the revolutions not of literature

---

2 [omits the DUNGEON] Poems
3 that, if the views with which they were composed were... multiplicity and
4 because,...opinions,...arguments
alone but likewise of society itself. I have therefore altogether declined to enter regularly upon this defence; yet I am sensible, that there would be some impropriety in abruptly obtruding upon the Public, without a few words of introduction, Poems so materially different from those, upon which general approbation is at present bestowed.

It is supposed, that by the act of writing in verse an Author makes a formal engagement that he will gratify certain known habits of association, that he not only thus apprizes the Reader that certain classes of ideas and expressions will be found in his book, but that others will be carefully excluded. This exponent or symbol held forth by metrical language must in different æras of literature have excited very different expectations: for example, in the age of Catullus Terence and Lucretius, and that of Statius or Claudian, and in our own country, in the age of Shakespeare and Beaumont and Fletcher, and that of Donne and Cowley, or Dryden, or Pope. I will not take upon me to determine the exact import of the promise which by the act of writing in verse an Author in the present day makes to his Reader; but I am certain it will appear to many persons that I have not fulfilled the terms of an engagement thus voluntarily contracted. I hope therefore the Reader will not censure me, if I attempt to state what I have proposed to myself to perform, and also, (as far as the limits of a preface will permit) to explain some of the chief reasons which have determined me in the choice of my purpose: that at least he may be spared any unpleasant feeling of disappointment, and that I myself may be protected from the most dishonorable accusation which can be brought against an Author, namely, that of an indolence which prevents him from endeavouring to ascertain what is his duty, or, when his duty is ascertained prevents him from performing it.

The principal object then which I proposed to myself in these Poems was to make the incidents of common life interesting by tracing in them, truly though not ostentatiously, the primary laws of our nature: chiefly as far as regards the manner in which we

---

5 which, again,...re-act,...revolutions,...alone,

6 association;

7 Catullus, Terence, and Lucretius...Claudian;

8 Author,...day,...certain,
associate ideas in a state of excitement. Low and rustic life was
generally chosen because in that situation the essential passions
of the heart find a better soil in which they can attain their
maturity, are less under restraint, and speak a plainer and more
emphatic language; because in that situation our elementary
feelings exist in a state of greater simplicity and consequently
may be more accurately contemplated and more forcibly
communicated; because the manners of rural life germinate from
those elementary feelings; and from the necessary character of
rural occupations are more easily comprehended; and are more
durable; and lastly, because in that situation the passions of
men are incorporated with the beautiful and permanent forms of
nature. The language too of these men is adopted (purified
indeed from what appear to be its real defects, from all lasting
and rational causes of dislike or disgust) because such men
hourly communicate with the best objects from which the best part
of language is originally derived; and because, from their rank in
society and the sameness and narrow circle of their intercourse,
being less under the action of social vanity they convey their
feelings and notions in simple and unelaborated expressions.
Accordingly such a language arising out of repeated experience
and regular feelings is a more permanent and a far more
philosophical language than that which is frequently substituted
for it by Poets, who think that they are conferring honour upon
themselves and their art in proportion as they separate them
selves from the sympathies of men, and indulge in arbitrary and

---

9 after “contracted” inserts the following (v. the 1798 Advertisement on p. 7):
They who have been accustomed to the gaudiness and inane phraseology of many
modern writers, if they persist in reading this book to its conclusion, will, no doubt,
frequently have to struggle with feelings of strangeness and awkwardness: they will
look round for poetry, and will be induced to inquire by what species of courtesy
these attempts can be permitted to assume that title… perform;

10 ascertained… object, then,

11 “to make the incidents…interesting” becomes to chuse incidents and
situations from common life, and to relate or describe them, throughout, as far as
was possible, in a selection of language really used by men; and, at the same time, to
throw over them a certain colouring of imagination, whereby ordinary things should
be presented to the mind in an unusual way; and, further, and above all, to make
these incidents and situations interesting

12 chiefly… chosen, because in that condition, the
capricious habits of expression in order to furnish food for fickle tastes and fickle appetites of their own creation.*

I cannot be insensible of the present outcry against the triviality and meanness both of thought and language, which some of my contemporaries have occasionally introduced into their metrical compositions; and I acknowledge that this defect where it exists, is more dishonorable to the Writer’s own character than false refinement or arbitrary innovation, though I should contend at the same time that it is far less pernicious in the sum of its consequences. From such verses the Poems in these volumes will be found distinguished at least by one mark of difference, that each of them has a worthy purpose. Not that I mean to say, that I always began to write with a distinct purpose formally conceived; but I believe that my habits of meditation have so formed my feelings, as that my descriptions of such objects as strongly excite those feelings, will be found to carry along with them a purpose. If in this opinion I am mistaken can have little right to the name of a Poet. For all good poetry is the spontaneous overflow of powerful feelings; but though this be true, Poems to which any value can be attached, were never produced on any variety of subjects but by a man who being possessed of more than usual organic sensibility had also thought long and deeply. For our continued influxes of feeling are modified and directed by our thoughts, which are indeed the representatives of all our past feelings; and as by contemplating the relation of these general representatives to each other, we discover what is really important to men, so by the repetition and continuance of this act feelings connected with important

---

13 because in that condition of life...co-exist... simplicity,...contemplated,... occupations,

14 condition...language, too,

15 influence

16 Accordingly, such a language,...feelings,...permanent, ...language,...and their art,...expression,...tastes, and fickle appetites,

* It is worth while here to observe that the affecting parts of Chaucer are almost always expressed in language pure and universally intelligible even to this day.

17 I cannot, however,...acknowledge, that this defect,

18 mistaken,...feelings:
subjects will be nourished, till at length, if we be originally possessed of much organic sensibility, such habits of mind will be produced that by obeying blindly and mechanically the impulses of those habits we shall describe objects and utter sentiments of such a nature and in such connection with each other, that the understanding of the being to whom we address ourselves, if he be in a healthful state of association, must necessarily be in some degree enlightened, his taste exalted, and his affections ameliorated.

I have said that each of these poems has a purpose. I have also informed my Reader what this purpose will be found principally to be: namely to illustrate the manner in which our feelings and ideas are associated in a state of excitement. But speaking in less general language, it is to follow the fluxes and refluxes of the mind when agitated by the great and simple affections of our nature. This object I have endeavoured in these short essays to attain by various means; by tracing the maternal passion through many of its more subtle windings, as in the poems of the IDIOT BOY and the MAD MOTHER; by accompanying the last struggles of a human being at the approach of death, cleaving in solitude to life and society, as in the Poem of the FORSAKEN INDIAN; by shewing, as in the Stanzas entitled WE ARE SEVEN, the perplexity and obscurity which in childhood attend our notion of death, or rather our utter inability to admit that notion; or by displaying the strength of fraternal, or to speak more philosophically, of moral attachment when early associated with the great and beautiful objects of nature, as in THE BROTHERS; or, as in the Incident of SIMON LEE, by placing my Reader in the way of receiving from ordinary moral sensations another and more salutary impression than we are accustomed to receive from
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19 a man, who...sensibility, had
20 See opposite page.
20 and,...representatives to each other we discover what is really important to men, so,...this act, our feelings will be connected...subjects, till at length, if we be possessed of much sensibility,...produced, that, by...habits,...objects, and utter sentiments,...in some degree enlightened, and his affections ameliorated.
21 namely to illustrate
22 But, speaking in language somewhat more appropriate,
23 human being, at the
them. It has also been part of my general purpose to attempt to sketch characters under the influence of less impassioned feelings, as in the OLD MAN TRAVELLING, THE TWO THIEVES, &c. characters of which the elements are simple, belonging rather to nature than to manners, such as exist now and will probably always exist, and which from their constitution may be distinctly and profitably contemplated. I will not abuse the indulgence of my Reader by dwelling longer upon this subject; but it is proper that I should mention one other circumstance which distinguishes these Poems from the popular Poetry of the day; it is this, that the feeling therein developed gives importance to the action and situation and not the action and situation to the feeling. My meaning will be rendered perfectly intelligible by referring my Reader to the Poems entitled POOR SUSAN and the CHILDLESS FATHER, particularly to the last Stanza of the latter Poem.

I will not suffer a sense of false modesty to prevent me from asserting, that I point my Reader’s attention to this mark of distinction far less for the sake of these particular Poems than from the general importance of the subject. The subject is indeed important! For the human mind is capable of excitement without the application of gross and violent stimulants; and he must have a very faint perception of its beauty and dignity who does not know this, and who does not further know that one being is elevated above another in proportion as he possesses this capability. It has therefore appeared to me that to endeavour to produce or enlarge this capability is one of the best services in which, at any period, a Writer can be engaged; but this service, excellent at all times, is especially so at the present day. For a multitude of causes unknown to former times are now acting with a combined force to blunt the discriminating powers of the mind, and unfitting it for all voluntary exertion to reduce it to a state of almost savage torpor. The most effective of these causes are the great national events which are daily taking place, and the encreasing accumulation of men in cities, where the

---

24 feelings, as in the TWO APRIL MORNINGS, THE FOUNTAIN, THE
25 exist now, and
26 situation,...distinction, far
27 of being excited
uniformity of their occupations produces a craving for extraordinary incident which the rapid communication of intelligence hourly gratifies. To this tendency of life and manners the literature and theatrical exhibitions of the country have conformed themselves. The invaluable works of our elder writers, I had almost said the works of Shakespear and Milton, are driven into neglect by frantic novels, sickly and stupid German Tragedies, and deluges of idle and extravagant stories in verse. —When I think upon this degrading thirst after outrageous stimulation I am almost ashamed to have spoken of the feeble effort with which I have endeavoured to counteract it; and reflecting upon the magnitude of the general evil, I should be oppressed with no dishonorable melancholy, had I not a deep impression of certain inherent and indestructible qualities of the human mind, and likewise of certain powers in the great and permanent objects that act upon it which are equally inherent and indestructible; and did I not further add to this impression a belief that the time is approaching when the evil will be systematically opposed by men of greater powers and with far more distinguished success.

Having dwelt thus long on the subjects and aim of these Poems, I shall request the Reader’s permission to apprize him of a few circumstances relating to their style, in order, among other reasons, that I may not be censured for not having performed what I never attempted. Except in a very few instances the Reader will find no personifications of abstract ideas in these volumes, not that I mean to censure such personifications: they may be well fitted for certain sorts of composition, but in these Poems I propose to myself to imitate, and, as far as possible, to adopt the very language of men, and I do not find that such personifications make any regular or natural part of that language. I wish to keep my Reader in the company of flesh and

\[28\text{ know, that...another, in}\]
\[29\text{ appeared to me, that}\]
\[30\text{ causes,...times, are}\]
\[31\text{ incident, which}\]
\[32\text{ stimulation, I}\]
\[33\text{ and,}\]
blood, persuaded that by so doing I shall interest him. Not but that I believe that others who pursue a different track may interest him likewise: I do not interfere with their claim, I only wish to prefer a different claim of my own. There will also be found in these volumes little of what is usually called poetic diction; I have taken as much pains to avoid it as others ordinarily take to produce it; this I have done for the reason already alleged, to bring my language near to the language of men, and further, because the pleasure which I have proposed to myself to impart is of a kind very different from that which is supposed by many persons to be the proper object of poetry. I do not know how without being culpably particular I can give my Reader a more exact notion of the style in which I wished these poems to be written than by informing him that I have at all times endeavoured to look steadily at my subject, consequently I hope it will be found that there is no falsehood of description, and that my ideas are expressed in language fitted to their respective importance. Something I must have gained by this practice, as it is friendly to one property of all good poetry, namely good sense; but it has necessarily cut me off from a large portion of phrases and figures of speech which from father to son have long been regarded as the common inheritance of Poets. I have also thought it expedient to restrict myself still further, having abstained from the use of many expressions, in themselves proper and beautiful, but which have been foolishly repeated by bad Poets till such feelings of

34 belief, that
35 opposed, by men of greater powers,
36 changes “Except in a very few...interest him likewise:” to: The Reader will find that personifications of abstract ideas rarely occur in these volumes; and, I hope, are utterly rejected as an ordinary device to elevate the style, and raise it above prose. I have proposed to myself to imitate, and, as far as is possible, to adopt the very language of men; and assuredly such personifications do not make any natural or regular part of that language. They are, indeed, a figure of speech occasionally prompted by passion, and I have made use of them as such; but I have endeavoured utterly to reject them as a mechanical device of style, or as a family language which Writers in metre seem to lay claim to by prescription. I have wished to keep my Reader in the company of flesh and blood, persuaded that by so doing I shall interest him. I am, however, well aware that others who pursue a different track may interest him likewise;
disgust are connected with them as it is scarcely possible by any art of association to overpower.

If in a Poem there should be found a series of lines, or even a single line, in which the language, though naturally arranged and according to the strict laws of metre, does not differ from that of prose, there is a numerous class of critics who, when they stumble upon these prosaisms as they call them, imagine that they have made a notable discovery, and exult over the Poet as over a man ignorant of his own profession. Now these men would establish a canon of criticism which the Reader will conclude he must utterly reject if he wishes to be pleased with these volumes. And it would be a most easy task to prove to him that not only the language of a large portion of every good poem, even of the most elevated character, must necessarily, except with reference to the metre, in no respect differ from that of good prose, but likewise that some of the most interesting parts of the best poems will be found to be strictly the language of prose when prose is well written. The truth of this assertion might be demonstrated by innumerable passages from almost all the poetical writings, even of Milton himself. I have not space for much quotation; but, to illustrate the subject in a general manner, I will here adduce a short composition of Gray, who was at the head of those who by their reasonings have attempted to widen the space of separation betwixt Prose and Metrical composition, and was more than any other man curiously elaborate in the structure of his own poetic diction.

In vain to me the smiling mornings shine,
And reddening Phoebus lifts his golden fire:
The birds in vain their amorous descant join,
Or chearful fields resume their green attire:
These ears alas! for other notes repine;
A different object do these eyes require;

\[37\] consequently,
\[38\] consequently, I hope that there is
\[39\] namely, good
\[40\] Poets, till
My lonely anguish melts no heart but mine;
And in my breast the imperfect joys expire;
Yet Morning smiles the busy race to cheer,
And new-born pleasure brings to happier men;
The fields to all their wonted tribute bear;
To warm their little loves the birds complain.
I fruitless mourn to him that cannot hear
And weep the more because I weep in vain.†

It will easily be perceived that the only part of this Sonnet which is of any value is the lines printed in Italics: it is equally obvious† that except in the rhyme, and in the use of the single word “fruitless” for fruitlessly, which is so far a defect, the language of these lines does in no respect differ from that of prose.

Is there then, it will be asked, no essential difference between the language of prose and metrical composition? I answer that there neither is nor can be any essential difference.‡ We are fond of tracing the resemblance between Poetry and Painting, and, accordingly, we call them Sisters: but where shall we find bonds of connection sufficiently strict to typify the affinity betwixt metrical and prose composition? They both speak by and to the same organs; the bodies in which both of them are clothed may be said to be of the same substance, their affections are kindred and almost identical, not necessarily differing even in degree; *Poetry sheds no tears “such as Angels weep,” but natural and

---

41 critics.
42 reject, if
43 prove to him,...of prose,
† Sonnet on the Death of Richard West.
44 obvious,
45 that,
46 From “Is there then” to “essential difference.” becomes: By the foregoing quotation I have shewn that the language of Prose may yet be well adapted to Poetry; and I have previously asserted that a large portion of the language of every good poem can in no respect differ from that of good Prose. I will go further. I do not doubt that it may be safely affirmed, that there neither is, nor can be, any essential difference between the language of prose and metrical composition.
human tears; she can boast of no celestial Ichor that distinguishes her vital juices from those of prose; the same human blood circulates through the veins of them both.

If it be affirmed that rhyme and metrical arrangement of themselves constitute a distinction which over-turns what I have been saying on the strict affinity of metrical language with that of prose, and paves the way for other distinctions which the mind voluntarily admits, I answer that the distinction of rhyme and metre is regular and uniform, and not like that which is produced by what is usually called poetic diction, arbitrary and subject to infinite caprices upon which no calculation whatever can be made. In the one case the Reader is utterly at the mercy of the Poet respecting what imagery or diction he may choose to connect with the passion, whereas in the other the metre obeys certain laws, to which the Poet and Reader both willingly submit because they are certain, and because no interference is made by them with the passion but such as the concurring testimony of

---

*I here use the word “Poetry” (though against my own judgment) as opposed to the word Prose, and synonymous with metrical composition. But much confusion has been introduced into criticism by this contradistinction of Poetry and Prose, instead of the more philosophical one of Poetry and Science. The only strict antithesis to Prose is Metre.*

---

*I answer that the language of such Poetry as I am recommending is, as far as is possible, a selection of the language really spoken by men; that this selection, wherever it is made with true taste and feeling, will of itself form a distinction far greater than would at first be imagined, and will entirely separate the composition from the vulgarity and meanness of ordinary life; and, if metre be superadded thereto, I believe that a dissimilitude will be produced altogether sufficient for the gratification of a rational mind. What other distinction would we have? Whence is it to come? And where is it to exist? Not, surely, where the Poet speaks through the mouths of his characters: it cannot be necessary here, either for elevation of style, or any of its supposed ornaments: for, if the Poet’s subject be judiciously chosen, it will naturally, and upon fit occasion, lead*
ages has shewn to heighten and improve the pleasure which co-exists with it.

It will now be proper to answer an obvious question, namely, why, professing these opinions have I written in verse? To this in the first place I reply, because, however I may have restricted myself, there is still left open to me what confessedly constitutes the most valuable object of all writing whether in prose or verse, the great and universal passions of men, the most general and interesting of their occupations, and the entire world of nature, from which I am at liberty to supply myself with endless combinations of forms and imagery. Now, granting for a moment that whatever is interesting in these objects may be as vividly described in prose, why am I to be condemned if to such description I have endeavoured to superadd the charm which by the consent of all nations is acknowledged to exist in metrical language? To this it will be answered, that a very small part of him to passions the language of which, if selected truly and judiciously, must necessarily be dignified and variegated, and alive with metaphors and figures. I forbear to speak of an incongruity which would shock the intelligent Reader, should the Poet interweave any foreign splendour of his own with that which the passion naturally suggests: it is sufficient to say that such addition is unnecessary. And, surely, it is more probable that those passages, which with propriety abound with metaphors and figures, will have their due effect, if, upon other occasions where the passions are of a milder character, the style also be subdued and temperate.

But, as the pleasure which I hope to give by the Poems I now present to the Reader must depend entirely on just notions upon this subject, and, as it is in itself of the highest importance to our taste and moral feelings, I cannot content myself with these detached remarks. And if, in what I am about to say, it shall appear to some that my labour is unnecessary, and that I am like a man fighting a battle without enemies, I would remind such persons, that, whatever may be the language outwardly holden by men, a practical faith in the opinions which I am wishing to establish is almost unknown. If my conclusions are admitted, and carried as far as they must be carried if admitted at all, our judgments concerning the works of the greatest Poets both ancient and modern will be far different from what they are at present, both when we praise, and when we censure: and our moral feelings influencing, and influenced by these judgments will, I believe, be corrected and purified.

Taking up the subject, then, upon general grounds, I ask what is meant by the word Poet? What is a Poet? To whom does he address himself? And what language is to be expected from him? He is a man speaking to men: a man, it is true, endued with more lively sensibility, more enthusiasm and tenderness, who has a greater knowledge of human nature, and a more comprehensive soul, than are supposed to be common among mankind; a man...
the pleasure given by Poetry depends upon the metre, and that it is injudicious to write in metre unless it be accompanied with the other artificial distinctions of style with which metre is usually accompanied, and that by such deviation more will be lost from the shock which will be thereby given to the Reader's associations than will be counterbalanced by any pleasure which he can derive from the general power of numbers. In answer to those who thus contend for the necessity of accompanying metre with certain appropriate colours of style in order to the accomplishment of its appropriate end, and who also, in my opinion, greatly under-rate the power of metre in itself, it might perhaps be almost sufficient to observe that poems are extant, written upon more humble subjects, and in a more naked and simple style than what I have aimed at, which poems have continued to give pleasure from generation to generation.

pleased with his own passions and volitions, and who rejoices more than other men in the spirit of life that is in him; delighting to contemplate similar volitions and passions as manifested in the goings-on of the Universe, and habitually impelled to create them where he does not find them. To these qualities he has added a disposition to be affected more than other men by absent things as if they were present; an ability of conjuring up in himself passions, which are indeed far from being the same as those produced by real events, yet (especially in those parts of the general sympathy which are pleasing and delightful) do more nearly resemble the passions produced by real events, than anything which, from the motions of their own minds merely, other men are accustomed to feel in themselves; whence, and from practice, he has acquired a greater readiness and power in expressing what he thinks and feels, and especially those thoughts and feelings which, by his own choice, or from the structure of his own mind, arise in him without immediate external excitation.

But, whatever portion of this faculty we may suppose even the greatest Poet to possess, there cannot be a doubt but that the language which it will suggest to him, must, in liveliness and truth, fall far short of that which is uttered by men in real life, under the actual pressure of those passions, certain shadows of which the Poet thus produces, or feels to be produced, in himself. However exalted a notion we would wish to cherish of the character of a Poet it is obvious, that, while he describes and imitates passions, his situation is altogether slavish and mechanical, compared with the freedom and power of real and substantial action and suffering. So that it will be the wish of the Poet to bring his feelings near to those of the persons whose feelings he describes, nay, for short spaces of time perhaps, to let himself slip into an entire delusion, and even confound and identify his own feelings with theirs; modifying only the language which is thus suggested to him, by a consideration that he describes for a particular purpose, that of giving pleasure. Here, then, he will apply the principle on which I have so much insisted, namely, that of selection; on this he will depend for removing what
generation. Now, if nakedness and simplicity be a defect, the fact here mentioned affords a strong presumption that poems somewhat less naked and simple are capable of affording pleasure at the present day; and all that I am now attempting is to justify myself for having written under the impression of this belief.

But I might point out various causes why, when the style is manly, and the subject of some importance, words metrically arranged will long continue to impart such a pleasure to mankind as he who is sensible of the extent of that pleasure will be desirous to impart. The end of Poetry is to produce excitement in coexistence with an overbalance of pleasure. Now, by the supposition, excitement is an unusual and irregular state of the mind; ideas and feelings do not in that state succeed each other in accustomed order. But if the words by which this excitement is would otherwise be painful or disgusting in the passion; he will feel that there is no necessity to trick out or to elevate nature: and, the more industriously he applies this principle, the deeper will be his faith that no words, which his fancy or imagination can suggest, will be to be compared with those which are the emanations of reality and truth.

But it may be said by those who do not object to the general spirit of these remarks, that, as it is impossible for the Poet to produce upon all occasions language as exquisitely fitted for the passion as that which the real passion itself suggests, it is proper that he should consider himself as in the situation of a translator, who deems himself justified when he substitutes excellences of another kind for those which are unattainable by him; and endeavours occasionally to surpass his original, in order to make some amends for the general inferiority to which he feels that he must submit. But this would be to encourage idleness and unmanly despair. Further, it is the language of men who speak of what they do not understand; who talk of Poetry as of a matter of amusement and idle pleasure; who will converse with us as gravely about a taste for Poetry, as they express it, as if it were a thing as indifferent as a taste for Rope-dancing, or Frontiniac or Sherry. Aristotle, I have been told, hath said, that Poetry is the most philosophic of all writing: it is so: its object is truth, not individual and local, but general, and operative; not standing upon external testimony, but carried alive into the heart by passion; truth which is its own testimony, which gives strength and divinity to the tribunal to which it appeals, and receives them from the same tribunal. Poetry is the image of man and nature. The obstacles which stand in the way of the fidelity of the Biographer and Historian, and of their consequent utility, are incalculably greater than those which are to be encountered by the Poet who has an adequate notion of the dignity of his art. The Poet writes under one restriction only, namely, that of the necessity of giving immediate pleasure to a human Being possessed of that information which may be expected from him, not as a lawyer, a physician, a mariner, an
produced are in themselves powerful, or the images and feelings have an undue proportion of pain connected with them, there is some danger that the excitement may be carried beyond its proper bounds. Now the co-presence of something regular, something to which the mind has been accustomed when in an unexcited or a less excited state, cannot but have great efficacy in tempering and restraining the passion by an intertexture of ordinary feeling. This may be illustrated by appealing to the Reader’s own experience of the reluctance with which he comes to the re-perusal of the distressful parts of Clarissa Harlowe, or the Gamester.† While Shakespeare’s writings, in the most pathetic scenes, never act upon us as pathetic beyond the bounds of pleasure—an effect which is in a great degree to be ascribed to small, but continual and regular impulses of astronomer or a natural philosopher, but as a Man. Except this one restriction, there is no object standing between the Poet and the image of things; between this, and the Biographer and Historian there are a thousand.

Nor let this necessity of producing immediate pleasure be considered as a degradation of the Poet’s art. It is far otherwise. It is an acknowledgment of the beauty of the universe, an acknowledgment the more sincere, because it is not formal, but indirect; it is a task light and easy to him who looks at the world in the spirit of love: further, it is a homage paid to the native and naked dignity of man., to the grand elementary principle of pleasure, by which he knows, and feels, and lives, and moves. We have no sympathy but what is propagated by pleasure: I would not be misunderstood; but wherever we sympathize with pain it will be found that the sympathy is produced and carried on by subtle combinations with pleasure. We have no knowledge, that is, no general principles drawn from the contemplation of particular facts, but what has been built up by pleasure, and exists in us by pleasure alone. The Man of Science, the Chemist and Mathematician, whatever difficulties and disgusts they may have had to struggle with, know and feel this. However painful may be the objects with which the Anatomist’s knowledge is connected, he feels that his knowledge is pleasure; and where he has no pleasure he has no knowledge. What then does the Poet? He considers man and the objects that surround him as acting and re-acting upon each other, so as to produce an infinite complexity of pain and pleasure; he considers man in his own nature and in his ordinary life as contemplating this with a certain quantity of immediate knowledge, with certain convictions, intuitions, and deductions which by habit become of the nature of intuitions; he considers him as looking upon this complex scene of ideas and sensations, and finding every where objects that immediately excite in him sympathies which, from the necessities of his nature, are accompanied by an overbalance of enjoyment.

To this knowledge which all men carry about with them, and to these sympathies in which without any other discipline than that of
pleasurable surprise from the metrical arrangement. —On the other hand (what it must be allowed will much more frequently happen) if the Poet's words should be incommensurate with the passion, and inadequate to raise the Reader to a height of desirable excitement, then, (unless the Poet's choice of his metre has been grossly injudicious) in the feelings of pleasure which the Reader has been accustomed to connect with metre in general, and in the feeling, whether cheerful or melancholy, which he has been accustomed to connect with that particular movement of metre, there will be found something which will greatly contribute to impart passion to the words, and to effect the complex end which the Poet proposes to himself.

If I had undertaken a systematic defence of the theory upon which these poems are written, it would have been my duty to develope the various causes upon which the pleasure received
from metrical language depends. Among the chief of these causes is to be reckoned a principle which must be well known to those who have made any of the Arts the object of accurate reflection; I mean the pleasure which the mind derives from the perception of similitude in dissimilitude. This principle is the great spring of the activity of our minds and their chief feeder. From this principle the direction of the sexual appetite, and all the passions connected with it take their origin: It is the life of our ordinary conversation; and upon the accuracy with which similitude in dissimilitude, and dissimilitude in similitude are perceived, depend our taste and our moral feelings. It would not have been a useless employment to have applied this principle to the consideration of metre, and to have shown that metre is hence enabled to afford much pleasure, and to have pointed out in what manner that pleasure is produced. But my limits will not

no more than at present, but he will be ready to follow the steps of the Man of Science, not only in those general indirect effects, but he will be at his side, carrying sensation into the midst of the objects of the Science itself. The remotest discoveries of the Chemist, the Botanist, or Mineralogist, will be as proper objects of the Poet’s art as any upon which it can be employed, if the time should ever come when these things shall be familiar to us, and the relations under which they are contemplated by the followers of these respective Sciences shall be manifestly and palpably material to us as enjoying and suffering beings. If the time should ever come when what is now called Science, thus familiarized to men, shall be ready to put on, as it were, a form of flesh and blood, the Poet will lend his divine spirit to aid the transfiguration, and will welcome the Being thus produced, as a dear and genuine inmate of the household of man. —It is not, then, to be supposed that any one, who holds that sublime notion of Poetry which I have attempted to convey, will break in upon the sanctity and truth of his pictures by transitory and accidental ornaments, and endeavour to excite admiration of himself by arts, the necessity of which must manifestly depend upon the assumed meanness of his subject. What I have thus far said applies to Poetry in general; but especially to those parts of composition where the Poet speaks through the mouths of his characters; and upon this point it appears to have such weight that I will conclude, there are few persons of good sense, who would not allow that the dramatic parts of composition are defective, in proportion as they deviate from the real language of nature, and are coloured by a diction of the Poet’s own, either peculiar to him as an individual Poet, or belonging simply to Poets in general, to a body of men who, from the circumstance of their compositions being in metre, it is expected will employ a particular language.

It is not, then, in the dramatic parts of composition that we look for this distinction of language; but still it may be proper and necessary where the Poet speaks to us in his own person and
permit me to enter upon this subject, and I must content myself
with a general summary. I have said that Poetry is the spontaneous overflow of powerful
feelings: it takes its origin from emotion recollected in
tranquillity: the emotion is contemplated till by a species of

character. To this I answer by referring my Reader to the description which I have
before given of a Poet. Among the qualities which I have enumerated as principally
conduc ing to form a Poet, is implied nothing differing in kind from other men, but
only in degree. The sum of what I have there said is, that the Poet is chiefly
distinguished from other men by a greater promptness to think and feel without
immediate external excitement, and a greater power in expressing such thoughts and
feelings as are produced in him in that manner. But these passions and thoughts and
feelings are the general passions and thoughts and feelings of men. And with what
are they connected? Undoubtedly with our moral sentiments and animal sensations,
and with the causes which excite these; with the operations of the elements and the
appearances of the visible universe; with storm and sun-shine, with the revolutions
of the seasons, with cold and heat, with loss of friends and kindred, with injuries and
resentments, gratitude and hope, with fear and sorrow. These, and the like, are the
sensations and objects which the Poet describes, as they are the sensations of other
men, and the objects which interest them. The Poet thinks and feels in the spirit of the
passions of men. How, then, can his language differ in any material degree from that
of all other men who feel vividly and see clearly? It might be proved that it is
impossible. But supposing that this were not the case, the Poet might then be allowed
to use a peculiar language when expressing his feelings for his own gratification, or
that of men like himself. But Poets do not write for Poets alone, but for men. Unless
therefore we are advocates for that admiration which depends upon ignorance, and
that pleasure which arises from hearing what we do not understand, the Poet must
descend from this supposed height, and, in order to excite rational sympathy, he
must express himself as other men express themselves. To this it may be added, that
while he is only selecting from the real language of men, or, which amounts to the
same thing, composing accurately in the spirit of such selection, he is treading upon
safe ground, and we know what we are to expect from him. Our feelings are the same
with respect to metre; for, as it may be proper to remind the Reader,

51 the distinction of metre is regular
52 and not like that
53 arbitrary,
54 case, the...whereas, in the other, the metre
55 To this, in addition to such answer as is included in what I have already said, I
reply in the first place, because,
56 Now, supposing...condemned, if...charm, which, by...nations, is
57 To this, by such as are unconvinced by what I have already said, it may be
answered,
58 metre, unless...associations,
reaction the tranquillity gradually disappears, and an emotion, similar to that which was before the subject of contemplation, is gradually produced, and does itself actually exist in the mind. In this mood successful composition generally begins, and in a mood similar to this it is carried on; but the emotion, of whatever kind and in whatever degree, from various causes is qualified by various pleasures, so that in describing any passions whatsoever, which are voluntarily described, the mind will upon the whole be in a state of enjoyment. Now if Nature be thus cautious in preserving in a state of enjoyment a being thus employed, the Poet ought to profit by the lesson thus held forth to him, and ought especially to take care, that whatever passions he communicates to his Reader, those passions, if his Reader’s mind be sound and vigorous, should always be accompanied with an overbalance of pleasure. Now the music of harmonious metrical language, the sense of difficulty overcome, and the blind association of pleasure which has been previously received from works of rhyme or metre of the same or similar construction, all

59 those who still contend
60 it might perhaps, as far as relates to these Poems, have been almost sufficient to observe, that poems are...than I have aimed at,
61 and, what I wished chiefly to attempt, at present, was to justify
† Probably refers to the play by Edward Moore, first published in 1753.
62 co-existence...But, if
63 accustomed in various moods and in a less excited state,
64 intertexture of ordinary feeling, and of feeling not strictly and necessarily connected with the passion. This is unquestionably true, and hence, though the opinion will at first appear paradoxical, from the tendency of metre to divest language in a certain degree of its reality, and thus to throw a sort of half consciousness of unsubstantial existence over the whole composition, there can be little doubt but that more pathetic situations and sentiments, that is, those which have a greater proportion of pain connected with them, may be endured in metrical composition, especially in rhyme, than in prose. The metre of the old ballads is very artless; yet they contain many passages which would illustrate this opinion, and, I hope, if the following Poems be attentively perused, similar instances will be found in them.
65 This opinion may be further illustrated by appealing
66 an effect which, in a much greater degree than might at first be imagined, is to be ascribed
67 minds,
these imperceptibly make up a complex feeling of delight, which is of the most important use in tempering the painful feeling which will always be found intermingled with powerful descriptions of the deeper passions. This effect is always produced in pathetic and impassioned poetry; while in lighter compositions\textsuperscript{71} the ease and gracefulness with which the Poet manages his numbers are themselves confessedly a principal source of the gratification of the Reader. I might perhaps include all which it is \textit{necessary} to say upon this subject by affirming what few persons will deny, that of two descriptions\textsuperscript{72} either of passions, manners, or characters, each of them equally well executed, the one in prose and the other in verse, the verse will be read a hundred times where the prose is read once. We see that Pope by the power of verse alone, has contrived to render the plainest common sense interesting, and even frequently to invest it with the appearance of passion. In consequence of these convictions I related in metre the Tale of GOODY BLAKE and HARRY GILL, which is one of the rudest of this collection. I wished to draw attention to the truth that the power of the human imagination is sufficient to produce such changes even in our physical nature as might almost appear miraculous. The truth is an important one; the fact (for it is a fact) is a valuable illustration of it. And I have the satisfaction of knowing that it has been communicated to many hundreds of people who would never have heard of it, had it not been narrated as a Ballad, and in a more impressive metre than is usual in Ballads.

Having thus\textsuperscript{73} adverted to a few of the reasons why I have written in verse, and why I have chosen subjects from common life, and endeavoured to bring my language near to the real language of men, if I have been too minute in pleading my own cause, I have at the same time been treating a subject of \textit{general}

\textsuperscript{68} an emotion, kindred to that which
\textsuperscript{69} Now, if
\textsuperscript{70} similar construction, an indistinct perception perpetually renewed of language closely resembling that of real life, and yet, in the circumstance of metre, differing from it so widely, all these
\textsuperscript{71} while, in lighter compositions, the ease
\textsuperscript{72} affirming,\ldots that, of two descriptions, either
\textsuperscript{73} Having thus explained a few
interest; and it is for this reason that I request the Reader’s permission to add a few words with reference solely to these particular poems, and to some defects which will probably be found in them. I am sensible that my associations must have sometimes been particular instead of general, and that, consequently, giving to things a false importance, sometimes from diseased impulses I may have written upon unworthy subjects; but I am less apprehensive on this account, than that my language may frequently have suffered from those arbitrary connections of feelings and ideas with particular words, from which no man can altogether protect himself. Hence I have no doubt that in some instances feelings even of the ludicrous may be given to my Readers by expressions which appeared to me tender and pathetic. Such faulty expressions, were I convinced they were faulty at present, and that they must necessarily continue to be so, I would willingly take all reasonable pains to correct. But it is dangerous to make these alterations on the simple authority of a few individuals, or even of certain classes of men; for where the understanding of an Author is not convinced, or his feelings altered, this cannot be done without great injury to himself: for his own feelings are his stay and support, and if he sets them aside in one instance, he may be induced to repeat this act till his mind loses all confidence in itself and becomes utterly debilitated. To this it may be added, that the Reader ought never to forget that he is himself exposed to the same errors as the Poet, and perhaps in a much greater degree: for there can be no presumption in saying that it is not probable he will be so well acquainted with the various stages of meaning through which words have passed, or with the fickleness or stability of the relations of particular ideas to each other; and above all, since he is so much less interested in the subject, he may decide lightly and carelessly.

Long as I have detained my Reader, I hope he will permit me to caution him against a mode of false criticism which has been applied to Poetry in which the language closely resembles that of

74 particular words and phrases, from which
75 Hence I have no doubt, that,...instances,
76 and,...itself,
77 in saying, that
life and nature. Such verses have been triumphed over in parodies of which Dr. Johnson’s Stanza is a fair specimen.

“I put my hat upon my head,  
And walk’d into the Strand,  
And there I met another man  
Whose hat was in his hand.”†

Immediately under these lines I will place one of the most justly admired stanzas of the “Babes in the Wood.”

“These pretty Babes with hand in hand  
Went wandering up and down;  
But never more they saw the Man  
Approaching from the Town.”

In both of these stanzas the words, and the order of the words, in no respect differ from the most unimpassioned conversation. There are words in both, for example, “the Strand,” and “the Town,” connected with none but the most familiar ideas; yet the one stanza we admit as admirable, and the other as a fair example of the superlatively contemptible. Whence arises this difference? Not from the metre, not from the language, not from the order of the words; but the matter expressed in Dr. Johnson’s stanza is contemptible. The proper method of treating trivial and simple verses to which Dr. Johnson’s stanza would be a fair parallelism is not to say this is a bad kind of poetry, or this is not poetry, but this wants sense; it is neither interesting in itself, nor can lead to anything interesting; the images neither originate in that sane state of feeling which arises out of thought, nor can

† Boswell first heard this parody from Garrick on 9 May 1772, but Johnson improved on Garrick’s version. Boswell never published the parody because of Percy’s sensitiveness. Wordsworth’s quotation gives the version as Boswell had it from Johnson, but it is not known where he discovered it. (v. Poems of Samuel Johnson, ed. D.Nichol Smith and E.L.McAdam, Oxford, 1941, pp. 157–8.)

Percy’s version of the second of these stanzas has as its third line, “But never more could see the man,” and his title is “The children in the Wood”, (v. Wordsworth’s Preface to Lyrical Ballads, ed. W.J.B. Owen, p. 191.)

78 In both these stanzas
excite thought or feeling in the Reader. This is the only sensible manner of dealing with such verses: Why trouble yourself about the species till you have previously decided upon the genus? Why take pains to prove that an Ape is not a Newton when it is self-evident that he is not a man.  

I have one request to make of my Reader, which is, that in judging these Poems he would decide by his own feelings genuinely, and not by reflection upon what will probably be the judgment of others. How common is it to hear a person say, “I myself do not object to this style of composition or this or that expression, but to such and such classes of people it will appear mean or ludicrous.” This mode of criticism so destructive of all sound unadulterated judgment is almost universal: I have therefore to request that the Reader would abide independently by his own feelings, and that if he finds himself affected he would not suffer such conjectures to interfere with his pleasure.  

If an Author by any single composition has impressed us with respect for his talents, it is useful to consider this as affording a presumption, that, on other occasions where we have been displeased, he nevertheless may not have written ill or absurdly; and, further, to give him so much credit for this one composition as may induce us to review what has displeased us with more care than we should otherwise have bestowed upon it. This is not only an act of justice, but in our decisions upon poetry especially, may conduce in a high degree to the improvement of our own taste: for an accurate taste in Poetry and in all the other arts, as Sir Joshua Reynolds has observed, is an acquired talent, which can only be produced by thought and a long continued intercourse with the best models of composition. This is mentioned not with so ridiculous a purpose as to prevent the most inexperienced Reader from judging for himself, (I have already said that I wish him to judge for himself;) but merely to temper the rashness of decision, and to suggest that if Poetry be a subject on which much time has not been bestowed, the judgment

---
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83 request.
may be erroneous, and that in many cases it necessarily will be so.

I know nothing would have so effectually contributed to further the end which I have in view as to have shewn of what kind the pleasure is, and how the pleasure is produced which is confessedly produced by metrical composition essentially different from what I have here endeavoured to recommend, for the Reader will say that he has been pleased by such composition and what can I do more for him? The power of any art is limited and he will suspect that if I propose to furnish him with new friends it is only upon condition of his abandoning his old friends. Besides, as I have said, the Reader is himself conscious of the pleasure which he has received from such composition, composition to which he has peculiarly attached the endearing name of Poetry; and all men feel an habitual gratitude, and something of an honorable bigotry for the objects which have long continued to please them: we not only wish to be pleased, but to be pleased in that particular way in which we have been accustomed to be pleased. There is a host of arguments in these feelings; and I should be the less able to combat them successfully, as I am willing to allow, that, in order entirely to enjoy the Poetry which I am recommending, it would be necessary to give up much of what is ordinarily enjoyed. But would my limits have permitted me to point out how this pleasure is produced, I might have removed many obstacles, and assisted my Reader in perceiving that the powers of language are not so limited as he may suppose; and that it is possible that poetry may give other enjoyments, of a purer, more lasting, and more exquisite nature. But this part of my subject I have been obliged altogether to omit: as it has been less my present aim to prove that the interest excited by some other kinds of poetry is less vivid, and less worthy of the nobler powers of the mind, than to offer reasons for presuming, that, if the object which I have proposed to myself were adequately attained, a species of poetry
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would be produced, which is genuine poetry; in its nature well adapted to interest mankind permanently, and likewise important in the multiplicity and quality of its moral relations.

From what has been said, and from a perusal of the Poems, the Reader will be able clearly to perceive the object which I have proposed to myself: he will determine how far I have attained this object; and, what is a much more important question, whether it be worth attaining; and upon the decision of these two questions will rest my claim to the approbation of the public.

88 But, would

89 This part of my subject I have not altogether neglected; but it has been less my present aim to prove, that
Notes to the Poems

(p. 9) The Rime of the Ancyent Marinere

The poem was composed between November 1797 and March 1798. The text underwent considerable changes between the date of its first publication in 1798 and its publication in Sibylline Leaves in 1817. This first version should be compared with the final one which is that given in most modern editions. The main alterations between the first and the last versions are given in footnotes to the text which refer the reader to The Poetical Works of S.T. Coleridge ed. E.H. Coleridge, Oxford, 1912, who adopts the text of 1834. The poem was reprinted in Lyrical Ballads in 1800, 1802 and 1805. The text in 1802 and 1805 follows that of 1800. It was first published under Coleridge’s name in Sibylline Leaves in 1817 and in the editions of 1828, 1829 and 1834. The marginal glosses first appeared in 1817 but may have been written much earlier.

In 1800 the poem and the prose Argument which precedes it were both changed considerably and the title became: The Ancient Mariner. A Poet’s Reverie’. These changes were made at the instigation of Wordsworth, who felt that the Ancient Mariner had been a stumbling-block to an appreciation of the 1798 volume. In a letter to Cottle on the 24th June 1799, Wordsworth wrote: ‘From what I can gather it seems that The Ancyent Marinere has upon the whole been an injury to the volume, I mean that the old words and the strangeness of it have deterred readers from going on.’ In the revised copy of 1800, from which the printer worked for the 1802 edition, ‘A Poet’s Reverie’ is erased on p. 5, but was left on the half-title on p.1 and remained there in the editions of 1802 and 1805. It may well have been erased in answer to the protest of Charles Lamb who also objected to the Note (afterwards omitted) appended to the poem by Wordsworth in 1800, in which Wordsworth censured Coleridge
for not giving the Mariner any ‘distinct character, either in his profession of Mariner, or as a human being’. (A copy of Wordsworth’s Note and an extract from Lamb’s letter to Wordsworth are given at the end of this Note to the poem.)

The changes that Coleridge made in his poem for the 1800 edition were mainly towards the removal of archaisms of vocabulary\textsuperscript{1}, spelling, and of quaintness of style. But he also omitted some of the homelier images appropriate to ballad poetry, e.g. lines such as ‘Like chaff we drove along’ and ‘Or my staff shall make thee skip’, and some of the iteration common to such poetry. The later versions are in fact more literary, and, although the alterations are on the whole improvements, the first version has a simple, dramatic quality that recaptures something of the ballad proper.

The \textit{Ancient Mariner} was planned by Coleridge and Wordsworth during a walking tour to Linton which started on the 13th November 1797 and on which they were accompanied by Dorothy Wordsworth. It was finished in March 1798, and Dorothy recorded in her \textit{Journal}: ‘Coleridge dined with us. He brought his ballad finished.’ [\textit{D. W.}, i. 13.] The central action of the poem came from Wordsworth who had been reading Shelvocke’s \textit{A Voyage round the World by the Way of the Great South Sea} (1726). During Shelvocke’s voyage one of his crew had shot a black albatross which had followed the ship in bad weather. Wordsworth also suggested the navigation of the ship by the dead men and a few of the lines such as 17–20 and 218–19. But Wordsworth soon withdrew from the composition of the poem, for he wrote, ‘our respective manners proved so widely different that it would have been quite presumptuous in me to do anything but separate from an undertaking upon which I could only have been a clog’. [\textit{I.F.}]

A further piece of information about the genesis of the poem was given by a friend of Wordsworth, the Rev. Alexander Dyce, to H.N.Coleridge who incorporated it in the form of the

\textsuperscript{1}Coleridge later turned the matter into a joke and said, ‘I was told by Longmans that the greater part of the \textit{Lyrical Ballads} had been sold to seafaring men, who having heard of the \textit{Ancient Mariner}, concluded that it was a naval song-book, or, at all events that it had some relation to nautical matters’ [\textit{T.T.}, 448], but it is clear that he was hurt by Wordsworth’s treatment of his poem, (\textit{v. Introduction.})
following Note in his 1852 edition of Coleridge's Poems. 'When my truly-honoured friend Mr. Wordsworth was last in London, he... made the following statement which I am quite sure, I give you correctly: The Ancient Mariner was founded on a strange dream which a friend of Coleridge [John Cruikshank, Lord Egmont's agent at Stowey] had, who fancied he saw a skeleton ship with figures in it. We had both determined to write some poetry for a monthly magazine, the profits of which were to defray the expenses of a little excursion we were to make together. The Ancient Mariner was intended for this periodical, but was too long. I had very little share in the composition of it, for I soon found that the style of Coleridge and myself would not assimilate....' Coleridge's own account of how he came to write the poem, in Chapter XIV of Biographia Literaria, should not be overlooked.

The most detailed account of the sources of the poem is given in J.L. Lowes, The Road to Xanadu. Lowes points out how many images in the poem derive from Coleridge's extensive reading not only in old travel-books, but also more recent ones, for Coleridge knew the accounts of the voyages of Captain James Cook and Captain Bligh of The Bounty (u Bernard Smith, 'Coleridge's Ancient Mariner and Cook's Second Voyage', Journal of the Warburg and Courtauld Institutes, XIX, 1956). Lowes points out that the Ancient Mariner was written in place of The Wanderings of Cain and tells us that Coleridge's Notebooks refer to a romance on the Wandering Jew which Coleridge was also contemplating at this time. The Wandering Jew is the title of a poem in Percy's Reliques which were a great influence upon the Lyrical Ballads. Another influence upon the poem was Bürger's Lenore, the translations of which had a great vogue in England at this period. Lenore had been translated by William Taylor and also [u J.B. Beer's Coleridge The Visionary, p. 147] by Sir Walter Scott. Coleridge probably owed something to both of these, but (as Beer suggests) there is an obvious indebtedness to Scott for some of the metrical effects of the Ancient Mariner although Percy's Reliques played a part here. But it was not only literary allusions and influences that

---

1The probable sources of these are given by J.L. Lowes, The Road to Xanadu (1927), but their meanings are clear when the original is compared with the revised text, e.g. 1. 80 where Coleridge changed 'Pheere' (i.e. companion) to 'Mate'.
worked their way into the poem. Although Coleridge described himself as ‘a library cormorant’, he was a keen observer of nature and retained in his memory snatches of conversation and phrases that took his fancy. Lowes shows how some lines in the poem [and especially lines 154–8] derive from the walking tour he made to Wales in the summer of 1794 with his friend John Hucks [u A.R.Jones and W.M.Tydeman (eds), A Pedestrian Tour through North Wales, in a Series of Letters by J.Hucks, B.A., Cardiff, 1979].


Wordsworth’s ‘Note to the Ancient Mariner’ from the 1800 edition of Lyrical Ballads

I cannot refuse myself the gratification of informing such Readers as may have been pleased with this Poem, or with any part of it, that they owe their pleasure in some sort to me; as the Author was himself very desirous that it should be suppressed. The wish had arisen from a consciousness of the defects of the Poem, and from a knowledge that many persons had been much

---

1Writing later to his wife from Germany on the 8th November 1798, Coleridge was to say, ‘Bürger of all the German Poets pleases me the most, as yet—the Lenore is greatly superior to any of the Translations,’—an indication that he knew more than one translation.

[C. Letters, i. 438]
displeased with it. The Poem of my Friend has indeed great defects; first, that the principal person has no distinct character, either in his profession of Mariner, or as a human being who having been long under the control of supernatural impressions might be supposed himself to partake of something supernatural: secondly, that he does not act, but is continually acted upon: thirdly, that the events having no necessary connection do not produce each other; and lastly, that the imagery is somewhat too laboriously accumulated. Yet the Poem contains many delicate touches of passion, and indeed the passion is everywhere true to nature; a great number of the stanzas present beautiful images, and are expressed with unusual felicity of language; and the versification, though the metre is itself unfit for long poems, is harmonious and artfully varied, exhibiting the utmost powers of that metre, and every variety of which it is capable. It therefore appeared to me that these several merits (the first of which, namely that of the passion, is of the highest kind,) gave to the Poem a value which is not often possessed by better Poems. On this account I requested of my Friend to permit me to republish it.

Extract from a letter of Charles Lamb, dated January 1801, in which he thanks Wordsworth for the gift of the 1800 edition of *Lyrical Ballads*

I am sorry that Coleridge has christened his *Ancient Marinere*, 'a poet's Reverie'; it is as bad as Bottom the Weaver's declaration that he is not a Lion, but only the scenic representation of a Lion. What new idea is gained by this Title but one subversive of all credit—which the Tale should force upon us—of its truth?

For me, I was never so affected with any human tale. After first reading it, I was totally possessed with it for many days. I dislike all the miraculous part of it; but the feelings of the man under the operation of such scenery, dragged me along like Tom Piper's magic Whistle. I totally differ from your idea that the Marinere should have had a character and profession. This is a beauty in *Gulliver's Travels*, where the mind is kept in a placid state of little wonderments; but the *Ancient Marinere* undergoes such trials as overwhelm and bury all individuality or memory of what he was —like the state of a man in a bad dream, one terrible peculiarity of which is, that all consciousness of personality is gone. Your other observation is, I think as well, a little unfounded: the Marinere, from being conversant in supernatural events, has
acquired a supernatural and strange cast of phrase, eye, appearance, etc., which frighten the wedding guest. You will excuse my remarks, because I am hurt and vexed that you should think it necessary, with a prose apology, to open the eyes of dead men that cannot see.

To sum up a general opinion of the second volume, I do not feel any one poem in it so forcibly as the *Ancient Marinere*, and the *Mad Mother*, and the *Lines at Tintern Abbey*, in the first. [Lamb. I. 266.]

(p. 35) *The Foster-Mother’s Tale, A Dramatic Fragment*

This poem together with *The Dungeon* was taken from Coleridge’s play *Osorio*, a tragedy which he completed in October 1797. He had written the play at the request of Richard Sheridan with a view to its production at Drury Lane, but it was rejected. In its final form and with the new title *Remorse* it was produced in January 1813 and ran for twenty performances.

Maria and Albert [u Note to *The Dungeon*], the elder son of Velez, are lovers who have the same foster-mother. After being separated by the villainy of Albert’s brother, Osorio, Albert returns in disguise and arranges a secret meeting with Maria at their foster-mother’s cottage. It is while she waits here that Maria listens to her foster-mother’s tale, which has no part in the plot of the play. Richard Holmes [*Coleridge: Early Visions*] sees ‘the poor mad youth’ as a ‘fictional sketch’ of Coleridge’s brother, Frank, who committed suicide at the age of twenty-two while serving in the army in India.

*The Foster-Mother’s Tale* was first published in 1798 and reprinted 1800, 1802 and 1805. It was omitted from the acting version of *Remorse* but was printed in the form of an Appendix to the second edition of the play in 1813. It is included in *Sibylline Leaves*, 1817 and 1852.

(p. 38) *Lines left upon a Seat in a Yew-Tree which stands near the Lake of Esthwaite*

Wordsworth modified this poem considerably between 1798 and 1820. ‘Composed in part at school at Hawkshead. The tree has disappeared, and the slip of Common on which it stood, that ran parallel to the lake, and lay open to it, has long been enclosed; so that the road has lost much of its attraction. This spot was my favourite walk in the evenings during the latter part of my schooltime. The individual whose habits and character are here given, was a gentleman of the neighbourhood, a man of talent
and learning, who had been educated at one of our Universities, and returned to pass his time in seclusion on his own estate. He died a bachelor in middle age....'[I.F.]

‘Wordsworth’s statement that the poem was “composed in part at Hawkshead” does not necessarily imply that he wrote it while still at school, for he visited Hawkshead in both 1788 and 1789. But very little can have been written as early as that; for the poem as a whole represents his revulsion from the intellectual arrogance and self-sufficiency of Godwinism, from which he recovered during his years at Racedown, and the warning that man should “still suspect and still revere himself implies renunciation of the Godwinian view that man’s vices are due to society rather than to the innate imperfection of human nature. In 1815 Wordsworth dated the poem 1795, and drafts of it are found in a rough notebook in use at Racedown (1795–7); but some lines of it, not in their final form, and written in the hand of Mary Hutchinson, who was at Racedown in the early months of 1797, prove that the poem did not reach its published form before that date.’ [P.W., i. 29.]

The ‘gentleman of the neighbourhood’ referred to by Wordsworth was Rev. William Braithwaite of Satterhow who shortly before his death in 1800 bought some of the unenclosed land on the shore of Esthwaite thus ruining Wordsworth’s favourite walk. The yew-tree itself was cut down in 1820 because of the belief that it was poisoning cattle.

Harper points out that in this poem ‘there is heard a note which is quite rare in Wordsworth’s poetry, a note of personal resentment for the world’s neglect, its failure to appreciate him and his ideals.’ [G.M.Harper, William Wordsworth, London, 1916, rev. edn, 1929, 180.]

In 1815 Wordsworth changed the line:

The stone-chat, or the glancing sand-piper [1. 24]to the sand-lark, restless Bird, Piping along the margin of the lake

but restored the original ‘glancing sand-piper’ in 1820 after Lamb had protested about the suppression of that ‘line all alive’.

(p. 40) The Nightingale; A Conversational Poem

Coleridge wrote this poem in April 1798. It was first published in 1798 and reprinted in 1800, 1802 and 1805. It was included in
Sibylline Leaves, 1817. The Nightingale replaced Lewti, a love poem which had been printed for the 1798 volume but [v. Appendix A] which was withdrawn to preserve anonymity, since it had already appeared in the Morning Post. A few copies of the 1798 edition containing the cancelled sheets of Lewti were actually issued.

1.39. Philomela was a princess in Greek legend who was ravished by the husband of her sister Procne. Her ravisher cut out her tongue to prevent her telling her story but she related it by weaving it into a tapestry. She was turned into a nightingale and her sister into a swallow.

1.69. The ‘most gentle maid’ was Miss Ellen Cruikshank, the sister of Coleridge’s friend, John Cruikshank, Lord Egmont’s agent, whose ‘dream’ of a skeleton ship had inspired this image in the Ancient Mariner [v. Note to the Ancient Mariner].

1.71. The castle in the poem is Enmore Castle, the seat of Lord Egmont, near Stowey.

1.91. The ‘Babe’ is Hartley Coleridge, the poet’s first child, born in 1796. Cf. Coleridge’s Frost at Midnight (published in the same year as The Nightingale), 44ff., and an entry in Coleridge’s notebook [C. Notebooks, i. 219] written late in 1797 or early in 1798, ‘Hartley fell down and hurt himself—I caught him up crying and screaming—and ran out of doors with him. The moon caught his eye—he ceased crying immediately—and his eyes and the tears in them, how they glittered in the moonlight!’

Coleridge sent the MS. of the poem by post on the 10th May 1798 to Wordsworth at Alfoxden with the following lines:

> In stale blank verse a subject stale
> I send per post my Nightingale;
> And like an honest bard, dear Wordsworth,
> You’ll tell me what you think, my Bird’s worth.
> My opinion’s briefly this—
> His bill he opens not amiss;
And when he has sung a stave or so,
His breast, & some small space below,
So throbs & swells, that you might swear
No vulgar music's working there.
So far, so good; but then, 'od rot him!
There's something falls off at his bottom.
Yet, sure, no wonder it should breed,
That my Bird's Tail's a tail indeed
And makes it's own inglorious harmony
Æolio crepitû, non Carmine.

[C. Letters, i. 406]

(p. 44) The Female Vagrant

This poem was reprinted in 1800, 1802 and 1805 and in the Poems, 1820–1836, but underwent constant and thorough revision. In 1815 an extract from it was printed prefaced by the words: Having described her own Situation with her Husband, serving in America during the War, she proceeds, followed by a version which begins at line 131. The poem was finally incorporated into a longer and quite early poem originally called Salisbury Plain but printed under the title Guilt and Sorrow which after considerable and protracted revisions was first published in its final form in 1842. The textual history of the poem is long and complex and is outlined by de Selincourt. [P.W., i. 330–334. See also P.W., i. xvi. 292–5.] The version printed in 1798 must be regarded as that part of an early version of Salisbury Plain which concerns the vagrant woman. Wordsworth was clearly very dissatisfied with the poem. He was conscious of breaking his own rule of 'looking steadily at his object' and considered that the 'diction of that Poem is often vicious, and the descriptions are often false, giving proofs of a mind inattentive to the true nature of the subject on which it was employed'. [E.Y., 328.]

In 1802 he made a number of additions and corrections to the text and again in 1805 the effect of which was to blunt the sharp edge of his attack on wealthy landowners, on soldiering and war, and social oppression. Indeed, as the poem stands in 1798 it is clearly a product of the revolutionary Wordsworth, whose passionate humanitarianism leads him to write about the injustices of a social system which oppresses the poor and turns
them into outcasts. As early as 1802, ll. 118–126, describing the British soldiers, were omitted.

‘Political disaffection shows itself in the fifth and sixth stanzas of *The Female Vagrant* as originally printed, where the legalized oppression of a poor man by his neighbour, a rich land-owner, is feelingly described. The passage was afterwards completely altered, being represented finally by the vague statement:

> But through severe mischance and cruel wrong,  
> My father’s substance fell into decay.

It is significant that another passage in the thirty stanzas originally printed as *The Female Vagrant* was also softened later into a far less bitter indictment of society. One of the main sources of evil represented in the Woman’s Story as well as in the Man’s [*v. Salisbury Plain*] is war. In the fragment printed in 1798, the soldiery after whom the poor creature has dragged herself through America are called

> the brood  
> That lap (their very nourishment) their brother’s blood.

This was omitted in all editions after 1800…. As *Guilt and Sorrow* was finally published, it contained not a word against capital punishment, but ends with the poor Sailor’s voluntary submission to the law, which avenges in his person a crime for which he has atoned, and the guilt of which has left no stain upon his soul.’ [G.M. Harper, *William Wordsworth*, 198–199.] By 1842, when *Guilt and Sorrow* was first published, Wordsworth had written fourteen sonnets in favour of capital punishment. This is a long way from his original intention which was partly to ‘expose the vices of the penal law and the calamities of war as they affect individuals.’ [E.Y, 159.]

De Selincourt maintains that a comparison of the various texts throws interesting light on the development of Wordsworth’s thought, and in his discussion of the MSS. of *Guilt and Sorrow* [see above] he demonstrates the progressive ‘softening’ of Wordsworth’s attitude towards society which transformed *Salisbury Plain* into *Guilt and Sorrow* [*P.W.,* i. 330–334]; compare *Prelude*, x. 236–330, for his state of mind at this period and his attitude towards the soldiery and the oppressed. A version of *The
Female Vagrant appears as stanzas xxii–xxxiv and xxxvii–1 of Guilt and Sorrow. When Guilt and Sorrow was first published in Poems, Chiefly of Early and Late Years (1842) it was prefaced by an Advertisement in which Wordsworth gave some account of the relation between the poem and The Female Vagrant and also some insight into the social and political attitudes behind the poem: ‘Not less than one-third of the following poem, though it has from time been altered in the expression, was published so far back as the year 1798, under the title of The Female Vagrant The extract is of such length that an apology seems to be required for reprinting it here: but it was necessary to restore it to its original position, or the rest would have been unintelligible. The whole was written before the close of the year 1794, and I will detail, rather as matter of literary biography than for any other reason, the circumstances under which it was produced.

During the latter part of the summer of 1793, having passed a month in the Isle of Wight, in view of the fleet which was then preparing for sea off Portsmouth at the commencement of the war, I left the place with melancholy forebodings. The American war was still fresh in memory. The struggle which was beginning, and which many thought would be brought to a speedy close by the irresistible arms of Great Britain being added to those of the allies, I was assured in my own mind would be of long continuance, and productive of distress and misery beyond all possible calculation. This conviction was pressed upon me by having been a witness, during a long residence in revolutionary France, of the spirit which prevailed in that country. After leaving the Isle of Wight, I spent two days in wandering on foot over Salisbury Plain, which, though cultivation was then widely spread through parts of it, had upon the whole a still more impressive appearance than it now retains.

The monuments and traces of antiquity, scattered in abundance over that region, led me unavoidably to compare what we know or guess of those remote times with certain aspects of modern society, and with calamities, principally those consequent upon war, to which, more than other classes of men, the poor are subject.’

But see, particularly, Adventures on Salisbury Plain (1795-c. 1799) in which The Female Vagrant (1798) is inserted in the text of MS. 2 at ll.262–369 and ll.424–558 with all 1798–1847 variants [S.Gill (ed.), The Salisbury Plain Poems of William Wordsworth, New
York, 1975, pp. 121ff.]. The metre is Spenserian and the diction not fully purged of conventional poeticisms. In the text we have noted only the important variants in the 1800, 1802, 1805 edns.

(p. 54) *Goody Blake, and Harry Gill, A True Story*

Based on a story from Erasmus Darwin’s *Zoönomia, or the laws of Organic Life*, 2 vols, 1794–6, which Wordsworth persuaded Joseph Cottle to borrow for him in February/March 1798. [E.Y., 199.] ‘A young farmer in Warwickshire, finding his hedges broke, and the sticks carried away during a frosty season, determined to watch for the thief. He lay many cold hours under a haystack, and at length an old woman, like a witch in a play, approached, and began to pull up the hedge; he waited till she had tied up her bundle of sticks, and was carrying them off, that he might convict her of the theft, and then springing from his concealment, he seized his prey with violent threats. After some altercation, in which her load was left upon the ground, she kneeled upon her bundle of sticks, and, raising her arms to Heaven beneath the bright moon then at the full, spoke to the farmer already shivering with cold, “Heaven grant, that thou mayest never know again the blessing to be warm.” He complained of cold all the next day, and wore an uppercoat, and in a few days another, and in a fortnight took to his bed, always saying nothing made him warm; he covered himself with many blankets, and had a sieve over his face as he lay; and from this one insane idea he kept his bed above twenty years for fear of the cold air, till at length he died.’ The name Gill was probably borrowed from Joseph Gill, a poor relative of the Pinneys who was employed as caretaker of the house and grounds at Racedown. He kept a diary which is preserved at Racedown among the Pinney Papers. The poem shows Wordsworth’s concern for the conditions of the Dorsetshire peasantry [u M.M., I 284]. ‘The peasants are miserably poor; their cottages are shapeless structures (I may almost say) of wood and clay—indeed they are not at all beyond what might be expected in savage life.’ [E.Y., 162.]

The poem was probably written between early March and 16 May 1798 [Wordsworth returned the Erasmus Darwin to Cottle May 9th, 1798 —E.Y., 218]. It belongs together with the *Ancient Mariner, The Three Graves* and *Peter Bell* to the ‘curse-cycle’ of poems and “shows Wordsworth’s growing interest in the psychology of fear” [M.M., I. 383].
Wordsworth’s attitude in this poem is characteristically Godwinian but the psychology involved may derive from Hartley. On 24th October 1795 he wrote of the Dorsetshire peasantry that the ‘country people here are wretchedly poor; ignorant and overwhelmed with every vice that usually attends ignorance in that class, viz—lying and picking and stealing, &c. &c.’ [E.Y., 154.] The association of ignorance with vice is typical Godwinianism. The poem is directed against the farmer and landowner who at that time were enjoying unusual prosperity while the peasants were suffering severe hardships. Although Goody Blake is caught stealing sticks for fuel, Wordsworth stresses the worth of her character in the long devotion to her work and shows how the moral law supports her in inflicting Harry Gill with perpetual cold. The idea of warmth and cold is clearly not only related to the search for firewood but is metaphorically linked with Wordsworth’s appeal to humanitarian principles. The poem is written in short ballad metre, which occupied Wordsworth during March, April, May 1798. Up to that period he had been engaged, almost entirely, in writing in blank verse.

When Wordsworth in the Advertisement says that the poem ‘is founded on a well-authenticated fact which happened in Warwickshire’ he has, of course, the original story of Darwin in mind. Darwin, in fact, prefaces his version of the story by asserting that he “received good information of the truth of the following case, which was published a few years ago in the newspapers’.

(p. 58) Lines written at a small distance from my House, and sent by my little Boy to the Person to whom they are addressed. (Later given the short title of To My Sister)

‘Composed in front of Alfoxden House. My little boy-messenger on this occasion was the son of Basil Montagu. The larch mentioned in the first stanza was standing when I revisited the place in May, 1841, more than forty years after…’[I.F.]

‘This lyric is probably the “singular but fine little poem of Wordsworth’s” which, says James Losh, Southey repeated to him in Bath on April 3rd. Southey may have obtained it through Cottle, to whom perhaps Coleridge sent a copy.’ [M.M., I 379.] Written in March 1798, together with Expostulation and Reply and The Tables Turned which it resembles and with which Wordsworth grouped it in 1815 under Poems of Sentiment and
Reflection. However, this poem is a contribution to the poets’ traditional celebration of spring and the delight taken in the renewal of life and the heart of man and should not be accepted as embodying any profound philosophical attitudes.

(p. 60) Simon Lee, the Old Huntsman

Written between March and May 1798, the text of this poem underwent considerable changes between 1798 and 1845, the object of which seems to have been to heighten the contrast between Simon’s youth and age. A full discussion of these changes is given in P.W., i. 413. In the Preface of 1800 he says his intention in this poem was to place the reader ‘in the way of receiving from ordinary moral sensations another and more salutary impression than we are accustomed to receive from them’.

‘This old man had been huntsman to the Squires of Alfoxden, which, at the time we occupied it, belonged to a minor. The old man’s cottage stood upon the common, a little way from the entrance to Alfoxden Park. But it had disappeared. Many other changes had taken place in the adjoining village, which I could not but notice with a regret more natural than well-considered. Improvements but rarely appear such to those who, after long intervals of time, revisit places they have had much pleasure in. It is unnecessary to add, the fact was as mentioned in the poem; and I have, after an interval of 45 years, the image of the old man as fresh before my eyes as if I had seen him yesterday. The expression when the hounds were out, “I dearly love their voices” was word for word from his own lips.’ [I.F.] This poem, written in the short ballad metre, is another of Wordsworth’s poems based on an incident taken from life, although he removes the setting from Alfoxden to Cardigan, Wales.

Legouis has drawn attention to the fact that this poem is written in opposition to Godwin’s opinion, as put forward in Political Justice and in Caleb Williams, that ‘if by gratitude we understand a sentiment of preference which I entertain towards another, upon the ground of my having been subject of his benefits, it is no part of justice or virtue.’ [Legouis, 309–10; M.M., I 382–3.] ‘Observe’, Godwin says, ‘the pauper fawning with abject vileness upon his rich benefactor, and speechless with sensations of gratitude for having received that which he ought to have claimed with an erect mien, and with a consciousness that his claim was irresistible’, (Political Justice, London, 1793, II. 800).
In this respect it should be read together with Anecdote for Fathers and The Last of the Flock.

The poem makes an interesting social comment regarding the enclosure of common land. Simon in old age is still able to live on and to cultivate a small piece of land to which he laid claim in his youth. Between 1700 and 1844, 1,765,711 acres of common land were enclosed by Act of Parliament and it is interesting to notice that Wordsworth, later in his life, succeeded in preventing the enclosure of Grasmere’s commons. (K. Maclean, Agrarian Age: A Background for Wordsworth, Yale Studies in English, 115, 1950, 20–21.)

(p. 64) Anecdote for Fathers

Written March-May 1798. The child referred to in this poem, and in Lines written at a small distance from my house, and sent by my little boy to the person to whom they are addressed, is Edward, son of Basil Montagu, but is always referred to as Basil by William and Dorothy in their letters. His father was at Cambridge with Wordsworth, and his first wife with whom he lived in Cambridge while Wordsworth was still in residence there, died in childbirth. The Wordsworths took the boy into their home first at Racedown and then at Alfoxden, and he served as a continual reminder to Wordsworth of childhood and, of course, particularly of his own childhood. ‘He is my perpetual pleasure’, Dorothy wrote [E.Y., 66], but Wordsworth complained that although ‘Basil is quite well quant au physique, mais pour le moral il-y-a bien à craindre. Among other things he lies like a little devil.’ [E.Y., 168.] Wordsworth’s intention in writing a poem on the conversation between Basil and himself was ‘to point out the injurious effects of putting inconsiderate questions to Children, and urging them to give answers upon matters either uninteresting to them, or upon which they had no decided opinion’. [L.Y., i. 486.] In 1845 Wordsworth suppressed the poem’s sub-title and substituted a quotation from Eusebius which made the poem’s intention clearer; ‘Retine vim istam, falsa enim dicam, si coges’ (Praeparatio Evangelica, VI, 5). (‘Restrain your strength, for if you compel me I will tell lies’—trans. from the Greek of Porphyry, being ‘the Delphian oracle’s rebuke to those who tried to exhort an answer by force’), T. Hutchinson (1898).

The poem consciously refutes Godwin’s belief that lying is unnatural to children and is only the product of an evil social system. Curiously, Edward’s father was an enthusiastic believer
in Godwinianism who, at one time, seriously considered giving up his practice in law because he considered the law a social evil.

'This was suggested in front of Alfoxden. The Boy was a son of my friend Basil Montagu, who had been two or three years under our care. The name of Kilve is from a village on the Bristol Channel, about a mile from Alfoxden; and the name of Liswyn Farm was taken from a beautiful spot on the Wye...'[I.F.]

(p. 66) We are Seven

'Written at Alfoxden in the spring of 1798, under circumstances somewhat remarkable. The little girl who is the heroine I met within the area of Goodrich Castle in the year 1793. Having left the Isle of Wight and crossed Salisbury Plain..... I proceeded by Bristol up the Wye, and so on to N. Wales, to the Vale of Clwydd, where I spent my summer under the roof of the father of my friend, Robert Jones..... I composed it while walking in the grove at Alfoxden. My friends will not deem it too trifling to relate that while walking to and fro I composed the last stanza first, having begun with the last line. When it was all but finished, I came in and recited it to Mr. Coleridge and my Sister, and said, "A prefatory stanza must be added, and I should sit down to our little tea-meal with greater pleasure if my task were finished." I mentioned in substance what I wished to be expressed, and Coleridge immediately threw off the stanza thus:

A little child, dear brother Jem,—

I objected to the rhyme, "dear brother Jem", as being ludicrous, but we all enjoyed the joke of hitching-in our friend, James Tobin's name, who was familiarly called Jem..... I have only to add that in the spring of 1841 I revisited Goodrich Castle, not having seen that part of the Wye since I met the little Girl there in 1793. It would have given me greater pleasure to have found in the neighbouring hamlet traces of one who had interested me so much; but that was impossible, as, unfortunately, I did not even know her name...'[I.F.]

The visit which Wordsworth here describes was extremely productive— not only this poem but also Guilt and Sorrow (see Female Vagrant), Peter Bell and Tintern Abbey have their origin in the incidents and impressions of this journey from the Isle of Wight into N.Wales. It is of this period that he talks of having an 'appetite' for Nature (u Tintern Abbey and Prel. xi (1805), ll. 99--
Wordsworth retained Coleridge’s first stanza in publishing the poem—changing ‘little child’ to ‘simple child’—though later in 1815 he dropped out ‘dear brother Jem’ entirely and left the first line incomplete as ‘A simple child…’

Wordsworth stated that his intention in this poem was to illustrate the perplexity and obscurity which in childhood attend our notion of death, or rather our utter inability to admit that notion, in which case Coleridge’s contribution does not help to introduce this idea. Wordsworth himself recalled that, ‘Nothing was more difficult for me in childhood than to admit the notion of death as a state applicable to my own being. I have said elsewhere

A simple child,
That lightly draws its breath,
And feels its life in every limb,
What should it know of death! —

…I was often unable to think of external things as having external existence, and I communed with all that I saw as something not apart from, but inherent in, my own immaterial nature. Many times while going to school have I grasped at a wall or tree to recall myself from this abyss of idealism to the reality. At that time I was afraid of such processes.’ [I.F. Note on Ode. Intimations of Immortality from Recollections of Early Childhood. Lines 117–123 of the address to the child in that Ode (1807) are particularly relevant:

Thou, over whom thy Immortality
Broods like the Day, a Master o’er a Slave,
A Presence which is not to be put by;
To whom the grave
Is but a lonely bed without the sense or sight
Of day or the warm light,
A place of thought where we in waiting lie;]

(p. 69) Lines written in early Spring

Written in the spring, 1798. ‘Actually composed while I was sitting by the side of the brook that runs down the Comb, in which stands the village of Alford, through the grounds of Alfoxden. It was a chosen resort of mine. The brook fell down a
sloping rock so as to make a waterfall considerable for that country, and across the pool below had fallen a tree, an ash, if I rightly remember, from which rose perpendicularly boughs in search of the light intercepted by the deep shade above. The boughs bore leaves of green that for want of sunshine had faded into almost lily-white; and from the underside of this natural sylvan bridge depended long and beautiful tresses of ivy which waved gently in the breeze that might poetically speaking be called the breath of the waterfall. The motion varied of course in proportion to the power of water in the brook.' [I.F.]

The theme of this lyric is similar to that of the other lyrics—*Lines Written at a Small Distance from my House, Expostulation and Reply* and *The Tables Turned*—which convey a feeling of spontaneous love and joy at the approach of spring and the renewal of nature. Yet in this lyric a note of sadness is introduced, ‘while the same faith is testified with even greater vehemence in the joy and “pleasure” of living Nature, a shadow lies over the spring landscape’. [M.M., I 381.]

(p. 70) *The Thorn*

Written March-May 1798. No significant changes were made in the text of this poem until 1820, that is, until after Coleridge had singled the poem out for criticism. [Biog. Lit., ii. 49ff.]

The Advertisement of 1798 points out that the poem, ‘as the reader will soon discover, is not supposed to be spoken in the author’s own person: the character of the loquacious narrator will sufficiently shew itself in the course of the story’. In 1800 this note is considerably expanded: ‘This Poem ought to have been preceded by an introductory Poem, which I have been prevented from writing by never having felt myself in a mood when it was probable that I should write it well. —The character which I have here introduced speaking is sufficiently common. The Reader will perhaps have a general notion of it, if he has ever known a man, a Captain of a small trading vessel for example, who being past the middle age of life, had retired upon an annuity or small independent income to some village or country town of which he was not a native, or in which he had not been accustomed to live. Such men having little to do become credulous and talkative from indolence; and from the same cause, and other pre-disposing causes by which it is probable that such men may have been affected, they are prone to superstition. On which account it appeared to me proper to select a character like this to exhibit
some of the general laws by which superstition acts upon the mind. Superstitious men are almost always men of slow faculties and deep feelings; their minds are not loose, but adhesive; they have a reasonable share of imagination, by which word I mean the faculty which produces impressive effects out of simple elements; but they are utterly destitute of fancy, the power by which pleasure and surprize are excited by sudden varieties of situation and an accumulated imagery.

‘It was my wish in this poem to show the manner in which such men cleave to the same ideas; and to follow the turns of passion, always different, yet not palpably different, by which their conversation is swayed. I had two objects to attain; first, to represent a picture which should not be unimpressive, yet consistent with the character that should describe it; secondly, while I adhered to the style in which such persons describe, to take care that words, which in their minds are impregnated with passion, should likewise convey passion to Readers who are not accustomed to sympathize with men feeling in that manner or using such language. It seemed to me that this might be done by calling in the assistance of Lyrical and rapid Metre. It was necessary that the Poem, to be natural, should in reality move slowly; yet I hoped that, by the aid of the metre, to those who should at all enter into the spirit of the Poem, it would appear to move quickly. The Reader will have the kindness to excuse this note, as I am sensible that an introductory Poem is necessary to give this Poem its full effect.

‘Upon this occasion I will request permission to add a few words closely connected with “The Thorn” and many other Poems in these volumes. There is a numerous class of readers who imagine that the same words cannot be repeated without tautology: this is a great error: virtual tautology is much oftener produced by using different words when the meaning is exactly the same. Words, a Poet’s words more particularly, ought to be weighed in the balance of feeling, and not measured by the space which they occupy upon paper. For the Reader cannot be too often reminded that Poetry is passion: it is the history or science of feelings; now every man must know that an attempt is rarely made to communicate impassioned feelings without something of an accompanying consciousness of the inadequateness of our own powers or the deficiencies of language. During such efforts there will be a craving in the mind, and as long as it is unsatisfied
the speaker will cling to the same words, or words of the same character. There are also various other reasons why repetition and apparent tautology are frequent beauties of the highest kind. Among the chief of these reasons is the interest which the mind attaches to words, not only as symbols of the passion, but as things, active and efficient, which are of themselves part of the passion. And further, from a spirit of fondness, exultation, and gratitude, the mind luxuriates in the repetition of words which appear successfully to communicate its feelings. The truth of these remarks might be shown by innumerable passages from the Bible, and from the impassioned poetry of every nation. “Awake, awake Deborah” [Relevant passages quoted] Judges, chap. 5th Verses 12th, 27th, and part of 28th.—See also the whole of that tumultuous and wonderful Poem.’

Coleridge objected that, ‘it is not possible to imitate truly a dull and garrulous discorser, without repeating the effects of dullness and garrulity. However this may be, I dare assert, that the parts (and these form the far larger portion of the whole) which might as well or still better have proceeded from the poet’s own imagination, and have been spoken in his own character, are those which have given, and which will continue to give, universal delight; and that the passages exclusively appropriate to the supposed narrator…are felt by many unprejudiced and unsophisticated hearts, as sudden and unpleasant sinkings from the height to which the poet had previously lifted them, and to which he again re-elevates both himself and his reader.’ [Biog. Lit, ii. 49–52; see also subsequent discussion, pp. 52–57, of Wordsworth’s theory of language as expressed in his note to The Thorn and in his Preface.]

Helen Darbishire, commenting on the poem, concludes that it ‘is a great and remarkable poem. It is easy to see the elements out of which it was made: the “elementary feelings” or “essential passions of the heart”, love of maid for man, agony at the lover’s desertion, love of mother for child, misery of the distraught mind which seeks relief in the wild or calm companionship of Nature. But the kind and quality, the deeper implications of the poetry composed from these elements, are not so easy to see. The Thorn has its weak places, its crudenesses, its tiresome redundancies—the old sea-captain’s rambling style stumbles at times on the edge of bathos, perhaps tips over in “I’ve measured it from side to side, ’Tis three feet long and two feet wide”—but the poem
remains alive, powerful, able to capture the imagination. Its triumph is in its fusion of the elements, the human passion and the natural scene, so that each expresses itself in and through the other: the misery and love of the woman, and the bleakness yet beauty of the tree, pond and mound. We see the wild desolate scene through the human passion, whilst the stark human passions are lifted into permanence, even beauty, by the setting of earth, air, and sky.’ [H.D., 43–44.]

This poem ‘is the only poem in the Lyrical Ballads which owes its origin to a “natural object” seen in a moment of excitement’. [M.M., I 386.] Wordsworth recalls its composition thus; ‘1798. Arose out of my observing, on the ridge of Quantock Hill, on a Stormy day, a thorn which I had often passed in calm and bright weather without noticing it. I said to myself, “Cannot I by some invention do as much to make this Thorn permanently an impressive object as the storm has made it to my eyes at this moment?” I began the poem accordingly, and composed it with great rapidity…’ [I.F., see also D.W., i. 13, March 19, 1798.]

The poem has also a literary source. ‘William Taylor’s version of Bürger’s ballad Das Pfarrer’s Tochter von Taubenheim printed under the title of The Lass of Fair Wone in the Monthly Magazine, 1796, has been suggested by Barron Field and others as a source of The Thorn. Hutchinson points to a more probable source in a Scots ballad printed in Johnson’s Musical Museum, 1787–1803.’ [P.W., ii. 514.] Helen Darbishire says, ‘In an early notebook of Wordsworth’s I find the following lines copied out from a ballad in Hurd’s [sic] Ancient and Modern Scottish Songs:

Ah there she’s lean’d her back to a thorn
O and alas-a-day, O and alas-a-day
And there she has her baby born.
Ten thousand times good-night and be wi’ thee.
She has houked a grave ayont the sun,
O and alas-a-day, O and alas-a-day
And there she has buried the sweet babe in.
Ten thousand times good-night and be wi’ thee.’ [H.D., 37–8]

1. 116 [Martha Ray]. Martha Ray, Basil Montagu’s mother, was the mistress of Lord Sandwich who in 1779 was murdered by a jealous lover. The murder trial received considerable publicity at
the time. It is completely inexplicable why Wordsworth should have chosen the name of his friend’s unfortunate mother to be the heroine of the poem.

(p. 78) The Last of the Flock

Written between March and May 1798. Underwent little revision although in 1800 the shepherd’s family was reduced from ten children (1. 41) to six.

‘The incident occurred in the village of Holford, close by Alfoxden’ [I.F.], The poem illustrates Wordsworth’s disagreement with the fundamental doctrine of Godwinian belief that property is the root of all evil. The man who holds with Godwin that property is the cause of every vice and the source of all the misery of the poor is naturally astonished to find that this so-called evil, the offspring of human institutions, is a vigorous instinct closely interwoven with the noblest feelings. It represents familiar and dearly-loved fields, a hereditary cottage, and flocks every animal of which has its own name.’ [v. P.W., ii. 476.]

Wordsworth is also pointing out the weakness of a system of parish relief which prevented a man from receiving any benefits while he still owned property, however little.

1. 14. ‘You ask how the Muses came to say, “Weep in the public roads alone.” Did you ever attend an execution? Funerals, alas! we have all attended, and most of us must have seen then weeping in the public roads on one or both of these occasions…. But for my own part, notwithstanding what has here been said in verse, I never in my whole life saw a man weep alone in the roads; but a friend of mine did see this poor man weeping alone, with the Lamb, the last of his flock, in his arms.’ [L.Y. VI. iii. 292]

(p. 82) The Dungeon

This poem, together with The Foster-Mother’s Tale, was taken from Coleridge’s tragedy Osorio [u Note to The Foster-Mother’s Tale]. It was first published in 1798 and reprinted in 1800. It was omitted in 1802 and 1805 and was first collected (as a separate poem) in the 1893 edition of Coleridge’s Poems.

The poem stands on its own, but as part of Osorio was a soliloquy spoken by the hero, Albert, when thrown into prison by the Inquisition.

(p. 83) The Mad Mother
In 1815 this poem was given the title *Her Eyes Are Wild*. Written in the spring of 1798. ‘Alfoxden, 1798. The subject was reported to me by a lady of Bristol who had seen the poor creature.’ [I.F.]

Mary Moorman notices ‘a similarity between the language of some of the stanzas…and that of Annette’s letters’. She quotes, as an example, ‘Behold your wife…sorrow has altered her much. Do you know her? If her features are altered...her heart is unchanged, &c.’ She further points out that although Wordsworth never received this particular letter, ‘there were others doubtless much the same in tone’. [Cf. E.Legouis, *William Wordsworth and Annette Vallon*, 1922, 128; M.M., I 385.]

In 1815 Wordsworth acknowledged a general debt to Percy’s *Reliques* in his essay supplementary to the Preface to the *Lyrical Ballads*, ‘I do not think there is an able writer of the present day who would not be proud to acknowledge his debt to the *Reliques*. I am happy to make a public avowal of my own.’ [Cf. Scottish ballad *Lady Anne Bothwell’s Lament*.]

Writing to Godwin, Coleridge said that he would rather have written ‘The Mad Mother’ than ‘the works of all the Bolingbrokes & Sheridans, and their Brother Meteors, that have *exhaled* from the Morasses of human depravity since the loss of Paradise.’ [C. Letters. I. 652.] In *Biographia Literaria* he singles the poem out, together with “The Affliction of Margaret —— of ——’, as an example of ‘meditative pathos’ in which ‘Wordsworth appears to me without a compeer’. He quotes ll. 31–40 and 61–70 for their pathos and for the transition in the lines

The breeze I see is in the tree;
It comes to cool my babe and me (ll. 39–40)

‘so expressive’, he thought, ‘of that deranged state, in which from the increased sensibility the sufferer’s attention is abruptly drawn off by every trifle, and in the same instant plucked back again by the one despotic thought, and bringing home with it, by the blending, *fusing* power of Imagination and Passion, the alien object to which it had been so abruptly diverted, no longer an alien but an ally and an inmate’. [Biog. Lit., ii. 150–51.] He had used these same two lines in May-June 1804 to illustrate ‘the disjunction conjunctive of the sudden image *seized* on from external Contingents by Passion & Imagination (which is Passion eagle-eyed)’. It is, he thought, the ‘property and prerogative of
continuous minds of the highest order, & the conjunction
of Wit. [C. Notebooks, II, 2112.]

In 1836 Wordsworth explained line 10, ‘And it was in the
English tongue; ‘though she came from far, English was her
native tongue—which shows her either to be of these Islands, or
a North American. On the latter Supposition, while the distance
removes her from us, the fact of her speaking our language
brings us at once into close sympathy with her.’ [L.Y., II. 812 .]

The Idiot Boy

‘Alfoxden, 1798. The last stanza—“The Cocks did crow to-
whoo, to-whoo, And the sun did shine so cold”—was the
foundation of the whole. The words were reported to me by my
dear friend, Thomas Poole; but I have since heard the same
repeated of other Idiots. Let me add that this long poem was
composed in the groves of Alfoxden, almost extempore; not a
word, I believe, being corrected, though one stanza was omitted.
I mention this in gratitude to those happy moments, for, in truth,
I never wrote anything with so much glee.’ [I.F.] This poem
remained one of Wordsworth’s favourites. He was particularly
hurt by Robert Southey’s attack on it in the Critical Review,
October 1798, in which Southey says, ‘Of these experimental
poems [he is reviewing the 1798] the most important is the
Idiot Boy…. No tale less deserved the labour that appears to have been
bestowed upon this. It resembles a Flemish picture in the
worthlessness of its design and the excellence of its execution.
From Flemish artists we are satisfied with such pieces: who
would not have lamented, if Corregio or Rafaele has wasted
their talents in painting Dutch boors or the humours of a Flemish
wake?’ [v. Appendix C.] Wordsworth objected that Southey knew
full well ‘that I published those poems for money and money
alone. He knew that money was of importance to me. If he could
not conscientiously have spoken differently of the volume, he
ought to have declined the task of reviewing it… I care little for
the praise of any other professional critic, but as it may help me
to pudding….’ [E.Y., 267–68.]

Later, Coleridge also attacked The Idiot Boy, ‘In the Idiot Boy,
indeed, the mother’s character is not so much a real and native
product of a “situation where the essential passions of the heart
find a better soil, in which they can attain their maturity and
speak a plainer and more emphatic language”, as it is an
impersonation of an instinct abandoned by judgement. Hence the
two following charges seem to me not wholly groundless: at least, they are the only plausible objections, which I have heard to that fine poem. The one is, that the author has not, in the poem itself, taken sufficient care to preclude from the reader’s fancy the disgusting images of ordinary morbid idiocy, which yet it was by no means his intention to represent. He has even by the “burr, burr, burr”, uncounteracted by any preceding description of the boy’s beauty, assisted in recalling them. The other is, that the idiocy of the boy is so evenly balanced by the folly of the mother, as to present to the general reader rather a laughable burlesque on the blindness of anile dotage, than an analytic display of maternal affection in its ordinary workings.’ [Biog. Lit., ii. 48–9.]

As de Selincourt points out, the ‘importance that Wordsworth attached to the Idiot Boy is shown by the fact that in the L.B. 1800 it and the Ancient Mariner are the only poems which are given a separate title-page, and that in The Prelude, where he recounts the companionship with Coleridge “on Quantock’s grassy hills” he only mentions it and The Thorn of his own and The Ancient Mariner and Christabel of Coleridge.’ [P.W., ii. 478.] In 1802, in reply to a letter from the seventeen-year-old John Wilson [v. Appendix C] Wordsworth undertook a long and extremely valuable defence of his poem [u Introduction]. Mary Jacobus has drawn attention to the mock-heroic character of the poem and argues that it parodies ballads such as Gottfried Bürger’s Lenore.


(p. 102) Lines Written Near Richmond, Upon the Thames, At Evening

‘The title [Lines written when sailing in a boat at evening] is scarcely correct. It was during a solitary walk on the Banks of the Cam that I was first struck with this appearance, and applied it to my own feelings in the manner here expressed, changing the scene to the Thames, near Windsor. This, and the three stanzas of the following poem, Remembrance of Collins, formed one piece; but, upon the recommendation of Coleridge, the three last stanzas were separated from the other.’ [I.F.]

The poem was possibly written between 1788 and 1791, certainly before 29 March 1797.

1.30. The lines from Collins’ Ode on the Death of Thomson are:
Remembrance oft shall haunt the shore
When Thames in summer wreaths is drest,
And oft suspend the dashing oar
To bid his gentle spirit rest.

James Thomson died at Richmond on 27 August 1748 and is buried in the parish church there.

(p. 104) *Expostulation and Reply*

‘This poem is a favourite among the Quakers, as I have learnt on many occasions. It was composed in front of the house at Alfoxden in the spring of 1798.’ [I.F.]

The *Advertisement* gives the poem’s setting as arising ‘out of conversation with a friend who was somewhat unreasonably attached to modern books of moral philosophy’.

The friend was William Hazlitt who visited Wordsworth at Alfoxden in May–June 1798. Hazlitt was engaged, at that time, in writing his *Essay on the Principles of Human Action*. In the essay, *On my First Acquaintance with Poets* he tells how he ‘got into a metaphysical argument with Wordsworth while Coleridge was explaining the different notes of the nightingale to his sister, in which we neither of us succeeded in making ourselves perfectly clear and intelligible’.

‘No candid student of Wordsworth can suppose that he is here declaring himself an enemy to literature’, but he did consider that some philosophers, particularly in this instance, Godwin, ‘ignored or even disapproved of what he called the ‘primary passions’ of men—affection, pity, gratitude, kindness’. [M.M., I 381.]

See *Lines written in Early Spring* and Note.

Matthew—although the poem arose out of a conversation with Hazlitt, the person addressed is a composite figure who also owes something to William Taylor, his Hawkshead schoolmaster. ‘This [Matthew] and other poems connected with Matthew’, Wordsworth said, ‘would not gain by a literal detail of facts. Like the Wanderer in *The Excursion*, this School-master was made up of several both of his class and men of other occupations.’ [I.F.]

(p. 105) *The Tables Turned; An Evening Scene, On the Same Subject*
See Note to previous poem to which this must be regarded as a companion piece.

1.10. ‘woodland linnet’- ‘Wordsworth knew little about the names and species of birds. Linnets are not “woodland” birds at all, and they are here merely symbols of all the smaller singers who inhabit trees and bushes.’ [M.M., I 380.]

Il.25–8. Later, however, Wordsworth himself objected that, ‘Some are of opinion that the habit of analysing, decomposing, and anatomizing is inevitably unfavourable to the perception of beauty. People are led into this mistake by over-looking the fact that such processes being to a certain extent within the reach of a limited intellect, we are apt to ascribe to them that insensibility of which they are in truth the effect and not the cause. Admiration and love, to which all knowledge truly vital must tend, are felt by men of real genius in proportion as their discoveries in natural Philosophy are enlarged; and the beauty in form of a plant or an animal is not made less but more apparent as a whole by more accurate insight into its constituent properties and powers. A Savant who is not also a Poet in soul and a religionist in heart is a feeble and unhappy Creature.’ [I.F. ‘This Lawn a carpet all alive.’] (p. 106) Old Man Travelling; Animal Tranquillity and Decay, A Sketch

Written, probably, 1796–1797. ‘If I recollect right these verses were an overflowing from The Old Cumberland Beggar’. [I.F.] ‘The present poem was split off [from The Old Cumberland Beggar] as a study of the inward state of the Old Man expressed in his outward form: “resigned to quietness” in the margin of Il. 7–8 [in the MS.] expresses the spiritual core of it’. [P.W., iv. 448.] The poem was probably begun as early as 1795.

After, 1805, Il. 15–20 were suppressed and in 1800 the sub-title became the heading and the words Old Man Travelling were discarded.

‘Thinking as always in terms of association and memory, Wordsworth saw the regular appearances of the beggar on his rounds as a reminder of acts of kindness, of all kinds, not just of those to the beggar himself. His round thus served to keep all the human sympathies alive. The beggar indeed performed somewhat the same associative function as nature, being, however, just reminder and not, as nature, symbol and reminder

(p. 108) *The Complaint of a Forsaken Indian Woman*

‘Written in Alfoxden in 1798, where I read Hearne’s Journey with deep interest. It was composed for the volume of *Lyrical Ballads* [I.F.] Wordsworth refers to this poem in the Preface of 1800 as an example of the attempt ‘to follow the fluxes and refluxes of the mind when agitated by the great and simple affections of our nature’ and to picture the mind in extremity, ‘the last struggles of a human being, at the approach of death, cleaving in solitude to life and society’.

Hearne’s Journey to which Wordsworth refers is *A Journey from Prince of Wales’s Fort in Hudson’s Bay, to the Northern Ocean. Undertaken by Order of the Hudson’s Bay Company for the Discovery of Copper Mines, A North West Passage, &c. In the Years 1769, 1770, 1771, & 1772*. By Samuel Hearne London, 1795. Chapter VII, 202–3, September 1771 describes the leaving behind of one of the Indian’s wives who ‘came up with us three several times, after having been left in the manner described. At length, poor creature! she dropt behind, and no one attempted to go back in search of her.’ In 1802 this poem was printed in Vol. II.

(p. 111) *The Convict*

Probably written in 1796, this poem is clearly an expression of Wordsworth’s Godwinian beliefs. It was first published in the *Morning Post*, 14th December 1797, where it is signed ‘Mortimer.’ ‘“Mortimer” was the name given to Marmaduke in the more recent manuscripts of *The Borderers*, and no doubt, with this play much in mind, Wordsworth affixed it to this poem, because he also was, or had been, a “Mortimer” in his self-deception and absorption in false doctrines.’ [M.M., I 351.] ‘Mortimer’ was a pseudonym sometimes used by Coleridge, and it has been suggested that Coleridge ‘sent it to the paper in his own name to appease the editorial craving for “copy”’, [v. *Athenaeum*, 27th August 1904, and Littledale, 221.]

Legouis notes that in this ‘thoroughly Godwinian poem’, Wordsworth dramatized ‘the philosopher’s favourite idea for the reformation of the penal laws’—i.e. transportation as a substitute for capital punishment. Godwin insisted that colonists (i.e. transported felons) are men for whom we ought to feel no sentiments but those of kindness and compassion and this is echoed in the last stanza. [Legouis, 309, fn.]
The poem was not reprinted after 1798, Coleridge’s *Love* being given its place in the 1800 edition.

(p. 113) *Lines written a few miles above Tintern Abbey, on Revisiting the Banks of the Wye during a Tour, July 13, 1798*

‘July 1798. No poem of mine was composed under circumstances more pleasant for me to remember than this. I began it upon leaving Tintern, after crossing the Wye, and concluded it just as I was entering Bristol in the evening, after a ramble of 4 or 5 days, with my sister. Not a line of it was altered, and not any part of it written down till I reached Bristol. It was published almost immediately after....’ [I.F.]

De Selincourt quotes from a letter to the Rev. T.S.Howson from the Duke of Argyle written in September 1848: ‘He told us he had written *Tintern Abbey* in 1798, taking four days to compose it, the last 20 lines or so being composed as he walked down the hill from Clifton to Bristol.’ [P.W., ii. 517.]

In 1800 Wordsworth added the following note: ‘I have not ventured to call this Poem an Ode; but it was written with a hope that in the transitions, and the impassioned music of the versification, would be found the principal requisites of that species of composition.’

Wordsworth’s first visit to Tintern Abbey was in 1793, but see Note to *The Female Vagrant*.

Mary Moorman points out several interesting matters connected with Wordsworth’s visit to Tintern Abbey. ‘In the first place, the tour was one of the most energetic undertaken by William and Dorothy together. In three days they walked over fifty miles—a feat which for Dorothy must have required some endurance. Further, they seem to have taken with them Gilpin’s *Tour of the Wye*, which had first appeared in 1771 and had often been reprinted. The opening lines of the poem, describing the Wye scenery, owe a distinct though unobtrusive debt to Gilpin. And, for all the “impassioned music of the versification”, which in Wordsworth’s view made it comparable to an Ode, *Tintern Abbey* is, at least in its beginning, a “landscape-poem” in the eighteenth-century tradition. Finally, the title of the poem is not quite accurate. If it was composed, as Wordsworth says, “upon leaving Tintern Abbey” on July 13th, the scene of its composition must have been not “above” Tintern Abbey, but below it. In fact, it must have been composed largely on board the “small vessel” which took them back to Bristol. Much more probably, however,
it was begun on the first day at Tintern when they were walking up the river towards Monmouth, and simply completed on the 13th.

It is a curious fact that nowhere in the poem does Wordsworth mention Tintern Abbey itself, though we know that he must have admired it, for they returned from Chepstow to spend a second night there. Gilpin describes its condition; the grass in the ruins was kept mown, but it was a dwelling-place of beggars and the wretchedly poor. The river was then full of shipping, carrying coal and timber from the Forest of Dean. This also Wordsworth does not mention, though he does notice, in a footnote to the phrase "a soft, inland murmur", the change from tidal to non-tidal waters not far above Tintern.' [M.M., I 402–3.]

The following passage in Gilpin should be compared with Tintern Abbey, ll. 8–18. ‘Many of the furnaces, on the banks of the river, consume charcoal, which is manufactured on the spot; and the smoke, which is frequently seen issuing from the sides of the hills; and spreading its thin veil over a part of them, beautifully breaks their lines, and unites them with the sky.’ Gilpin also mentions the absence of arable land and the predominance of wood and pasture.

Helen Darbishire considers that ‘This is the first poem in which Wordsworth’s genius finds full expression: the blank verse, low-toned and familiar, yet impassioned, moves with a sureness and inevitable ease from phase to phase of his mood. It has the quiet pulse, suggesting “central peace”, which is felt under all his great poetry.’ [H.D., 59–60.]

S.Gill observes that: ‘As all of Wordsworth’s greatest autobiographical poems do, Tintern Abbey seizes imperiously on the “facts”, to forge a poetic fiction with which to convey essential truth.’ He expresses surprise ‘that he should present 1793 as the time when nature was “all in all” and 1798 as the moment when he felt most at one with the cause of humanity, for in 1793 Wordsworth had been a radical patriot, his heart given to the people and to the French cause, whereas in 1798 he was hymning Nature’s power “to feed this mind of ours,/In wise passiveness”’. [William Wordsworth, A Life, 1989, 152–154.]

1.107. Cf. Young’s Night Thoughts, vi. 424:

And half-create the wondrous world they see.
(p. 119) *Love*

This poem first appeared (with four preliminary and three concluding stanzas) in the *Morning Post* for the 21st December 1799, under the title *Introduction to the Tale of the Dark Ladie*, and was then published in a shorter form with the title *Love* in the *Lyrical Ballads* of 1800, 1802 and 1805. It was included in *Sibylline Leaves* in 1828. The four opening and three concluding stanzas were republished in *Literary Remains*, 1836. The *Dark Ladie* itself is a fragment of ballad which Coleridge never finished but which he tells us in *Biographia Literaria* [ii. 7] he was preparing at about the time he wrote the *Ancient Mariner*. This ballad fragment belongs to the Stowey period, though Coleridge may have revised it during or after his stay in Germany, [v. C. *Notebooks*, i. 343n.] But *Love*, though a companion piece, probably belongs to the time when Coleridge visited Sockburn-on-Tees at the end of 1799 and is inspired by his love for Sara Hutchinson. Thomas and George Hutchinson farmed at Sockburn where they lived with their sisters Mary (to become Wordsworth’s wife), Sara, and Joanna. The family were old friends of Wordsworth and his sister, [v. Richard Holmes, *Coleridge: Early Visions*, 250–2.] In the first draft of *Love* [v. British Museum Add. MSS. 27,902] lines 13–16 are as follows:

She lean’d against a grey stone rudely carv’d,
The statue of an arméd Knight:
She lean’d in melancholy mood
Amid the lingering light.

In Sockburn church, now in ruins, there is a recumbent statue of a knight and in a field close to the nearby house where the Hutchinsons lived there is a ‘Grey-Stone’ which commemorates the slaying by this knight of a monstrous wyvern, ‘the Sockburn worme’. It may be that the lines above were suggested by the statue and the stone [v. *P.of C.*].

Charles James Fox, to whom Wordsworth had sent a copy of the 1800 edition of *Lyrical Ballads*, declared that *Love*, whose authorship he did not know, was ‘the most beautiful poem in the language’.

The letter with which Coleridge sent his poem to the *Morning Post* is reprinted in *C. Letters*, i. 550–1.

(p. 127) *Hart-Leap Well*
Composed January or February 1800.

‘Town-End. 1800. Grasmere. The first eight stanzas were composed extempore one winter evening in the cottage; when, after having tired myself with labouring at an awkward passage in “The Brothers”, I started with a sudden impulse to this to get rid of the other, and finished it in a day or two. My sister and I had past the place a few weeks before in our wild winter journey from Sockburn on the banks of the Tees to Grasmere. A peasant whom we met near the spot told us the story so far as concerned the name of the well, and the hart, and pointed out the stones. Both the stones and the well are objects that may easily be missed; the tradition by this time may be extinct in the neighbourhood: the man who related it to us was very old.’ [I.F.]

The three-day journey from Sockburn to Grasmere referred to in this Note was begun on 17th December 1799 and is described in a letter from Wordsworth to Coleridge. [E.Y., 273.] The visionary experience described in this poem also finds its way into lines which Wordsworth intended to incorporate in The Recluse but never did. [v. P.W., ii. 514–5.]

(p. 134) There was a Boy ye knew him well, ye Cliffs

Written in Germany October-December 1798. First published in 1800 and later in Poems [1815] where it is the first poem under the heading ‘Poems of the Imagination’ and where it is referred to in the Preface: ‘I have begun with one of the earliest processes of Nature in the development of this faculty. Guided by one of my own primary consciousnesses, I have represented a commutation and transfer of internal feelings, co-operating with external accidents to plant, for immortality, images of sound and sight, in the celestial soil of the Imagination. The Boy, there introduced, is listening, with something of a feverish and restless anxiety, for the recurrence of the riotous sounds which he had previously excited; and, at the moment when the intenseness of his mind is beginning to remit, he is surprised into a perception of the solemn and tranquillizing images which the Poem describes.’

The poem was later incorporated in The Prelude, v. 389–422 [1805].

l. 9–10 ‘This practice of making an instrument of their own fingers is known to most boys, though some are more skilful at it than others.’ [I.F.]
(p. 135) *The Brothers*

This poem was begun in December 1799.

‘1800. This poem was composed in a grove at the north-eastern end of Grasmere Lake, which grove was in a great measure destroyed by turning the high-road along the side of the water. The few trees that are left were spared at my intercession. The poem arose out of the fact, mentioned to me at Ennerdale, that a shepherd had fallen asleep upon the top of the rock called The Pillar, and perished as here described, his staff being left midway on the rock.’ [I.F.]

Coleridge described *The Brothers* as ‘that model of English pastoral, which I have never yet read with unclouded eye’. [Biog. Lit, ii. 80n.]

Mary Moorman describes the poem as ‘a simple tale, told in very restrained language, yet it possesses dramatic pathos of a high order’. She suggests that Wordsworth was thinking of his own brother John who arrived while the poem was being written. ‘There is in *The Brothers* no concern with the derangement produced by grief as in *The Thorn* and the *Mad Mother*: we have moved away from the moonlight world of *The Idiot Boy* and *Peter Bell* into the light of common day.’ ‘The same normality’, she adds, ‘characterizes all the poetry of this year.’ [M.M., I 479–480.]

1. 62fn. Calenture: a disease incident to sailors within the tropics, characterized by delirium in which the patient, it is said, fancies the sea to be green fields, and desires to leap into it. (OED. 1.) [William Gilbert, *The Hurricane: A Theosophical and Western Eclogue*, Bristol, 1796.]

1. 141. “There were two Springs which bubbled side by side,” The impressive circumstance here described, actually took place some years ago in this country, upon an eminence called Kidstow Pike, one of the highest of the mountains that surround Haweswater. The summit of the pike was stricken by lightning; and every trace of one of the fountains disappeared, while the other continued to flow as before. [Wordsworth’s Note 1800.]

1. 183. “The thought of death sits easy on the man,” &c. ‘There is not any thing more worthy of remark in the manners of the inhabitants of these mountains, than the tranquillity, I might say indifference, with which they think and talk upon the subject of death. Some of the country church-yards, as here described, do not contain a single tombstone, and most of them have a very small number.’ [Wordsworth’s Note 1800.]
(p. 151) *Ellen Irwin, or the Braes of Kirtle*
Written between October 1798 and July 1800, probably in Germany.

‘It may be worth while to observe that as there are Scotch Poems on the subject in the simple ballad strain, I thought it would be both presumptuous and superfluous to attempt treating it in the same way; and, accordingly, I chose a construction of stanza quite new in our language; in fact the same as that of Bürger’s *Leonora*, except that the first and third line do not, in my stanzas, rhyme. At the outset I threw out a classical image to prepare the reader for the style in which I meant to treat the story, and so to preclude all comparison.’ [I.F.] Wordsworth bought a copy of Percy’s *Reliques* in Hamburg on his way to Goslar: ‘William called at Klopstock’s to inquire the way into Saxony. Bought Burgher’s poems, the price 6 marks... Bought Percy’s ancient poetry, 14 marks.’ [D.W. I. 31.]

(p. 153) *Strange Fits of passion I have known*

Written in Germany 1799. Interesting versions of this poem and the poem below are to be found in E.Y. 235–238.

(p. 154) *Song, She dwelt among th’ untrodden ways*

Written in Germany 1799. There is a river Dove in Derbyshire, in Yorkshire and in Westmorland and it is not clear which river Wordsworth had in mind. However, it is possible that the district of Dovedale, Westmorland, below Dove Crag may be indicated. See *Prelude*, VI. 191–5 and *Prelude* (1805) VIII. 229–231—a passage originally intended for

‘Michael’—
Renew’d their search begun where from Dove Crag,
Ill home for bird so gentle, they look’d down
On Deep-dale Head, and Brothers-water...


(p. 154) *A Slumber did my spirit seal*

Written in Germany 1799.

‘Some months ago Wordsworth transmitted to me a most sublime Epitaph/whether it had any reality, I cannot say. Most probably, in some gloomier moment he had fancied the moment in which his Sister might die.’ In a copy sent to Poole, he gives
'Epitaph' as the title and 'Mov'd instead of 'Roll'd'. [C. Letters, I. 479–80.]

The identity of Lucy has been the subject of extensive discussion and research (e.g. H.W. Margoliouth, *Wordsworth and Coleridge* 1795–1834, 52ff; F.W. Bateson, *Wordsworth. A Reinterpretation*, 150ff; M.M., I. 423–6).

The last three poems, together with 'I travell’d among unknown Men', and, possibly, 'Three years she grew in sun and shower', form the group often known as the Lucy poems. Directions were given to the printer in 1802 to insert 'I travell’d among unknown Men' immediately following 'A Slumber did my spirit seal' but it was omitted and published in *Poems, in Two Volumes* in 1807.

All these poems imagine the death of Lucy, though she is not named in 'A Slumber did my spirit seal'. There is also 'Lucy Gray' and 'The Glow-worm' in which a Lucy appears.

(p. 155) *The Waterfall and the Eglantine*
Written 1800.

'Suggested nearer to Grasmere [nearer than *The Oak and the Broom*] on the same mountain track. The eglantine remained many years afterwards, but is now gone.' [I.F.]

'There can be little doubt that they (this and the following poem) are conscious reminiscences of Langhorne’s *The Fables of Flora*, one of which bears the title *The Wilding and the Broom*': [M.M. I. 480., John Langhorne, *The Fables of Flora*, London, 1771.]

(p. 157) *The Oak and the Broom, A Pastoral*
Written 1800.

'Suggested upon the mountain path that leads from Upper Rydal to Grasmere. The ponderous block of stone, which is mentioned in the poem, remains, I believe, to this day, a good way up Nab-Scar. Broom grows under it and in many places on the side of the precipice.' [I.F.]

H. Crabb Robinson reports that the 'fable of the Oak and Broom proceeded from his beholding a rose in just such a situation as he has described the broom to be in'. [Henry Crabb Robinson on Books and their Writers, ed. E.J. Morley, 3 volumes, 1938, I. 191.]

(p. 161) *Lucy Gray*

'Written at Goslar in Germany in 1799. It was founded on a circumstance told me by my Sister, of a little girl who, not far from Halifax in Yorkshire, was bewildered in a snow-storm. Her footsteps were traced by her parents to the middle of the lock of a
canal, and no other vestige of her, backward or forward, could be traced. The body however was found in the canal. The way in which the incident was treated and the spiritualizing of the character might furnish hints for contrasting the imaginative influences which I have endeavoured to throw over common life with Crabbe’s matter of fact style of treating subjects of the same kind.’ [I.F.] Crabb Robinson records that Wordsworth said that his object in *Lucy Gray* ‘was to exhibit poetically entire solitude, and he represents the child as observing the day-moon, which no town or village girl would ever notice’. [Diary, September 11th 1816.]

The poem was later given the title *Lucy Gray, or Solitude.*

(p. 164) *The Idle Shepherd-Boys, or Dungeon-Gill Force, A Pastoral*  
‘Grasmere Town-End, 1800. I will only add a little monitory anecdote concerning this subject. When Coleridge and Southey were walking together upon the Fells, Southey observed that, if I wished to be considered a faithful painter of rural manners, I ought not to have said that my Shepherd-boys trimmed their rustic hats as described in the poem. Just as the words had passed his lips two boys appeared with the very plant entwined round their hats.’ [I.F.]

(p. 168) ‘*Tis said, that some have died for love*  
Written 1800. In the poem, Wordsworth tries to reassure and to comfort Emma—i.e. Dorothy, his sister,—in the event of his dying; cf. *Tintern Abbey* in this respect. Unlike earlier stanzas, the last is unrhymed.

(p. 170) *Poor Susan*  
‘This arose out of my observation of the affecting music of these birds hanging in this way in the London streets during the freshness and stillness of the Spring morning.’ [I.F.] Wordsworth dated the poem 1797 in 1836, though in the *I.F.* note assigned it to 1801 or 1802 (which must be mistaken as it was published in 1800). Mary Moorman suggests a date not before the winter of 1798–9 since the title is a translation of Bürger’s poem *Des Armen Süschens Traum* which Wordsworth came to know in Germany. Indeed, he thought of it as his favourite Burger poem, ‘the most perfect and Shakespearian of his poems’ he agreed with Coleridge. [C. Letters, I. 315; v. M.M. I. 428.] However, other than the title, Wordsworth’s poem has little in common with Bürger’s. In any case in 1800 it was entitled ‘Poor Susan’ and not given the title ‘The Reverie of Poor Susan’ until 1815. Thus the poem may
have been written in Germany in 1798–9 or in 1797 as he himself dated it.

Legouis suggests that the theme of the poem was taken from Jacques Delille’s poem *Les Jardins*. *(Legouis, 143fn.)* The poem invites comparison with The Farmer of Tilsbury Vale’ the latter part of which, Wordsworth thought, was ‘too much of an echo to “The Reverie of Poor Susan”’. *[I.F.]*

The omission of the fifth stanza, which appeared only in 1800 met with Lamb’s whole-hearted approval; The last verse of Susan was to be rid of at all events. It threw a kind of dubiety upon Susan’s moral conduct. Susan is a servant maid. I see her trundling her mop and contemplating the whirling phenomenon thro blurred optics; but to term her a poor outcast seems as much as to say that poor Susan is no better than she should be, which I trust was not what you meant to express.’ *[Lamb, ii. 158.]*

*(p. 171)* *Inscription, For the Spot where the Hermitage stood on St. Herbert’s Island, Derwent-Water*

Written 1800.

*(p. 172)* *Inscription, For the House (an Outhouse) on the Island at Grasmere*

Written in 1800. Title changed in 1802 to *Lines, Written with a pencil upon a stone in the wall of the house (an Outhouse) on the island at Grasmere.*

1.7 Vitruvius, Marcus Vitruvius Pollio, a Roman architect, author of the celebrated treatise *De architectura* which was accepted as the chief authority on Classical architecture.

*(p. 173)* *To a Sexton*

Written in Germany, 1799 *[I.F.]*.

*(p. 174)* *Andrew Jones*

Written in 1798 but not reprinted after 1815. Mostly written, originally, as part of *Peter Bell*. *[v. P.W. ii. 463–4, 531.]*

*(p. 175)* *The Two Thieves, or the last Stage of Avarice*

Written 1800.

‘This is described from the life as I was in the habit of observing when a boy at Hawkshead School. Daniel was more than 80 years older than myself when he was daily thus occupied, under my notice. No book could have so early taught me to think of the changes to which human life is subject, and while looking at him, I could not but say to myself—we may, any of us, I, or the
happiest of my playmates, live to become still more the object of pity than this old man, this half-doating pilferer.' [I.F.]

I.1 ‘the genius of Bewick’, Thomas Bewick (1753–1828) artist and wood-engraver.

(p. 177) A whirl-blast from behind the hill

Written in 1798, not 1799 as stated below.

‘Observed in the holly grove at Alfoxden, where these verses were written in the spring of 1799. I had the pleasure of again seeing, with dear friends, this grove in unimpaired beauty, 41 years after.’ [I.F.]


(p. 178) Song, for the Wandering Jew

Written in 1800. Mary Moorman observes that this poem ‘deserves to be better known than it is, if only for the last two lines’. [M.M., I 480.] See ‘The Wandering Jew’, Percy’s Reliques, ed. H.B.Wheatley, 3 vols, 1876, II, 291–96.

(p. 179) Ruth

‘Written in Germany 1799. Suggested by an account I had of a wanderer in Somersetshire.’ [I.F.]

The poem was revised in 1802 [v. D.W., 7th March 1802] and again in 1805.

Mary Moorman suggests that there is perhaps a memory of Wordsworth’s own childhood in the picture of the young Ruth and points out that the last verse, visualizing a Christian funeral for the poor vagrant, strikes a new note in Wordsworth’s poetry—the dead Lucy having been consigned to the earth without apparent concern for her ‘immortal part’. [M.M., I. 427–8.]


‘These hills are shaded with glorious Magnolia grandiflora, & c.’ (316)
‘The cupressus disticha… Its majestic stature is surprising; and on approaching it, we are struck with a kind of awe, at beholding the stateliness of the trunk, lifting its cumbrous top towards the skies, and casting a wide shade upon the ground, as a dark intervening cloud, which, for a time, excludes the rays of the sun.’ (88–9)

‘…fiery Azalea, flaming on the ascending hills or wavy surface of gliding brooks. The epithet fiery, I annex to this most celebrated species of Azalea, as being expressive of the appearance of its flowers, which are in general of the colour of the finest red lead, orange and bright gold, as well as yellow and cream colour;…and the clusters of the blossoms cover the shrubs in such incredible profusion on the hill sides, that suddenly opening to view from dark shades, we are alarmed with the apprehension of the hill being set on fire.’ (321)

(p. 189) Lines, Written with a Slate-pencil upon a Stone
Written 1800.

1.8. Sir William refers to Sir William Fleming of Rydal Hall, the first Baronet, who died 1736.

(p. 190) In the School of—is a tablet on which are inscribed, etc.
Written 1799. This poem was re-titled Matthew in 1837.

‘Such a Tablet as is here spoken of continued to be preserved in Hawkshead School, though the inscriptions were not brought down to our time. This and other poems connected with Matthew would not gain by a literal detail of facts. Like the Wanderer in “The Excursion”, this Schoolmaster was made up of several both of his class and men of other occupations. I do not ask pardon for what there is of untruth in such verses, considered strictly as matters of fact. It is enough if, being true and consistent in spirit, they move and teach in a manner not unworthy of a Poet’s calling.’ [I.F.]

This poem and the two following are part of the Matthew group of poems. But see Expostulation and reply and The tables turned and Note; and the elegy to Matthew, Address to the Scholars of the Village School of ——[P.W. iv. 256–58 and n.; and u Prelude, X. 531–52] ; also Could I the priest’s consent have gained and Elegy Written in the same place upon the same occasion. [P.W. iv. 452–55.]

(p. 191) The Two April Mornings
Written 1799.
The Fountain, A Conversation
Written 1799.

Nutting
Written in 1798.
‘Written in Germany; intended as part of a poem on my own life [u P.W., ii. 504–506], but struck out as not being wanted there. Like most of my school-fellows I was an impassioned nutter. For this pleasure, the Vale of Esthwaite, abounding in coppice-wood, furnished a very wide range. These verses arose out of the remembrance of feelings I had often had when a boy, and particularly in the extensive woods that still stretch from the side of Esthwaite Lake towards Graythwaite, the seat of the ancient family of Sandys.’ [I.F.]

Wordsworth believed that Joanna and Nutting ‘show the greatest genius of any poems in the second volume’ [1800] although he said that Michael contained his most important views. [M.M., I. 506.]

De Selincourt gives ‘a beginning to the poem, already discarded when it was sent [in MS] to Coleridge’. [P.W. ii. 504–6 and E.Y. 241–2.]

Three years she grew in sun and shower
‘1799. Composed in the Hartz Forest.’ [I.F.]

This poem has been grouped with the other Lucy poems. Mary Moorman points out that it cannot be taken as descriptive of Dorothy for, to mention only one detail, Lucy is described as having a form ‘of stately height’ and Dorothy was neither tall nor stately [M.M., I. 424 and n.]

The Pet-Lamb, A Pastoral
‘Town-End, 1800. Barbara Lewthwaite, now [1843] living at Ambleside, though much changed as to beauty, was one of two most lovely sisters. Almost the first words my poor Brother John said, when he visited us for the first time at Grasmere, were, “Were those two angels that I have just seen?” and from his description I have no doubt they were those two sisters. The mother died in childbed; and one of our neighbours at Grasmere told me that the loveliest sight she had ever seen was that mother as she lay in her coffin with her babe in her arm. I mention this to notice what I cannot but think a salutary custom once universal in these vales. Every attendant on a funeral made it a duty to look at the corpse in the coffin before the lid was closed, which was never done (nor I believe is now) till a minute or two before the
corpse was removed. Barbara Lewthwaite was not in fact the child whom I had seen and overheard as engaged [sic] in the poem. I chose the name for reasons implied in the above; and will here add a caution against the use of names of living persons. Within a few months after the publication of this poem, I was much surprised, and more hurt, to find it in a child’s school-book which, having been compiled by Lindley Murray, had come into use at Grasmere School where Barbara was a pupil. And, alas, I had the mortification of hearing that she was very vain of being thus distinguished; and, in afterlife, she used to say that she remembered the incident and what I said to her upon the occasion.’ [I.F.]

(p. 203) Written in Germany, On one of the coldest days of the Century

‘1798 and 1799. A bitter winter it was when these verses were composed by the side of my Sister, in our lodging at a draper’s house in the romantic imperial town of Goslar, on the edge of the Hartz Forest.’ [I.F.] Dorothy Wordsworth wrote from Goslar: ‘We have had a succession of excessively severe weather, once or twice interrupted with a cold thaw; and the cold of Christmas day has not been equalled even in this climate during the last century.’ [E.Y. 243.]

(p. 204) The Childless Father

‘Town-End, 1800. When I was a child at Cockermouth, no funeral took place without a basin filled with sprigs of boxwood being placed upon a table covered with a white cloth in front of the house. The huntings on foot, in which the Old Man is supposed to join as here described, were of common, almost habitual, occurrence in our vales when I was a boy; and the people took much delight in them. They are now less frequent.’ [I.F.]

(p. 205) The Old Cumberland Beggar, A Description

Possibly begun 1796–7 and completed Jan-March 1798.

Changes in the Poor Laws are described in T.W.Thompson, Wordsworth’s Hawkshead, 1970, 276–81 and appendix V, 276n. See also Wordsworth’s letter to Charles James Fox, 14 Jan. 1801 [E.Y. 312–5.]

Lamb described the pleasure he derived from ‘the delicate and curious feeling in the wish for the Cumberland Beggar, that he may ha[ve] about him the melody of Birds, altho’ he hear them not.—Hence the mind knowingly passes a fiction upon herself,
first substituting her own feelings for the Beggar’s, and in the same breath detecting the fallacy, will not part with the wish... I will just add that it appears to me a fault in the Beggar, that the instructions conveyed in it are too direct and like a lecture: they don’t slide into the mind of the reader, while he is imagining no such matter’. [Lamb, I. 265.]

‘Observed, and with great benefit to my own heart, when I was a child: written at Racedown and Alfoxden in my 28th year. The political economists were about that time beginning their war upon mendicity in all its forms, and by implication, if not directly, on Almsgiving also. This heartless process has been carried as far as it can go by the AMENDED poor-law bill, though the inhumanity that prevails in this measure is somewhat disguised by the profession that one of its objects is to throw the poor upon the voluntary donations of their neighbours; that is, if rightly interpreted, to force them into a condition between relief in the Union poor-house, and Alms robbed of their Christian grace and spirit, as being forced rather from the benevolent than given by them; while the avaricious and selfish, and all in fact but the humane and charitable, are at liberty to keep all they possess from their distressed brethren.’ [I.F.; v. Note to Old Man Travelling.]

(p. 211) Rural Architecture

‘These structures, as every one knows, are common among our hills, being built by shepherds as conspicuous marks, occasionally by boys in sport. It was written at Town-End, in 1801.’ [I.F.] Obviously the date given cannot be correct as the poem was printed in 1800. It was probably written in 1800.

(p. 212) A Poet’s Epitaph

Written in Goslar, 1799.

Cf. Theocritus, Epigram xix: ‘Here lies the poet Hipponax; so if you are a knave, do not come near the tomb. But if you are honest and come of decent folk, sit down without hesitation, and, if you like, take a nap.’ A.S.F. Gow, Theocritus, 2 vols, Cambridge, 1952. [v. letter from E.Casson, TLS, 11 September 1937 and P.W. iv. 414.]

Lamb told Wordsworth that the poem ‘is disfigured, to my taste by the vulgar satire upon parsons and lawyers in the beginning, and the coarse epithet of pin-point in the 6th stanza—All the rest is eminently good and your own.’ [Lamb I. 265.] Wordsworth later changed pin-point to ‘ever-dwindling’.
(p. 214) A Character, in the antithetical Manner

‘The principal features are taken from that of my friend, Robert Jones.’ [I.F.] It was with Robert Jones that Wordsworth undertook the Continental tour described in Descriptive Sketches, and the tour in Wales referred to in The Prelude, xiv. But it also refers to Coleridge; ‘In the 2nd Volume of Wordsworth’s Lyrical Ballads you will find certain parts, and superficies of me sketched truly under the title—“A character in the antithetical manner”’. [C. Letters, II. 784 and v. E.L. Griggs, ‘A note on Wordsworth’s A Character’, RES, IV, 1953, 57–63.]

This poem was omitted from the 1802 and 1805 editions.

(p. 215) A Fragment

‘Written in Germany 1799. It was entirely a fancy, but intended as a prelude to a ballad poem never written.’ [I.F.] ‘These stanzas were designed to introduce a Ballad upon the Story of a Danish Prince who had fled from Battle, and, for the sake of the valuables about him, was murdered by the Inhabitant of a Cottage in which he had taken refuge. The House fell under a curse, and the Spirit of the Youth, it was believed, haunted the Valley where the crime had been committed.’ [Wordsworth’s Note, 1827]

The similarity of rhythm and metre in this and The Thorn has been pointed out. The poem was re-entitled The Danish Boy in 1836. ‘It is interesting as being one of the few poems of Wordsworth which refer to the folk-tales of his own country of West Cumberland. These tales of ghostly Danish harpers still lingered among the fells.’ [M.M., I. 249.]

(p. 217) Poems on the Naming of Places

This group of poems has a half-title, and the Advertisement a separate leaf, in all editions of Lyrical Ballads. As a group these poems celebrate actual walks, places and incidents familiar to the Wordsworths.

(p. 218) It was an April Morning

‘Grasmere, 1800. This poem was suggested on the banks of the brook that runs through Easedale, which is, in some parts of its course, as wild and beautiful as brook can be. I have composed thousands of verses by the side of it.’ [I.F.]

l.39. Emma, according to T.W. Thompson refers to Emmy Harrison who was about eight years old when she died
and was buried at Hawkshead. [v. *Wordsworth’s Hawkshead*, 1970, 161.]

(p. 219) *To Joanna*

Joanna Hutchinson (1780–1843), younger sister to Mary Wordsworth. [Cf. *P.W.*, ii. 486; also comment in *P.W.* on *To Joanna* in MS.2.]

‘Grasmere, 1800. The effect of her laugh is an extravagance; though the effect of the reverberation of voices in some parts of the mountains is very striking. There is, in the *Excursion*, an allusion to the bleat of a lamb thus re-echoed, and described without any exaggeration, as I heard it, on the side of Stickle Tarn, from the precipice that stretches on to Langdale Pikes.’ [I.F.] See Note to Nutting.

Lamb was particularly pleased by ‘the description of the continuous *Echoes* in the story of Joanna’s laugh, where the mountains and all the scenery absolutely seem alive…’ [Lamb, I. 265.]

(p. 222) *There is an Eminence*

‘1800. It is not accurate that the Eminence here alluded to could be seen from our orchard-seat. It rises above the road by the side of Grasmere lake, towards Keswick, and its name is Stone-Arthur.’ [I.F.]

(p. 223) *A narrow girdle of rough stones and crags*

‘1800. The character of the eastern shore of Grasmere Lake is quite changed, since these verses were written, by the public road being carried along its side. The friends spoken of were Coleridge and my Sister, and the fact occurred strictly as recorded.’ [I.F.]

(p. 225) *To M.H.*

The poem was written in December 1799 and Wordsworth and Mary Hutchinson married on 4 October 1802.

‘To Mary Hutchinson, two years before our marriage. The pool alluded to is in Rydal Upper Park.’ [I.F.]

(p. 226) *Michael, A Pastoral Poem*


‘Town-End, 1801. Written about the same time as *The Brothers*. The Sheepfold, on which so much of the poem turns, remains, or rather the ruins of it. The character and circumstances of Luke were taken from a family to whom had belonged, many years
before, the house we lived in at Town-End, along with some fields and woodlands on the eastern shore of Grasmere. The name of the Evening Star was not in fact given to this house but to another on the same side of the valley more to the north.’ [I.F.] 11 October 1800. ‘After dinner we walked up Greenhead Gill in search of a sheepfold…. The sheepfold is falling away. It is built nearly in the form of a heart unequally divided. [D.W. I. 65–6.]

‘I have attempted to give a picture of a man, of strong mind and lively sensibility, agitated by two of the most powerful affections of the human heart; the parental affection, and the love of property, landed property, including the feelings of inheritance, home, and personal and family independence.’ [E.Y., 322]

‘The poem itself has always been one of the best loved of all Wordsworth’s writings, not only because of the pathos of the story, but because of the profound yet extraordinarily simple statements of thought and feeling, arising from the power of Wordsworth’s ethical discovery that suffering, when illuminated by love, creates its own nobility of heart.’ [M.M., I 500.]

Wordsworth discusses the poem, which he groups with ‘The Brothers’ in a letter addressed to Charles James Fox, 14 January 1801. [E.Y., 312–15.] In a letter to Thomas Poole of 9th April 1801 [v. E.Y., 322–324] Wordsworth gives the following information about the text of the poem:

‘The 2nd Vol: is throughout miserably printed and after [the following] line,

“Receiving from his father hire of praise,”

by a shameful negligence of the printer there is an omission of fifteen lines absolutely necessary to the connection of the poem. If in the copy sent to you this omission has not been supplied you may be furnished with half a sheet which has been reprinted, if you have any acquaintance who will call at Longmans for it, and send it down to you. In the meanwhile my Sister will transcribe for you the omitted passage. I should be vexed if your copy is an imperfect one, as it must have then been impossible for you to give the poem a fair trial.’

As it was clearly the author’s intention to include these lines in the 1800 edn we have restored them although they were not in
fact printed until 1802. They appear in the present text as lines 202–216.

The same letter contains a note in Dorothy Wordsworth’s hand given below. The lines she quotes never appeared in any of the texts. ‘My Brother has written the following lines to be inserted Page 206 after the 9th line

Murmur as with the sound of summer flies.
Though in these occupations they would pass
Whole hours with but small interchange of speech,
Yet there were times in which they did not want
Discourse both wise and pleasant, shrewd remarks
Of daily prudence, clothd in images
Lively and beautiful, in rural forms
That made their conversation fresh and fair
As is a landscape:—And the Shepherd oft
Would draw out of his heart the obscurities,
And admirations, that were there, of God
And of his works, or, yielding to the bent
Of his peculiar humour, would let loose
His tongue, and give it the mind’s freedom, then
Discoursing on remote imaginations, strong,
Conceits, devices, day-dreams, thoughts and schemes,
The fancies of a solitary Man!’

The composition of the poem and its relation to The Prelude are discussed in P.W. ii. 479–484.

In sending ‘Michael’ to the printers, instructions were given to ‘put a very large Capital Letter where there is one in the M.S., with more than an ordinary interspace between the paragraphs.’ [E.Y. 308.] Also, Dorothy, in a letter to Thomas Poole, instructs him to begin the ‘second part of the Poem’ with a large letter. These instructions were not fully carried out until 1802 when the poem was printed in three parts, parts 2 and 3 (beginning ‘UPON the Forest-side in Grasmere Vale’ and ‘WHILE in the fashion which I have described’) marked by a ‘more than ordinary interspace’ and the first word printed in large capital letters. In 1800 edn only the second part was noted with UPON in capitals.

The story of a father and his son searching for lost sheep was written originally for ‘Michael’ but included in the Prelude (1805),

II.4–79. Coleridge observed that: ‘The characters of the vicar and the shepherd-mariner in the poem of the “Brothers”, those of the shepherd of Green-head Gill in “Michael”, have all the verisimilitude and representative quality, that the purposes of poetry can require. They are persons of a known and abiding class, and their manners and sentiments the natural product of circumstances common to the class.’ [Biog. Lit. II. 46–7.]

I. 268. ‘The story alluded to here is well known in the country. The chapel is called Ings Chapel; and is on the right hand side of the road leading from Kendal to Ambleside.’ [Wordsworth’s Note 1800.]

II. 333–4. ‘It may be proper to inform some readers, that a sheepfold in these mountains is an unroofed building of stone walls, with different divisions. It is generally placed by the side of a brook, for the convenience of washing the sheep; but it is also useful as a shelter for them, and as a place to drive them into, to enable the shepherds conveniently to single out one or more for any particular purpose.’ [Wordsworth’s Note 1800.]

II. 349–353. According to Lamb, Wordsworth considered ‘This passage as combining in an extraordinary degree... Imagination & Tenderness.’ He considered it ‘one of the Rest’ he ever wrote. [Lamb, I. 272–4.]
The following is the text of Coleridge’s *Lewti; or, the Circassian Love-Chant*, which first appeared in the *Morning Post*, 13th April 1798, under the signature of ‘Nicias Erythraeus’. *Lewti* is a re-worked version of a poem by Wordsworth entitled ‘Beauty and Moonshine’. It was to have been included in the 1798 edition, but was withdrawn while the book was in the press and Coleridge’s *The Nightingale* substituted (v. p. 278). It was included in a shortened form in the *Annual Anthology* of 1800 and in *Sibylline Leaves*, 1817. The present text is the cancelled 1798 version.

At midnight, by the stream I rov’d
To forget the form I lov’d.
Image of LEWTI! from my mind
Depart; for LEWTI is not kind.
The moon was high, the moonlight gleam
And the shadow of a star
Heav’d upon Tamaha’s stream;
But the rock shone brighter far.
The rock half-sheltered from my view,
By pendent boughs of tressy yew. — 10
So shines my LEWTI’S forehead fair,
Gleaming thro’ her sable hair.
Image of LEWTI! from my mind
Depart; for LEWTI is not kind.
I saw a cloud of palest hue,
Onward to the moon it pass’d.
Still brighter and more bright it grew,
With floating colours not a few,
Till it reach’d the moon at last.
Then the cloud was wholly bright,
With a rich and amber light;
And so with many a hope I seek,
And with such joy I find my LEWTI;
And even so my pale wan cheek
Drinks in as deep a flush of beauty!
Nay, treach’rous image! leave my mind,
If LEWTI never will be kind.
The little cloud—it floats away,
Away it goes—away so soon!
Alas! it has no pow’r to stay:
Its hues are dim, its hues are grey—
Away it passes from the moon.
How mournfully it seems to fly,
Ever fading more and more,
To joyless regions of the sky—
And now ’tis whiter than before,
As white as my poor cheek will be,
When, LEWTI! on my couch I lie,
A dying man, for love of thee.
Nay, treach’rous image! leave my mind—
And yet thou didst not look unkind!
I saw a vapour in the sky,
Thin and white and very high.
I ne’er beheld so thin a cloud—
Perhaps the breezes that can fly
Now below, and now above,
Have snatch’d aloft the lawny shroud
Of lady fair, that died for love:
For Maids, as well as Youths, have perish’d
From fruitless love, too fondly cherish’d!
Nay, treach’rous image! leave my mind—
For LEWTI never will be kind.
Hush! my heedless feet from under
Slip the crumbling banks for ever;
Like echoes to a distant thunder,
They plunge into the gentle river:
The river-swans have heard my tread,  
And startle from their reedy bed.  
O beauteous birds! methinks ye measure  
Your movements to some heav’nly tune!  
O beauteous birds! ’tis such a pleasure  
To see you move beneath the moon;  
I would, it were your true delight  
To sleep by day and wake all night.  
I know the place where LEWTI lies,  
When silent night has clos’d her eyes—  
It is a breezy jasmin bow’r,  
The Nightingale sings o’er her head;  
Had I the enviable pow’r  
To creep unseen with noiseless tread,  
Then should I view her bosom white,  
Heaving lovely to my sight,  
As those two swans together heave  
On the gently swelling wave.  
O that she saw me in a dream,  
And dreamt that I had died for care!  
All pale and wasted I would seem,  
Yet fair withal, as spirits are.  
I’d die indeed, if I might see  
Her bosom heave, and heave for me!  
Soothe, gentle image! soothe my mind!  
To-morrow LEWTI may be kind.
Appendix B

Appendix on Poetic Diction

This was added by Wordsworth in the 1802 edition of *Lyrical Ballads*. There was no further change in the 1805 text.

As perhaps I have no right to expect from a Reader of an Introduction to a volume of Poems that attentive perusal without which it is impossible, imperfectly as I have been compelled to express my meaning, that what I have said in the Preface should throughout be fully understood, I am the more anxious to give an exact notion of the sense in which I use the phrase *poetic diction*; and for this purpose I will here add a few words concerning the origin of the phraseology which I have condemned under that name. — The earliest Poets of all nations generally wrote from passion excited by real events; they wrote naturally, and as men: feeling powerfully as they did, their language was daring, and figurative. In succeeding times, Poets, and men ambitious of the fame of Poets, perceiving the influence of such language, and desirous of producing the same effect, without having the same animating passion, set themselves to a mechanical adoption of those figures of speech, and made use of them, sometimes with propriety, but much more frequently applied them to feelings and thoughts with which they had no natural connection whatsoever. A language was thus insensibly produced, differing materially from the real language of men in *any situation*. The Reader or Hearer of this distorted language found himself in a perturbed and unusual state of mind: when affected by the genuine language of passion he had been in a perturbed and unusual state of mind also: in both cases he was willing that his common judgment and understanding should be laid asleep, and he had no instinctive and infallible perception of the true to make him reject the false; the one served as a passport for the other.
The agitation and confusion of mind were in both cases delightful, and no wonder if he confounded the one with the other, and believed them both to be produced by the same, or similar causes. Besides, the Poet spake to him in the character of a man to be looked up to, a man of genius and authority. Thus, and from a variety of other causes, this distorted language was received with admiration; and Poets, it is probable, who had before contented themselves for the most part with misapplying only expressions which at first had been dictated by real passion, carried the abuse still further, and introduced phrases composed apparently in the spirit of the original figurative language of passion, yet altogether of their own invention, and distinguished by various degrees of wanton deviation from good sense and nature.

It is indeed true that the language of the earliest Poets was felt to differ materially from ordinary language, because it was the language of extraordinary occasions; but it was really spoken by men, language which the Poet himself had uttered when he had been affected by the events which he described, or which he had heard uttered by those around him. To this language it is probable that metre of some sort or other was early superadded. This separated the genuine language of Poetry still further from common life, so that whoever read or heard the poems of these earliest Poets felt himself moved in a way in which he had not been accustomed to be moved in real life, and by causes manifestly different from those which acted upon him in real life. This was the great temptation to all the corruptions which have followed: under the protection of this feeling succeeding Poets constructed a phraseology which had one thing, it is true, in common with the genuine language of poetry, namely, that it was not heard in ordinary conversation; that it was unusual. But the first Poets, as I have said, spake a language which, though unusual, was still the language of men. This circumstance, however, was disregarded by their successors; they found that they could please by easier means: they became proud of a language which they themselves had invented, and which was uttered only by themselves; and, with the spirit of a fraternity, they arrogated it to themselves as their own. In process of time metre became a symbol or promise of this unusual language, and whoever took upon him to write in metre, according as he possessed more or less of true poetic genius, introduced less or
more of this adulterated phraseology into his compositions, and the true and the false became so inseparably interwoven that the taste of men was gradually perverted and this language was received as a natural language: and at length, by the influence of books upon men, did to a certain degree really become so. Abuses of this kind were imported from one nation to another, and with the progress of refinement this diction became daily more and more corrupt, thrusting out of sight the plain humanities of nature by a motley masquerade of tricks, quaintnesses, hieroglyphics, and enigmas.

It would be highly interesting to point out the causes of the pleasure given by this extravagant and absurd language; but this is not the place; it depends upon a great variety of causes, but upon none perhaps more than its influence in impressing anotion of the peculiarity and exaltation of the Poet’s character, and in flattering the Reader’s self-love by bringing him nearer to a sympathy with that character; an effect which is accomplished by unsettling ordinary habits of thinking, and thus assisting the Reader to approach to that perturbed and dizzy state of mind in which if he does not find himself, he imagines that he is balked of a peculiar enjoyment which poetry can and ought to bestow.

The sonnet which I have quoted from Gray, in the Preface, except the lines printed in Italics, consists of little else but that diction, though not of the worst kind; and indeed, if one may be permitted to say so, it is far too common in the best writers both antient and modern. Perhaps I can in no way, by positive example, more easily give my Reader a notion of what I mean by the phrase poetic diction than by referring him to a comparison between the metrical paraphrases which we have of passages in the old and new Testament, and those passages as they exist in our common Translation. See Pope’s “Messiah” throughout, Prior’s “Did sweeter sounds adorn my flowing tongue,” &c. &c. “Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels,” &c. &c. See 1st Corinthians, chapter xiii. By way of immediate example, take the following of Dr. Johnson:

‘Turn on the prudent Ant thy heedless eyes,
Observe her labours, Sluggard, and be wise;
No stern command, no monitory voice,
Prescribes her duties, or directs her choice;
Yet, timely provident, she hastes away
To snatch the blessings of a plenteous day;
When fruitful Summer loads the teeming plain,
She crops the harvest and she stores the grain.
How long shall sloth usurp thy useless hours,
Unnerve thy vigour, and enchain thy powers?
While artful shades thy downy couch enclose,
And soft solicitation courts repose,
Amidst the drowsy charms of dull delight,
Year chases year with unremitted flight,
Till want now following, fraudulent and slow,
Shall spring to seize thee, like an ambushed foe.”

From this hubbub of words pass to the original. “Go to the Ant, thou Sluggard, consider her ways, and be wise: which having no guide, overseer, or ruler, provideth her meat in the summer, and gathereth her food in the harvest. How long wilt thou sleep, O Sluggard? when wilt thou arise out of thy sleep? Yet a little sleep, a little slumber, a little folding of the hands to sleep. So shall thy poverty come as one that travaileth, and thy want as an armed man.” Proverbs, chap. viii.

One more quotation and I have done. It is from Cowper’s verses supposed to be written by Alexander Selkirk:

“Religion! what treasure untold
Resides in that heavenly word!
More precious than silver and gold,
Or all that this earth can afford.
But the sound of the church-going bell
These valleys and rocks never heard,
Ne’er sighed at the sound of a knell,
Or smiled when a sabbath appeared.

Ye winds, that have made me your sport
Convey to this desolate shore
Some cordial endearing report
Of a land I must visit no more.
My friends, do they now and then send
A wish or a thought after me?
O tell me I yet have a friend,
Though a friend I am never to see."

I have quoted this passage as an instance of three different styles of composition. The first four lines are poorly expressed; some Critics would call the language prosaic; the fact is, it would be bad prose, so bad that it is scarcely worse in metre. The epithet "church-going" applied to a bell, and that by so chaste a writer as Cowper, is an instance of the strange abuses which Poets have introduced into their language till they and their Readers take them as matters of course, if they do not single them out expressly as objects of admiration. The two lines "Ne'er sighed at the sound," &c., are, in my opinion, an instance of the language of passion wrested from its proper use, and, from the mere circumstance of the composition being in metre, applied upon an occasion that does not justify such violent expressions; and I should condemn the passage, though perhaps few Readers will agree with me, as vicious poetic diction. The last stanza is throughout admirably expressed: it would be equally good whether in prose or verse, except that the Reader has an exquisite pleasure in seeing such natural language so naturally connected with metre. The beauty of this stanza tempts me here to add a sentiment which ought to be the pervading spirit of a system, detached parts of which have been imperfectly explained in the Preface—namely, that in proportion as ideas and feelings are valuable, whether the composition be in prose or in verse, they require and exact one and the same language.

Appendix C
Some Contemporary Criticisms of
Lyrical Ballads

From The Critical Review, 2nd Series, XXIV, October 1798, 197–204
A Review by Robert Southey.

Lyrical Ballads, with a few other Poems, Small 8vo. 5s. Boards.
Arch. 1798.

The majority of these poems, we are informed in the advertisement, are to be considered as experiments.

‘They were written chiefly with a view to ascertain how far the language of conversation in the middle and lower classes of society is adapted to the purposes of poetic pleasure.’

Of these experimental poems, the most important is the Idiot Boy.... Upon this subject the author has written nearly five hundred lines....

No tale less deserved the labour that appears to have been bestowed upon this. It resembles a Flemish picture in the worthlessness of its design and the excellence of its execution. From Flemish artists we are satisfied with such pieces: who would not have lamented, if Corregio or Rafaelle had wasted their talents in painting Dutch boors or the humours of a Flemish wake?

The other ballads of this kind are as bald in story, and are not so highly embellished in narration. With that which is entitled the Thorn, we were altogether displeased. The advertisement says, it is not told in the person of the author, but in that of some loquacious narrator. The author should have recollected that he who personates tiresome loquacity, becomes tiresome himself. The story of a man who suffers the perpetual pain of cold, because an old woman prayed that he never might be warm, is perhaps a good story for a ballad, because it is a well-known tale: but is the author certain that it is ‘well authenticated?’ and does
not such an assertion promote the popular superstition of witchcraft?

In a very different style of poetry, is the Rime of the Ancyent Marinere; a ballad (says the advertisement) ‘professedly written in imitation of the style, as well as of the spirit of the elder poets.’ We are tolerably conversant with the early English poets; and can discover no resemblance whatever, except in antiquated spelling and a few obsolete words. This piece appears to us perfectly original in style as well as in story. Many of the stanzas are laboriously beautiful; but in connection they are absurd or unintelligible. Our readers may exercise their ingenuity in attempting to unriddle what follows.

‘The roaring wind! it roar’d far off,
It did not come anear; etc., etc.

We do not sufficiently understand the story to analyse it. It is a Dutch attempt at German sublimity. Genius has here been employed in producing a poem of little merit.

With pleasure we turn to the serious pieces, the better part of the volume. The Foster-Mother’s Tale is in the best style of dramatic narrative. The Dungeon, and the Lines upon the Yew-tree Seat, are beautiful. The Tale of the Female Vagrant is written in the stanza, not the style, of Spenser…. Admirable as this poem is, the author seems to discover still superior powers in the Lines written near Tintern Abbey. On reading this production, it is impossible not to lament that he should ever have condescended to write such pieces as the Last of the Flock, the Convict, and most of the ballads. In the whole range of English poetry, we scarcely recollect any thing superior to a part of the following passage.

‘So I dare to hope
Though changed, no doubt, from what I was, when first
I came among these hills;’ etc., etc.’

The ‘experiment,’ we think, has failed, not because the language of conversation is little adapted to ‘the purposes of poetic pleasure,’ but because it has been tried upon uninteresting subjects. Yet every piece discovers genius; and, ill as the author
has frequently employed his talents, they certainly rank him with the best of living poets.

From *The Analytical Review*, XXVIII, December, 1798, 583–587

After quoting the *Advertisement* to *Lyrical Ballads*, the writer proceeds:

There is something sensible in these remarks, and they certainly serve as a very pertinent introduction to the studied simplicity, which pervades many of the poems. The ‘Rime of the ancyeant Marinere,’ a ballad in seven parts, is written professedly in imitation of the style as well as of the spirit of the ancient poets. We are not pleased with it; in our opinion it has more of the extravagance of a mad German poet, than of the simplicity of our ancient ballad writers....

Among the poems which particularly pleased us from their character either of simplicity or tenderness, or both, are, that from which we have made the preceding extract, ‘The Thorn,’ ‘The Mad Mother,’ ‘The Idiot Boy,’ and that with which we shall present our readers, the tale of ‘Goody Blake and Harry Gill’: a tale founded on a well authenticated fact, which happened in Warwickshire. Dr. Darwin relates it among other curious instances of maniacal hallucination in the second volume of his Zoönomia.


A Review by Dr Charles Burney.

The author of these ingenious compositions presents the major part of them to the public as experiments; since they were written, as he informs us in the advertisement prefixed, ‘chiefly with a view to ascertain how far the language of conversation in the middle and lower classes of society is adapted to the purposes of poetic pleasure.’

Though we have been extremely entertained with the fancy, the facility, and (in general) the sentiments, of these pieces, we cannot regard them as poetry, of a class to be cultivated at the expence of a higher species of versification, unknown in our language at the time when our elder writers, whom this author condescends to imitate, wrote their ballads....

The author shall style his rustic delineations of low-life, poetry if he pleases, on the same principle on which Butler is called a poet, and Teniers a painter: but are the doggrel verses of the one equal to the sublime numbers of a Milton, or are the Dutch boors of the other to be compared with the angels of Raphael or Guido?
—When we confess that our author has had the art of pleasing and interesting in no common way by his natural delineation of human passions, human characters, and human incidents, we must add that these effects were not produced by the poetry:—we have been as much affected by pictures of misery and unmerited distress, in prose. The elevation of soul, when it is lifted into the higher regions of imagination, affords us a delight of a different kind from the sensation which is produced by the detail of common incidents....

The author’s first piece, the *Rime of the ancyent marinere,* in imitation of the *style* as well as of the spirit of the elder poets, is the strangest story of a cock and a bull that we ever saw on paper: yet, though it seems a rhapsody of unintelligible wildness and incoherence, (of which we do not perceive the drift, unless the joke lies in depriving the wedding guest of his share of the feast,) there are in it poetical touches of an exquisite kind.

*The Dramatic Fragment,* if it intends anything, seems meant to throw disgrace on the savage liberty preached by some modern *philosophes.*

The *Yew-Tree* seems a seat for *Jean Jaques*; while the reflections on the subject appear to flow from a more pious pen.

*The Nightingale* sings a strain of true and beautiful poetry;—Miltonic, yet original; reflective, and interesting, in an uncommon degree.

*The Female Vagrant* is an agonizing tale of individual wretchedness; highly coloured, though, alas! but too probable. Yet, as it seems to stamp a general stigma on all military transactions, which were never more important in free countries than at the present period, it will perhaps be asked whether the hardships described never happen during revolution, or in a nation subdued? The sufferings of individuals during war are dreadful: but is it not better to try to prevent them from becoming general, or to render them transient by heroic and patriotic efforts, than to fly to them for ever?

Distress from poverty and want is admirably described, in the *‘true story of Goody Blake, and Harry Gill.’* but are we to imagine that Harry was bewitched by Goody Blake? The hardest heart must be softened into pity for the poor old woman;—and yet, if all the poor are to help themselves, and supply their wants from the possessions of their neighbours, what imaginary wants and real anarchy would it not create? Goody Blake should have been
relieved out of the two millions annually allowed by the state to the poor of this country, not by the plunder of an individual.

*Lines on the first mild day of March* abound with beautiful sentiments from a polished mind.

*Simon Lee, the old Huntsman,* is the portrait, admirably painted, of every huntsman who, by toil, age, and infirmities, is rendered unable to guide and govern his canine family.

*Anecdote for Fathers.* Of this the dialogue is ingenious and natural: but the object of the child’s choice, and the inferences, are not quite obvious.

*We are seven:*—innocent and pretty infantine prattle.

On an *early Spring.* The first stanza of this little poem seems unworthy of the rest, which contain reflections truly pious and philosophical.

*The Thorn.* All our author’s pictures, in colouring, are dark as those of Rembrandt or Spanioletto.

*The Last of the Flock* is more gloomy than the rest. We are not told how the wretched hero of this piece became so poor. He had, indeed, ten children: but so have many cottagers; and ere the tenth child is born, the eldest begin to work, and help, at least, to maintain themselves. No oppression is pointed out; nor are any means suggested for his relief. If the author be a wealthy man, he ought not to have suffered this poor peasant to part with the last of the flock. What but an Agrarian law can prevent poverty from visiting the door of the indolent, injudicious, extravagant, and, perhaps, vicious? and is it certain that rigid equality of property as well as of laws could remedy this evil?

*The Dungeon.* Here candour and tenderness for criminals seem pushed to excess....

*The mad Mother;* admirable painting! in Michael Angelo’s bold and masterly manner.

*The Idiot Boy* leads the reader on from anxiety to distress, and from distress to terror, by incidents and alarms which, though of the most mean and ignoble kind, interest, frighten, and terrify, almost to torture, during the perusal of more than a hundred stanzas.

*Lines written near Richmond*—literally “most musical, most melancholy!”

*Expostulation and Reply*... These two pieces will afford our readers an opportunity of judging of the author’s poetical talents, in a more modern and less gloomy style than his Ballads....
The Old Man travelling, a Sketch, finely drawn: but the termination seems pointed against the war; from which, however, we are now no more able to separate ourselves, than Hercules was to free himself from the shirt of Nessus. The old traveller’s son might have died by disease.

Each ballad is a tale of woe. The style and versification are those of our antient ditties: but much polished, and more constantly excellent. In old songs, we have only a fine line or stanza now and then; here we meet with few that are feeble:—but it is poesie larmoiante. The author is more plaintive than Gray himself.

The Complaint of a forsaken Indian Woman: another tale of woe! of the most afflicting and harrowing kind. The want of humanity here falls not on wicked Europeans, but on the innocent Indian savages, who enjoy unlimited freedom and liberty, unbridled by kings, magistrates, or laws.

The Convict. What a description! and what misplaced commiseration, on one condemned by the laws of his country, which he had confessedly violated! We do not comprehend the drift of lavishing that tenderness and compassion on a criminal, which should be reserved for virtue in unmerited misery and distress, suffering untimely death from accident, injustice, or disease.

Lines written near Tintern Abbey. —The reflections of no common mind; poetical, beautiful, and philosophical: but somewhat tinctured with gloomy, narrow, and unsociable ideas of seclusion from the commerce of the world: as if men were born to live in woods and wilds, unconnected with each other! Is it not to education and the culture of the mind that we owe the raptures which the author so well describes, as arising from the view of beautiful scenery, and sublime objects of nature enjoyed in tranquillity, when contrasted with the artificial machinery and ‘busy hum of men’ in a city? The savage sees none of the beauties which this author describes. The convenience of food and shelter, which vegetation affords him, is all his concern; he thinks not of its picturesque beauties, the course of rivers, the height of mountains, &c. He has no dizzy raptures in youth; nor does he listen in maturer age ‘to the still sad music of humanity.’

So much genius and originality are discovered in this publication, that we wish to see another from the same hand,
written on more elevated subjects and in a more cheerful disposition.

From the *British Critic*, XIV, October 1799, 364–369

A review attributed to the Reverend Francis Wrangham, a friend of both Wordsworth and Coleridge, though this attribution has been challenged, particularly by R.S. Woof (*v. Ariel*, I, April 1970, 19).

The attempt made in this little volume is one that meets our cordial approbation; and it is an attempt by no means unsuccessful. The endeavour of the author is to recall our poetry, from the fantastical excess of refinement, to simplicity and nature. The account of this design, and its probable effects upon modern readers, is so very sensibly given in the Introduction....

We fully agree with the author, that the true notion of poetry must be sought among the poets, rather than the critics; and we will add that, unless a critic is a poet also, he will generally make but indifferent work in judging of the effusions of Genius. In the collection of poems subjoined to this introduction, we do not often find expressions that we esteem too familiar, or deficient in dignity; on the contrary, we think that in general the author has succeeded in attaining that judicious degree of simplicity, which accommodates itself with ease even to the sublime. It is not by pomp of words, but by energy of thought, that sublimity is most successfully achieved; and we infinitely prefer the simplicity, even of the most unadorned tale in this volume, to all the meretricious frippery of the Darwinian taste.

The Poem of *the Ancyent Marinere,* with which the collection opens, has many excellencies and many faults; the beginning and the end are striking and well-conducted; but the intermediate part is too long, and has, in some places, a kind of confusion of images, which loses all effect, from not being quite intelligible. The author, who is confidently said to be Mr. Coleridge, is not correctly versed in the old language, which he undertakes to employ. *'Noises of a swound,'* p. 9, and *'broad as a weft,'* p. 11, are both nonsensical; but the ancient style is so well imitated, while the antiquated words are so very few, that the latter might with advantage be entirely removed without any detriment to the effect of the Poem. The opening of the Poem is admirably calculated to arrest the reader’s attention, by the well-imagined idea of the Wedding Guest, who is held to hear the tale, in spite of his efforts to escape. The beginning of the second canto, or fit, has
much merit, if we except the very unwarrantable comparison of
the Sun to that which no man can conceive:—‘like God’s own
head,’ a simile which makes a reader shudder; not with poetic
feeling, but with religious disapprobation. The following passage
is eminently good.

‘The breezes blew, the white foam flew,
The furrow followed free:
We were the first that ever burst
Into that silent Sea.

Down dropt the breeze, the Sails dropt down,
’Twas sad as sad could be,
And we did speak only to break
The silence of the Sea.

All in a hot and copper sky
The bloody sun at noon,
Right up above the mast did stand,
No bigger than the moon.

Day after day, day after day,
We stuck, ne breath ne motion,
As idle as a painted Ship
Upon a painted Ocean.

Water, water, every where,
And all the boards did shrink;
Water, water, every where,
Ne any drop to drink.’

The conclusion, as we remarked before, is very good, particularly
the idea that the Marinere has periodical fits of agony, which
oblige him to relate his marvellous adventure; and this,

‘I pass, like night, from land to land,
I have strange power of speech;
The moment that his face I see,
I know the man that must hear me;
To him my tale I teach.’
Whether the remaining poems of the volume are by Mr. Coleridge, we have not been informed; but they seem to proceed from the same mind; and in the Advertisement, the writer speaks of himself as of a single person accountable for the whole. It is therefore reasonable to conclude, that this is the fact. They all have merit, and many among them a very high rank of merit, which our feelings respecting some parts of the supposed author's character do not authorize or incline us to deny. The Poem on the Nightingale, which is there styled a conversational Poem, is very good; but we do not perceive it to be more conversational than Cowper's Task, which is the best poem in that style that our language possesses. 'The Female Vagrant,' is a composition of exquisite beauty, nor is the combination of events, related in it, out of the compass of possibility; yet we perceive, with regret, the drift of the author in composing it; which is to show the worst side of civilized society, and thus to form a satire against. But let fanciful men rail as they will at the evils which no care can always prevent, they can have no dream more wild than the supposition, that any human wisdom can possibly exclude all evils from a state which divine Providence has decreed, for reasons the most wise, to be a state of suffering and of trial. The sufferers may be changed, by infinite revolutions, but sufferers there will be, till Heaven shall interfere to change the nature of our tenure upon earth. From this beautiful Poem, partly on account of its apparent design, and partly because the loss of the connection would destroy much of its effect, we shall make no extract.

The story of 'Goody Blake and Harry Gill,' is founded, the Introduction tells us, 'on a well-authenticated fact which happened in Warwickshire. Yet it is a miracle; and modern miracles can seldom be admitted, without some degree of credulity, or a very uncommon weight of evidence. One of the simplest stories in the book, is that entitled 'We are Seven,' yet he must be a very fastidious reader who will deny that it has great beauty and feeling.

The tale of 'the Thorn' has many beauties; nor can we pass without notice 'the Mad Mother,' or the long and familiar tale of 'the Idiot Boy,' which, though it descends quite to common life, is animated by much interest, and told with singular felicity. One more Poem we shall particularly notice for its pathos, and shall
indeed insert the whole. The imagery of it is in many instances new, and is introduced with admirable effect.

[He then quotes The Complaint of a Forsaken Indian Woman.]

The purchasers of this little volume will find that, after all we have said, there are poems, and passages of poems, which we have been obliged to pass over, that well deserve attention and commendation; nor does there appear any offensive mixture of enmity to present institutions, except in one or two instances, which are so unobtrusive as hardly to deserve notice.

From the British Critic, XVII, February 1801, 125–131

A Review by John Stoddart of the 1800 edition of Lyrical Ballads

In our Review of October, 1799, we noticed, with considerable satisfaction, the first edition of this work, then comprised in one anonymous volume. It is now extended, by the addition of another volume; and the author has given his name to it, with the exception of the Ancient Mariner, the Foster Mother’s Tale, the Nightingale, the Dungeon, and the poem entitled Love; all of which, as he informs us, are furnished by a friend, whose opinions on the subject of Poetry agree almost entirely with his own. From this similarity of mind, and from some expressions in the Advertisement prefixed to the first edition, we were then led to attribute the whole to Mr. Coleridge, the supposed author of the Ancient Mariner: we now, therefore, add to the list of our Poets another name, no less likely to do it honour. Mr. Wordsworth has, indeed, appeared before the public some years ago, as author of Descriptive Sketches in Verse, and of an Evening Walk; compositions, in which were discoverable the fire and fancy of a true poet, though obscured by diction, often and intentionally inflated. His style is now wholly changed, and he has adopted a purity of expression, which, to the fastidious ear, may sometimes perhaps sound poor and low, but which is infinitely more correspondent with true feeling than what, by the courtesy of the day, is usually called poetical language.

Whatever may be thought of these Poems, it is evident that they are not to be confounded with the flood of poetry, which is poured forth in such profusion by the modern Bards of Science, or their brethren, the Bards of Insipidity. The author has thought for himself; he has deeply studied human nature, in the book of human action; and he has adopted his language from the same sources as his feelings. Aware that “his Poems are so materially different from those upon which general approbation is at present
bestowed,” he has now defended them in a Preface of some length; not with the foolish hope of reasoning his readers into the approbation of these particular Poems, but as a necessary justification of the species of poetry to which they belong. This Preface, though written in some parts with a degree of metaphysical obscurity, conveys much penetrating and judicious observation, important at all times, but especially when, as it is well observed, ‘the invaluable works of our elder writers are driven into neglect by frantic novels, sickly and stupid German tragedies, and deluges of idle and extravagant stories in verse.’ Perhaps it would be expecting too much from any one but Shakespeare, were we to demand that he should be the Poet of human nature. It would be no mean, it would indeed be a very lofty praise, to assert of a writer, that he is able to pour into other bosoms powerful feelings of a particular class, or belonging to a particular order of men. To this praise, Mr. Wordsworth lays a well-supported claim. He declares himself the Poet chiefly of low and rustic life (some specimens of ability he has given in other lines, but this is evidently his excellence) and he portrays it, not under its disgusting forms, but in situations affording, as he thinks, the best soil for the essential passions of the heart, incorporated with an elementary and durable state of manners, and with the beautiful and permanent forms of nature.*

Each separate Poem has, as its distinct purpose, the development of a feeling, which gives importance to the action and situation, and not the action or situation to the feeling. Whether the particular purpose is, in every case, worthy of a Poet, will perhaps admit of some doubt. We have no hesitation in saying, that it is generally interesting, often invaluable….

Of the judicious degree of simplicity in language which the author attained in his first volume, we formerly expressed our approbation. The second is written with equal felicity, being alike grounded upon an accurate and attentive observation of those modes of speech, which are prompted by the natural flow of passion. Where the subjects are supplied by rustic life, the

---

*Mr. Wordsworth seems to be peculiarly well situated for the subjects of such a study. The vicinity of the Lakes in Cumberland and Westmoreland (the scene of most of his Poems) is chiefly inhabited by an order of men nearly extinct in other parts of England. These are small farmers, called in that part
language of rustics, purified only from accidental associations of disgust, is also adopted....

The author has argued with great ingenuity, and at some length, on the absurdity of the distinction frequently made between the appropriate language of prose, and that of metrical composition. He has shown, that the two species of writing may be wholly similar in every thing but metre; and that neither of them can be dignified by any other means than energy and loftiness of thought. A great part of this argument would appear useless, had we not unhappily witnessed, in some striking instances, how much the public taste may be misled by affected pomp and false glitter of language. We cannot too often repeat, that the frippery and fustian of the Darwinian phraseology, is no more compatible with a just classical taste, than the heterogeneous mixture of science and fancy is allowable in a poetical subject. The faults of this kind, in the second volume, are so very few, as to deserve no notice, in comparison with the general purity of the style. As to the subjects, it must be owned that their worth does not always appear at first sight; but, judging from our own feelings, we must assert, that it generally grows upon the reader by subsequent perusal.... The following remarks may, perhaps, illustrate the cause of this improving interest.

1. It is not requisite that the poetic feeling should be strictly referable to any of those known and powerful classes, called the sublime, the terrible, the pathetic, &c. It may sometimes consist in a gentle agitation of the contending emotions, from which a preponderance of pleasure is ultimately produced, as from the melancholy recollections of a cheerful old man, in the Two April Mornings, and the Fountain; sometimes it may arise from the mixture of lively imagery with various feelings as with exultation and pity, in the two parts of Hartleap Well; sometimes it may be founded on the soft, and almost insensible affections which we receive from natural scenery, aided, perhaps, by some accidental association in our own minds. Of this kind are the

of the country, Statesmen, who, cultivating their own little property, are raised above the immediate pressure of want, with very few opportunities of acquiring wealth. They are a mild, hospitable people, with some turn for reading; and their personal appearance is, for the most part, interesting.
different Poems on the Naming of Places, Lines written with a Slate Pencil, &c. Rural Architecture, and some others.

2. Even where the feeling intended to be called forth is of a rich and noble character, such as we may recur to, and feed upon, it may yet be wrought up so gradually, including so many preparatory circumstances of appropriate manners, of local description, of actual events, &c. that the subtle uniting thread will be lost, without a persevering effort toward attention on the part of the reader. Who, that has studied Shakespeare, must not be conscious how often the connection of minute and trifling incidents with the main story has eluded his observation, until after repeated perusals? Something of this kind will probably occur to the readers of the Brothers, the Cumberland Beggar, and more particularly of the Poem, entitled Michael; yet these three are of the highest order of Poems in the volume. The interest, especially of the first, is so dramatically wrought up, the minute touches are so accurately studied, the general effect is so insensibly produced, and appeals so forcibly to the heart, as to rank its author far beyond the reach of common-place praise or censure.

3. There is a third class of Poems possessing a strong effect, which results equally from the power of imagination and of feeling; in these, the prominent features of the story are all along attended with a concurring splendour of poetic ornament, and the combined influence of these agents pervades every part of the composition. This is greatly the case in the Poem of Ruth, and in that of Ellen Irwin, of which the latter is merely narrative; the former intermixes much of deep and interesting speculation: to this class also may be referred Lucy Gray and Poor Susan, with several beautiful specimens in the second volume.

4. Other small pieces have different characteristics. The Fragment of the Danish Boy is a mere creation of fancy; the Pet Lamb presents a portraiture of infantine simplicity; and the lines in pages 50 and 53, are masterly sketches of those 'strange fits of passion.' which sometimes unaccountably flash across a poetical mind.

From the longer Poems it is almost impossible to select any passage without injury to its effect, owing to a want of that interest which the context supplies. We shall, however, venture to cite the following tender touches from the Brothers.
'——— though their parents
Lay buried side by side, as now they lie,
The old man was a father to the boys,
Two fathers in one father; and if tears
Shed, when he talk’d of them where they were not,
And hauntings from the infirmity of love
Are aught of what makes up a mother’s heart,
The old man, in the day of his old age,
Was half a mother to them.'

In the Poet’s Epitaph, an effusion of good-humoured satire, is succeeded by this picture of animated and engaging sensibility.

‘But who is he with modest looks,’ etc., etc.

Perhaps the English language can boast few instances of descriptive poetry, enlivened with a happier variety of imagery, than the fanciful echo in the Poem inscribed to Joanna. The lady’s laugh, to be sure, is loud, but it is not unpleasing.

‘When I had gazed perhaps two minutes’ space.’ etc., etc.

But the most singular specimens of unpretending, yet irresistible pathos, are the two Songs, p. 50 and 52. In artlessness, they strongly remind us of Burns; but perhaps go beyond him in delicacy. As they have a secret connection, we shall insert both.

‘Strange fits of passion I have known,’ etc., etc.
‘She dwelt among th’ untrodden ways,’ etc., etc.

When the art of poetry has been long cultivated among polished people, and brought to a state of great refinement, the natural operation of an ill-judged ambition, to excel even those who have most successfully adorned the language, leads writers either to employ an affected and over-laboured style, or, at least, to keep always upon the high stilts of elegance, to the exclusion of Nature and Simplicity. In such a state of the poetic art, that man may be considered as a public benefactor, who, with talents equal to the task, which is arduous, recalls attention to the more natural style, and shows what may be effected by simple
language, expressive of human passions, and genuine, not artificial feelings. In this character, Mr. Wordsworth appears; and appears with a success, to which we could by no means refuse our approbation. We will not deny that sometimes he goes so far in his pursuit of simplicity, as to become flat or weak; but in general, he sets an example which the full-dressed poet of affectation might wish, but wish in vain, to follow.* He would correct Mr. W. as the dancing-master of Hogarth would correct the attitude of Antinous.

A Letter from John Wilson (Christopher North) addressed to Wordsworth on 24 May 1802 from Glasgow University where he was an undergraduate. In later years Wilson became Professor of Moral Philosophy at Edinburgh University. He was also closely associated with Blackwood’s Magazine. For Wordsworth’s reply see E.Y. 352–358.

‘MY DEAR SIR,—You may perhaps be surprised to see yourself addressed in this manner by one who never had the happiness of being in company with you, and whose knowledge of your character is drawn solely from the perusal of your poems. But, sir, though I am not personally acquainted with you, I may almost venture to affirm, that the qualities of your soul are not unknown to me. In your poems I discovered such marks of delicate feeling, such benevolence of disposition, and such knowledge of human nature as made an impression on my mind that nothing will ever efface; and while I felt my soul refined by the sentiments contained in them, and filled with those delightful emotions which it would be almost impossible to describe, I entertained for you an attachment made up of love and admiration: reflection upon that delight which I enjoyed from reading your poems, will ever make me regard you with gratitude, and the consciousness of feeling those emotions you delineate makes me proud to regard your character with esteem and admiration. In whatever view you regard my behaviour in writing this letter, whether you consider it as the effect of ignorance and conceit, or correct taste and refined feeling, I will,

*The title of the Poems is, in some degree, objectionable; for what Ballads are not Lyrical? Besides, there are many compositions in blank verse, not at all Lyrical.
in my own mind, be satisfied with your opinion. To receive a letter from you would afford me more happiness than any occurrence in this world, save the happiness of my friends, and greatly enhance the pleasure I receive from reading your *Lyrical Ballads*. Your silence would certainly distress me; but still I would have the happiness to think that the neglect even of the virtuous cannot extinguish the sparks of sensibility, or diminish the luxury arising from refined emotions. That luxury, sir, I have enjoyed; that luxury your poems have afforded me, and for this reason I now address you. Accept my thanks for the raptures you have occasioned me; and however much you may be inclined to despise me, know at least that these thanks are sincere and fervent. To you, sir, mankind are indebted for a species of poetry which will continue to afford pleasure while respect is paid to virtuous feelings, and while sensibility continues to pour forth tears of rapture. The flimsy ornaments of language, used to conceal meanness of thought and want of feeling, may captivate for a short time the ignorant and unwary, but true taste will discover the imposture, and expose the authors of it to merited contempt. The real feelings of human nature, expressed in simple and forcible language, will, on the contrary, please those only who are capable of entertaining them, and in proportion to the attention which we pay to the faithful delineation of such feelings, will be the enjoyment derived from them. That poetry, therefore, which is the language of nature, is certain of immortality, provided circumstances do not occur to pervert the feelings of humanity, and occasion a complete revolution in the government of the mind.

‘That your poetry is the language of nature, in my opinion, admits of no doubt. Both the thoughts and expressions may be tried by that standard. You have seized upon these feelings that most deeply interest the heart, and that also come within the sphere of common observation. You do not write merely for the pleasure of philosophers and men of improved taste, but for all who think—for all who feel. If we have ever known the happiness arising from parental or fraternal love; if we have ever known that delightful sympathy of souls connecting persons of different sex; if we have ever dropped a tear at the death of friends, or grieved for the misfortunes of others; if, in short, we have ever felt the more amiable emotions of human nature,—it is
impossible to read your poems without being greatly interested and frequently in raptures; your sentiments, feelings, and thoughts are therefore exactly such as ought to constitute the subject of poetry, and cannot fail of exciting interest in every heart. But, sir, your merit does not solely consist in delineating the real features of the human mind under those different aspects it assumes, when under the influence of various passions and feelings; you have, in a manner truly admirable, explained a circumstance, very important in its effects upon the soul when agitated, that has indeed been frequently alluded to, but never generally adopted by any author in tracing the progress of emotions,—I mean that wonderful effect which the appearances of external nature have upon the mind when in a state of strong feeling. We must all have been sensible, that when under the influence of grief, Nature, when arrayed in her gayest attire, appears to us dull and gloomy, and that when our hearts bound with joy, her most deformed prospects seldom fail of pleasing. This disposition of the mind to assimilate the appearances of external nature to its own situation, is a fine subject for poetical allusion, and in several poems you have employed it with a most electrifying effect. But you have not stopped here, you have shown the effect which the qualities of external nature have in forming the human mind, and have presented us with several characters whose particular bias arose from that situation in which they were planted with respect to the scenery of nature. This idea is inexpressibly beautiful, and though, I confess, that to me it appeared to border upon fiction when I first considered it, yet at this moment I am convinced of its foundation in nature, and its great importance in accounting for various phenomena in the human mind. It serves to explain those diversities in the structure of the mind which have baffled all the ingenuity of philosophers to account for. It serves to overturn the theories of men who have attempted to write on human nature without a knowledge of the causes that affect it, and who have discovered greater eagerness to show their own subtlety than arrive at the acquisition of truth. May not the face of external nature through different quarters of the globe account for the dispositions of different nations? May not mountains, forests, plains, groves, and lakes, as much as the temperature of the atmosphere, or the form of government, produce important effects upon the human soul;
and may not the difference subsisting between the former of
these in different countries, produce as much diversity among
the inhabitants as any varieties among the latter? The effect you
have shown to take place in particular cases so much to my
satisfaction, most certainly may be extended so far as to
authorize general inferences. This idea has no doubt struck you;
and I trust that if it be founded on nature, your mind, so long
accustomed to philosophical investigation, will perceive how far
it may be carried, and what consequences are likely to result from
it.

'Your poems, sir, are of very great advantage to the world,
from containing in them a system of philosophy that regards one
of the most curious subjects of investigation, and at the same
time one of the most important. But your poems may not be
considered merely in a philosophical light, or even as containing
refined and natural feelings; they present us with a body of
morality of the purest kind. They represent the enjoyment
resulting from the cultivation of the social affections of our
nature; they inculcate a conscientious regard to the rights of our
fellow-men; they show that every creature on the face of the earth
is entitled in some measure to our kindness. They prove that in
every mind, however depraved, there exist some qualities
deserving our esteem. They point out the proper way to
happiness. They show that such a thing as perfect misery does
not exist. They flash on our souls conviction of immortality.
Considered therefore in this view, Lyrical Ballads is, to use your
own words, the book which I value next to my Bible; and though
I may, perhaps, never have the happiness of seeing you, yet I will
always consider you as a friend, who has by his instructions done
me a service which it never can be in my power to repay. Your
instructions have afforded me inexpressible pleasure; it will be my
own fault if I do not reap from them much advantage.

'I have said, sir, that in all your poems you have adhered
strictly to natural feelings, and described what comes within the
range of every person's observation. It is from following out this
plan that, in my estimation, you have surpassed every poet both
of ancient and modern times. But to me it appears that in the
execution of this design you have inadvertently fallen into an
error, the effects of which are, however, exceedingly trivial. No
feeling, no state of mind ought, in my opinion, to become the
subject of poetry, that does not please. Pleasure may, indeed, be produced in many ways, and by means that at first sight appear calculated to accomplish a very different end. Tragedy of the deepest kind produces pleasure of a high nature. To point out the causes of this would be foreign to the purpose. But we may lay down as a general rule, that no description can please, where the sympathies of our soul are not excited, and no narration interest, where do we not enter into the feelings of some of the parties concerned. On this principle, many feelings which are undoubtedly natural, are improper subjects of poetry, and many situations, no less natural, incapable of being described so as to produce the grand effect of poetical composition. This, sir, I would apprehend, is reasonable, and founded on the constitution of the human mind. There are a thousand occurrences happening every day, which do not in the least interest an unconcerned spectator, though they no doubt occasion various emotions in the breast of those to whom they immediately relate. To describe these in poetry would be improper. Now, sir, I think that in several cases you have fallen into this error. You have described feelings with which I cannot sympathize, and situations in which I take no interest. I know that I can relish your beauties, and that makes me think that I can also perceive your faults. But in this matter I have not trusted wholly to my own judgment, but heard the sentiments of men whose feelings I admired, and whose understanding I respected. In a few cases, then, I think that even you have failed to excite interest. In the poem entitled ‘The Idiot Boy,’ your intention, as you inform us in your preface, was to trace the maternal passion through its more subtle windings. This design is no doubt accompanied with much difficulty, but, if properly executed, cannot fail of interesting the heart. But, sir, in my opinion, the manner in which you have executed this plan has frustrated the end you intended to produce by it; the affection of Betty Foy has nothing in it to excite interest. It exhibits merely the effects of that instinctive feeling inherent in the constitution of every animal. The excessive fondness of the mother disgusts us, and prevents us from sympathizing with her. We are unable to enter into her feelings; we cannot conceive ourselves actuated by the same feelings, and consequently take little or no interest in her situation. The object of her affection is indeed her son, and in that relation much consists, but then he is
represented as totally destitute of any attachment towards her; the state of his mind is represented as perfectly deplorable, and, in short, to me it appears almost unnatural that a person in a state of complete idiotism should excite the warmest feelings of attachment in the breast even of his mother. This much I know, that among all the people ever I knew to have read this poem, I never met one who did not rise rather displeased from the perusal of it, and the only cause I could assign for it was the one now mentioned. This inability to receive pleasure from descriptions such as that of ‘The Idiot Boy,’ is, I am convinced, founded upon established feelings of human nature, and the principle of it constitutes, as I daresay you recollect, the leading feature of Smith’s Theory of Moral Sentiments. I therefore think that, in the choice of this subject, you have committed an error. You never deviate from nature; in you that would be impossible; but in this case, you have delineated feelings which, though natural, do not please, but which create a certain degree of disgust and contempt. With regard to the manner in which you have executed your plan, I think too great praise cannot be bestowed upon your talents. You have most admirably delineated the idiotism of the boy’s mind, and the situations in which you place him are perfectly calculated to display it. The various thoughts that pass through the mother’s mind are highly descriptive of her foolish fondness, her extravagant fears, and her ardent hopes. The manner in which you show how bodily sufferings are frequently removed by mental anxieties or pleasures, in the description of the cure of Betty Foy’s female friend, is excessively well managed, and serves to establish a very curious and important truth. In short, everything you proposed to execute has been executed in a masterly manner. The fault, if there be one, lies in the plan, not in the execution. This poem we heard recommended as one in your best manner, and accordingly it is frequently read in this belief. The judgment formed of it is, consequently, erroneous. Many people are displeased with the performance; but they are not careful to distinguish faults in the plan from faults in the execution, and the consequence is, that they form an improper opinion of your genius. In reading any composition, most certainly the pleasure we receive arises almost wholly from the sentiment, thoughts, and descriptions contained in it. A secondary pleasure arises from admiration of those
talents requisite to the production of it. In reading the ‘Idiot Boy,’ all persons who allow themselves to think, must admire your talents, but they regret that they have been so employed, and while they esteem the author, they cannot help being displeased with his performance. I have seen a most excellent painting of an idiot, but it created in me inexpressible disgust. I admired the talents of the artist, but I had no other source of pleasure. The poem of the ‘Idiot Boy’ produced upon me an effect in every respect similar. I find that my remarks upon several of your other poems must be reserved for another letter. If you think this one deserves an answer, a letter from Wordsworth would be to me a treasure. If your silence tells me that my letter was beneath your notice, you will never again be troubled by one whom you consider as an ignorant admirer. But, if your mind be as amiable as it is reflected in your poems, you will make allowance for defects that age may supply, and make a fellow-creature happy, by dedicating a few moments to the instruction of an admirer and sincere friend.

JOHN WILSON.

‘PROFESSOR JARDINE’S, COLLEGE, GLASGOW,
24th May 1802.

‘WILLIAM WORDSWORTH, ESQ.,
Ambleside, Westmoreland, England.’


Extracts from a letter written by Henry Crabb Robinson to his brother, Thomas, on June 6th 1802, from Frankfurt.

—A few days since I received ‘Wordsworths lyrical ballads’ these Ideas are there more in detail stated tho with no great beauty or detail—I am at present in danger of becoming unjust to English Literature being absorbed in the beauties of the German. These exquisite Volumes were enough to bring me back to justice. There are a few ballads—The Thorn— The Idiot Boy, Goody Blake & Harry Gill, &c wch will rank with the 1st rate compositions in the Language—I have already quoted 8 Lines

---

1 Adam Smith’s The Theory of Moral Sentiments, first published 1759.
[‘Nor less I deem that there are powers
Which of themselves our Minds impress
That we can feed this mind of ours
In a wise passiveness!!
Think you mid all this mighty sum
Of Things for ever speaking
That nothing of itself will come
But we must still be seeking’?]

wch have a profundity of thought And a felicity of Expression
truely admirable quite in Schiller’s style. Wordsworth has the Art
—the characteristick Art of Genius—of doing much with simple
means. His repetition of simple phrases, and his dwelling on
simple but touching Incidents, his Skill in drawing the deepest
moral, and tenderest interest out of trifles evince a great Master, a
Talent truely Shakespearean, for instance in Goody Blake—

‘And fiercely by the Arm he took her,
And by the Arm he held her fast.
And fiercely by the Arm he shook her,
And cried I’ve caught you then at last.’

how cunning this delay! this dwelling on so slight a Circumstance.

‘Sad case it was, as you may think,
For very cold to go to bed
And then for cold not sleep a wink.’

How ‘prosaic’ all vulgar every day Expressions—true and
therefore doubly powerful—doubly poetic in their effect. The
following Stanza ‘Oh joy for her’ is exquisite as well as the whole
a most pathetically poetical display of poverty—Wordsworth is
equally happy in his expression of moral Sentimens

1 The answer to this letter will be found at page 192, vol. i., of Memoirs of
W.Wordsworth, by C.Wordsworth, D.D., 1851. For the foregoing letter I am
indebted to Mr. W.Wordsworth, son of the poet, who kindly sent it to me,
and also pointed out the reply, which is introduced in the Memoirs without a
hint as to whom it was addressed, [v. E.Y. 352–368.]
‘O reader had you in your Mind,  
Such Stores as silent thought can bring  
O gentle reader you would find  
a tale in every thing,  
What more I have to say is short  
I hope you'll kindly take it  
It is no tale but sho'd you think  
Perhaps a tale you'll make it.’

There is in my Mind more Genius & Merit in such Reflections and such Descriptions unostentatious & simple as they are; than in many an admired Ode. I wo'd rather have written the Thorn than all the tinsel gawdy Lines of Darwin’s botanic garden. The one is an artificial Versifier the other is a feeler and a painter of feelings—but all the pieces have not this superior Merit The female Vagrant &c are cold & trite—Wordsworth’s excellence appears greatest where he is most original....
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Lines written at a small distance from my House, and sent by my little Boy to the Person to whom they are addressed 59 270
Lines written in early spring 69 275
Lines written near Richmond, upon the Thames, at Evening 100 283
Lines written on a Tablet in a School 183 296
Lines written with a Slate-pencil upon a Stone, etc. 182 296
*Love 115 288
Lucy Gray 156 293

Michael, a Pastoral 217 302

Nutting 188 298

Old Man Travelling 104 284

Poems on the Naming of Places 209 301
Poor Susan 163 293

Rural Architecture 203 300
Ruth 172 295
Simon Lee, the old Huntsman 61 272
Song 150 291
Song for the Wandering Jew 171 295
Strange fits of passion I have
known, etc. 149 291

The Brothers 131 290
The Childless Father 196 299
The Complaint of a forsaken Indian
Woman 105 285
The Convict 108 285
*The Dungeon 81 287
The Female Vagrant 45 266
*The Foster-Mother’s Tale 35 263
The Fountain, a Conversation 186
296
The Idiot Boy 85 281
The Idle Shepherd-Boys, or
Dungeon-Gill Force, a Pastoral
158 293
The Last of the Flock 78 279
The Mad Mother 82 280
* The Nightingale; A Conversational
Poem 41 261
The Oak and the Broom, a Pastoral
152 292
The Old Cumberland Beggar, a
Description 197 299
The Pet-Lamb, a Pastoral 192 298
There was a Boy, etc. 130 289
*The Rime of the Ancyent Marinere
8 261
The Tables turned; an Evening
Scene, on the same subject 103
284
The Thorn 70 275
The Two April Mornings 184 296
The Two Thieves, or The last Stage of
Avarice 168 294
The Waterfall and the Eglantine 150
292
Three years she grew in sun and
shower, etc. 191 298

’Tis said, that some have died for
love, etc. 162 293
To a Sexton 166 294

We are seven 67 273
Written in Germany, on one of the
coldest days of the Century 195
299
| A fig for your languages, German and Norse, page 195 |
| All Thoughts, all Passions, all Delights, 115 |
| Amid the smoke of cities did you pass 210 |
| A narrow girdle of rough stones and crags, 214 |
| And this place our forefathers made for man! 81 |
| Art thou a Statesman, in the van 204 |
| A simple child, dear brother Jim, 67 |
| A slumber did my spirit seal, 150 |
| At midnight, by the stream I rov’d 302 |
| At the corner of Wood Street, when day-light appears, 163 |
| A whirl-blast from behind the hill 170 |
| Before I see another day, 105 |
| “Begone, thou fond presumptuous Elf, 150 |
| Between two sister moorland rills 207 |
| By Derwent’s side my Father’s cottage stood, 45 |
| Fair Ellen Irwin, when she sate 147 |
| Five years have passed; five summers, with the length 110 |
| Her eyes are wild, her head is bare, 82 |
| His simple truths did Andrew glean 152 |
| How rich the wave, in front, imprest 100 |
| If from the public way you turn your steps 217 |
| If Nature, for a favorite Child 183 |
| If thou in the dear love of some one friend 164 |
| I hate that Andrew Jones: he’ll breed 167 |
| I have a boy of five years old, 65 |
| I heard a thousand blended notes, 69 |
| I marvel how Nature could ever find space 206 |
| In distant countries I have been 78 |
| I never saw the man whom you describe. 35 |
| In the sweet shire of Cardigan, 61 |
| I saw an aged Beggar in my walk, 197 |
| It is an ancien Marinere, 8 |
| It is the first mild day of March: 59 |
| It seems a day, 188 |
| It was an April Morning: fresh and clear 210 |
| Let thy wheelbarrow alone. 166 |
—Nay, Traveller! rest. This lonely yew-tree stands 38
No cloud, no relique of the sunken day 41

Oft I had heard of Lucy Gray, 156
Oh! what’s the matter? what’s the matter? 55
Our walk was far among the ancient trees: 216

Rude is this Edifice, and Thou hast seen 165

She dwelt among th’ untrodden ways 150
Strange fits of passion I have known, 149
Stranger! this hillock of misshapen stones 182

The dew was falling fast, the stars began to blink; 192
The glory of evening was spread through the west; 108
The Knight had ridden down from Wensley moor 123
The little hedge-row birds, 104
There is an Eminence,—of these our hills 213
There is a thorn; it looks so old, 70
There’s George Fisher, Charles Fleming, and Reginald Shore, 203
There was a Boy, ye knew him well, ye Cliffs 130
These Tourists, Heaven preserve us! needs must live 131
The valley rings with mirth and joy, 158
Though the torrents from their fountains 171

Three years she grew in sun and shower, 191
’Tis eight o’clock—a clear March night, 85
’Tis said, that some have died for love: 162

Up, Timothy, up with your Staff and away! 196
Up! up! my friend, and clear your looks, 103

We talk’d with open heart, and tongue 186
We walk’d along, while bright and red 184
When Ruth was left half desolate, 172
“Why William, on that old grey stone, 102